### Defining Measurable Objectives based on the Council's Overarching Goals

Abundance-Based Management of Pacific Halibut PSC limits Public Workshop

February 2, 2017

Council defined overarching goals



Our job: help develop management alternatives and evaluate whether they meet Council goals





are likely to achieve the Council goals

### How do we define the performance metrics needed for our evaluation?

Council defined overarching goals



Performance metrics to evaluate whether alternatives are likely to achieve the Council goals

### Changing Goals to Objectives

• User participation can help change goals to objectives

### Changing Goals to Objectives

- User participation can help change goals to objectives
- Objectives for natural resources must have:
  - 1. An outcome (what you want)
  - 2. A time frame (when you want the outcome)
  - 3. A probability (tolerance for failure)

### Changing Goals to Objectives

- User participation can help change goals to objectives
- Objectives for natural resources must have:
  - 1. An outcome (what you want)
  - 2. A time frame (when you want the outcome)
  - 3. A probability (tolerance for failure)
- These 3 elements change Goals to Measurable Objectives
- Objectives may be in conflict with one another; this is typical

*Goal*: Be a healthier person

Measurable Objective:

- 1. *Outcome*: Lose x pounds
- 2. *Time Horizon*: Evaluate over the next *z* months

3. *Probability*: **y%** chance of success of losing x pounds after z months

*Goal*: Be a healthier person

Measurable Objective:

1. Outcome: Lose x pounds

2. *Time Horizon*: Evaluate over the next *z* months

3. *Probability*: **y%** chance of success of losing x pounds after z months

Do you want to lose weight?

Measurable Objective	Threshold	Time Frame	Probability/Risk tolerance

Goal: Maintain a Healthy Fish Stock

Measurable Objective:

- 1. *Outcome*: Spawning stock greater than 20% of unfished biomass
- 2. *Time Horizon*: Evaluate over *x* years

3. *Probability*: A 95% probability of spawning stock greater than 20% of unfished biomass after x years

#### **Evaluating Alternatives**

• Simulation analysis can be used to evaluate alternative management strategies against defined objectives

		Alternatives							
		Α	В	С	D	E	F		
ics	Conservation								
<i>Objectives</i> rmance metr	Average biomass	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX		
	Biomass above value	Probability	Probability	Probability	Probability	Probability	Probability		
	Yield								
	Average yield	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX		
- je	Variability in yield	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX		
Pe	Catch above a value	Probability	Probability	Probability	Probability	Probability	Probability		

There should be flexibility provided to avoid unnecessarily constraining the groundfish fishery particularly when halibut abundance is high

- What is the minimum catch to prosecute the groundfish fishery?
- Is there a desired minimum catch?
- Are there market constraints that should be considered?
- At what level of lost yield are you economically harmed?
- Is foregone yield (unrelated to TAC negotiations) something to consider?
- How does Flatfish Flexibility factor into the considerations above?
- What incentives exist or can be developed to minimize bycatch?

## Provide for some stability in PSC limits on an interannual basis

- What % change in PSC limits interannually disrupts groundfish planning and management activities?
- At what % change in PSC limits interannually is 4CDE directed halibut fishery planning affected?
- Will incentives to minimize bycatch be reduced if PSC limits jump up quickly from one year to next?

# Halibut spawning stock biomass should be protected especially at lower levels of abundance

- What is a useful measure for the impact on spawning biomass?
- Should a maximum level of impact on spawning biomass be specified?
- Is there a desired minimum abundance/biomass of spawning halibut?
- Is there a target spawning abundance/biomass?
- Is there a threshold spawning abundance/biomass?
- Should precautionary action be taken when below the threshold, and when below the minimum?

# Provide for directed halibut fishing operations [in the Bering Sea]

- Is there a minimum FCEY to prosecute the directed halibut fishery in 4CDE?
- Is there a target FCEY in 4CDE?
- Are there market constraints for the directed fishery in 4CDE that should be considered?
- Should the directed halibut fishery O32 (or O26) catch limit be greater than a specific proportion of the total O32 (or O26) catch limit in 4CDE?

# Halibut PSC limits should be indexed to halibut abundance

- Does PSC limit increase and decrease with halibut abundance?
- Is the PSC limit continuous, or does it have floors and/or ceilings?
- Is there a range over which PSC will index with abundance?
- Should size compositions of indices be considered?