

Errata to “Expanded Discussion Paper on Management Measures for the Retention of Area 4A Halibut in Sablefish Pots”

Corrected Table 15 Halibut PSC as estimated by the CAS in the fixed gear BSAI sablefish IFQ and CDQ fishery 2009 through 2013^a.

Year	Gear	Round weight sablefish (lbs)	Round weight of halibut PSC estimate (lbs)	If only 42% of halibut were legal-sized (lbs) ^b	Percent of legal-sized PSC to sablefish weight
2009	Hook-and-line	1,840,380	616,592	258,969	14.1%
	Pot	1,189,166	24,950	10,479	0.9%
2009 Total		3,029,546	641,543	269,448	8.9%
2010	Hook-and-line	1,862,959	456,869	191,885	10.3%
	Pot	704,789	40,589	17,047	2.4%
2010 Total		2,567,748	497,458	208,932	8.1%
2011	Hook-and-line	851,627	25,956	10,902	1.3%
	Pot	1,883,457	197,148	82,802	4.4%
2011 Total		2,735,084	223,105	93,704	3.4%
2012	Hook-and-line	2,049,222	181,424	76,198	3.7%
	Pot	814,746	21,464	9,015	1.1%
2012 Total		2,863,968	202,888	85,213	3.0%
2013	Hook-and-line	1,749,121	128,830	54,108	3.1%
	Pot	652,720	34,615	14,538	2.2%
2013 Total		2,401,841	163,445	68,647	2.9%
Grand Total		13,598,187	1,728,438	725,944	5.3%

^a Halibut PSC is calculated by sub-area and is not broken out by stat area. Therefore this table is not restricted to the over-lapping region of Area 4A and the BSAI, but includes all BSAI sablefish fixed gear activity.¹

^b The 42 percent rate of legal retention is based on Table 14 and halibut sampled by observers between 2008 through 2014. There is a slight disconnect in area as the halibut were sampled in Area 4A, while the halibut PSC estimates were calculated for the entire BSAI area. Source: Comprehensive_PSC and Comprehensive_FT, compiled by AKFIN

¹ ADF&G/CFEC fish ticket information could be broken out by stat area, to illustrate only the over-lapping region of the BSAI and Area 4A. (This was done in the April 2013 expanded discussion paper in the corrected Table 1: http://www.npfmc.org/wp-content/PDFdocuments/halibut/4AhalibutPots_Table1.pdf.) However, the weight of halibut would be a rough estimate based on the number of halibut reported and an average weight calculated elsewhere. Generally CAS estimates are found to be more robust and therefore despite the incongruent areas we present this table as the best available information.