

STOCK ASSESSMENT AND FISHERY EVALUATION REPORT
for the
SCALLOP FISHERY off ALASKA
in 2017

Prepared by

The Scallop Plan Team

With contributions by:

J. Armstrong, R. Burt, S. Miller, J. Mumm, N. Richardson, J. Rumble, C. Russ, E. Russ, Q. Smith,
M. Westphal and B. Williams

March 2018



North Pacific Fishery Management Council
605 West 4th Avenue, Suite 306
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Accessibility of this Document: Every effort has been made to make this document accessible to individuals of all abilities and compliant with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act. The complexity of this document may make access difficult for some. If you encounter information that you cannot access or use, please email us at Alaska.webmaster@noaa.gov or call us at [907-586-7221](tel:907-586-7221) so that we may assist you.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	7
Definitions	8
1. Introduction	9
Basis for Optimum Yield	9
2. Weathervane Scallop Stock Assessment	11
Fishery Observer Program	11
Fishery Independent Survey	11
Study Areas	12
Methods	16
Results	18
Discussion	27
Stock Status Determination	28
3. Weathervane Scallop Fishery and Management	30
Vessel Participation in the Scallop Fishery	30
Voluntary Scallop Cooperative	30
Alaska State Registration Areas	31
Seasons	31
Annual Catch Limits	31
Guideline Harvest Ranges	32
In Season Data Use	32
Crab Bycatch Limits	33
4. Regional Fishery Performance	38
Southeast Region	39
Central Region	47
Westward Region	55
5. Economics	80
6. Ecosystem Components	83
Ecosystem Component	83
Ecosystem Effects on the Stock	85
Fishery Effects on Ecosystem	85
7. Literature Cited	87
8. Appendices	89
Appendix 1: Response to Comments from SSC	89
Appendix 2: Economic Factors in the Scallop Fishery off Alaska	93
Appendix 3: Weathervane Scallop Stock Structure	111
Appendix 4: Historical Overview of Scallop Fishery	114

List of Tables

Table 1-1	Weathervane scallop harvest 1990-1997 including state and federal waters.....	10
Table 2-1	Number of stations and tows for surveyed bed in the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey with total scallop catches, average scallop densities, and corresponding CVs by scallop size class.....	19
Table 2-2	Bed estimates of scallop abundance with 95 % confidence intervals based upon the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey catch. Large scallops are those with a shell height measurement ≥ 100 mm.	21
Table 2-3	Bed estimates of scallop round-weight biomass with 95% confidence intervals based upon the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey catch. Large scallops are those with a shell height measurement ≥ 100 mm.....	22
Table 2-4	Bed estimates of scallop meat weight biomass (pounds) with 95% confidence intervals based on the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey catch. Large scallops are those with a shell height of 100 mm or greater.....	22
Table 2-5	Percentage of clappers and weak meats by bed from the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey. Meat condition was assessed only for large size scallops (≥ 100 mm). N denotes the sample size.....	24
Table 2-6	Observed sex ratios (percent of scallops ≥ 100 mm). N denotes the sample size.	25
Table 2-7	Observed gonad status by bed. Values are percent of sampled large size scallops (≥ 100 mm), N denotes the sample size.	25
Table 2-8	Alaska weathervane scallop harvest and OY/MSY/OFL, 1993/94 - 2017/18 seasons.	29
Table 3-1	CPUE minimum performance standards and basis years for major harvest areas.	33
Table 3-2	Statewide crab bycatch limits in percentage of crab abundance estimates (where available) or number of crabs.	34
Table 3-3	Bycatch of King crabs by Area/District in the 2015/16 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery.....	36
Table 3-4	Bycatch of <i>Chionoecetes</i> crabs by Area/District in the 2015/16 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery.....	36
Table 4-1	GHLs and summary statistics from 2015/16 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery.	38
Table 4-2	GHLs and preliminary catch from the 2016/17 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery.	38
Table 4-3	Yakutat District 16 scallop fishery summary statistics, 2000/01-2016/17.	39
Table 4-4	District 16 catch summary for the 2009/10-2015/16 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.....	40
Table 4-5	Yakutat Area D scallop fishery summary statistics, 2000/01-2016/17.	43
Table 4-6	Yakutat District catch summary for the 2009/10-2015/16 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.....	44
Table 4-7	Commercial harvest of weathervane scallops from Kayak Island beds, 1995/96-2016/17.....	50
Table 4-8	Cook Inlet, Kamishak District scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993-2016.....	54
Table 4-9	Kodiak Northeast District scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993/94-2016/17.	55
Table 4-10	Kodiak Northeast District catch summary for the 2009/10-2015/16 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.	57
Table 4-11	Kodiak Shelikof District scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993/94-2016/17.	59
Table 4-12	Kodiak Shelikof District catch summary for the 2009/10-2015/16 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.	61
Table 4-13	Kodiak Southwest District scallop fishery summary statistics, 2009/10-2016/17.	63
Table 4-14	Kodiak Southwest District catch summary for the 2009/10-2015/16 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.	64
Table 4-15	Alaska Peninsula Area scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993/94-2016/17	67

Table 4-16 Alaska Peninsula Area catch summary for the 2012/13-2015/16 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE. 68

Table 4-17 Bering Sea Area scallop fishery summary statistics, 2000/01-2016/17. 71

Table 4-18 Bering Sea Area catch summary for the 2009/10-2015/16 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE. 73

Table 4-19 Dutch Harbor Area scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993/94-2016/17..... 75

Table 4-20 Dutch Harbor Area catch summary for the 2009/10-2015/16 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE. 76

Table 5-1 Annual statewide commercial weathervane scallop real wholesale values, 1993/94-2015/16..... 81

Table 6-1 Annual biomass (whole pounds) of non-target scallops captured in ADF&G and NMFS surveys within ADF&G management region during 1998-2008. 84

Table 6-2 Summary of results from scallop observer haul composition sampling (% by weight) during the 2015/16 season. 86

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Map showing Alaska scallop fishery registration areas..... 10

Figure 2-1 Location of scallop beds in ADF&G statewide scallop dredge survey areas. Dark outlines indicate beds surveyed in 2017..... 12

Figure 2-2 Locations of Kodiak Shelikof District 2017 weathervane scallop surveyed beds KSH1 and KSH2. Red lines indicate successful dredge tow tracks in sampled stations. 13

Figure 2-3 The northern portion of the Kodiak Northeast District 2017 weathervane scallop survey area showing the location of partially surveyed bed KNE 2. Red lines indicate successful dredge tow tracks in sampled stations. 14

Figure 2-4 The southern portion of the Kodiak Northeast District 2017 weathervane scallop survey area showing the location of surveyed beds KNE3 and KNE6. Note that bed KNE6 straddles the Kodiak Southeast District boundary. Red lines indicate successful dredge tow tracks in sampled stations. 14

Figure 2-5 Location of Yakutat District 2017 weathervane scallop surveyed beds YAKB, YAK1 and YAK2. Red lines indicate successful survey dredge tow tracks in selected stations. Note that there are two survey dredge tracks outside of selected stations in the northwest portion of bed YAK2..... 15

Figure 2-6 Location of the Yakutat District 2017 weathervane scallop surveyed beds YAK4 and YAK5. Red lines indicate successful survey dredge tracks in selected stations. 16

Figure 2-7 Catch distributions of small and large weathervane scallops by bed from successful tows completed during the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey. Note: two hauls in bed KNE 3 had > 2,000 small scallops, they were removed from the figure for display purposes. Each bed is labeled with the number of tows. 18

Figure 2-8 Estimates of scallop bed abundance based on 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey data. Error bars represent approximate 95% confidence intervals. Large scallops are those with shell height ≥ 100 mm 21

Figure 2-9 Estimates of scallop bed round weight biomass based on 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey data. Error bars represent approximate bootstrap 95% confidence intervals. Large scallops are those with shell height ≥ 100 mm 23

Figure 2-10 Comparisons of meat weight versus round weight by district for subsampled large scallops from the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey..... 23

Figure 2-11 Scallop bed shell height distributions for the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey. Distributions were weighted by sample sizes..... 26

Figure 2-12 Comparisons of 2016 and 2017 survey abundance estimates for the Kodiak Shelikof District..... 27

Figure 2-13 Statewide scallop harvest (lb shucked scallop meats) and MSY levels from FMP..... 28

Figure 3-1 Tanner crab carapace width distributions by management unit from catch sampling during the 2015/16 scallop fishery. 37

Figure 4-1 Yakutat District 16 scallop harvest and CPUE, 1997/98-2017/18 seasons..... 40

Figure 4-2 Yakutat District 16 scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96-2016/17 seasons..... 41

Figure 4-3 Yakutat District 16 retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 42

Figure 4-4 Yakutat Area D scallop harvest and CPUE, 1997/98-2017/18 seasons. 44

Figure 4-5 Yakutat District scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96-2016/17 seasons..... 45

Figure 4-6 Yakutat District retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 46

Figure 4-7 Prince William Sound scallop harvest and CPUE, 1996/97-2016/17 seasons. 48

Figure 4-8 Prince William Sound retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 49

Figure 4-9 Cook Inlet scallop harvest and CPUE, 1993-2017 seasons..... 52

Figure 4-10 Cook Inlet retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2010-2012 and 2015 seasons. Values are unadjusted to size of catch..... 53

Figure 4-11 Kodiak Northeast District harvest and CPUE, 1998/99-2017/18 seasons..... 56

Figure 4-12 Kodiak Northeast District scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96-2016/17 seasons. 57

Figure 4-13 Kodiak Northeast District retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 58

Figure 4-14 Kodiak Shelikof District harvest and CPUE, 1998/99-2017/18 seasons..... 60

Figure 4-15 Kodiak Shelikof District scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96-2016/17 seasons. 61

Figure 4-16 Kodiak Shelikof District retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 62

Figure 4-17 Kodiak Southwest District harvest and CPUE, 2009/10 and 2011/12-2017/18 seasons..... 64

Figure 4-18 Kodiak Southwest District scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 65

Figure 4-19 Kodiak Southwest District retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 66

Figure 4-20 Alaska Peninsula Area harvest and CPUE, 1993/94-2017/2018 seasons..... 68

Figure 4-21 Alaska Peninsula Area scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96-2016/17 seasons. 69

Figure 4-22 Alaska Peninsula Area retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2012/13-2016/17 seasons. 70

Figure 4-23 Bering Sea Area scallop harvest and CPUE, 1998/99-2017/18 seasons. 72

Figure 4-24 Bering Sea Area raw and standardized (when available) meat weight CPUE, 1995/16-2016/17 seasons..... 73

Figure 4-25 Bering Sea Area retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 74

Figure 4-26 Dutch Harbor Area scallop harvest and CPUE, 2008/09-2017/18 seasons..... 76

Figure 4-27 Dutch Harbor Area scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96-2016/17 seasons..... 77

Figure 4-28 Dutch Harbor Area retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons. 78

Executive Summary

The Scallop Plan Team met in Kodiak, AK from 9 am to 5 pm on February 21, 2018 to review the status of the weathervane scallop stocks, to discuss additional issues of importance to scallop management, and to compile the 2018 SAFE report. Plan Team review was based on presentations by staff from the Council, NMFS, and ADF&G and included opportunities for public comment and input. Members of the Plan Team who compiled the report are Quinn Smith (Chair), Jim Armstrong (Vice-Chair), Ryan Burt, Scott Miller.

New Information in the 2018 SAFE:

- *2017 Statewide weathervane scallop survey overview given in the “Weathervane Stock Assessment” in Chapter 2*
- *Economic Factors in the Scallop Fishery off Alaska report in Appendix 2*

Scallop Harvest:

Total scallop harvest off Alaska in the 2016/17 season was 233,009 lb (117 t) of shucked meats, which is 22.2% of specified ABC (1.161 million lb; 527 t). Area-specific harvest limits (areas depicted in Figure 1-1, area-specific harvest in Table 4-1) were met in a little over half of the fishing areas, specifically the Yakutat, Prince William Sound, Kodiak Shelikof, Kodiak Southwest, Unimak Bight, and Bering Sea Districts. Areas that were abandoned by the fleet before the GHF was harvested included District 16, Cook Inlet, Kodiak Northeast, and Dutch Harbor.

The preliminary total catch estimate for the 2017/18 season is 238,710 lb (119 t) of shucked meats. This is 22.6% of the ABC specified for 2017/18 (1.161 million lb; 527 t).

Scallop Plan Team Harvest Recommendations:

The Scallop Plan Team recommends that OFL in the 2018/19 season be set equal to maximum OY (1.29 million lb; 585 t) as defined in the Scallop FMP, and which includes discards for which a 20% discard mortality rate is applied. The Team also recommends that ABC for scallops in 2018/19 be set consistent with the maximum ABC control rule (90% of OFL) and which is equal to 1.161 million lb (527 t).

The Scallop Plan Team will evaluate total catch in the 2019 SAFE report for the 2017/18 fishing year to determine if ABC has been exceeded. Overfishing of scallop stocks in Alaska waters is not occurring by the definition of the OFL.

Definitions

The FMP (incorporating all changes made following adoption of Amendment 24) contains the following stock status definitions:

Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) is a level of annual catch that accounts for the scientific uncertainty in the estimate of OFL as well as any other specified scientific uncertainty and is set to prevent OFL from being exceeded. Since there is uncertainty in the OFL estimate, ABC is set below OFL.

ABC Control Rule is a specified approach in the Council's five-tier system for shellfish stock assessments and sets the maximum permissible ABC for weathervane scallops. The control rule sets the maximum statewide ABC at 90% of the OFL, providing a 10% buffer to account for scientific uncertainty in OFL.

Annual Catch Limit (ACL) is the level of annual catch that, if exceeded, invokes reactive accountability measures. For weathervane scallops, the ACL is set equal to ABC.

B_{MSY} is the total weight of the stock, i.e., biomass (B) that results from fishing at F_{MSY} and is the minimum standard for a rebuilding target when a rebuilding plan is required.

Catch per unit Effort (CPUE) is related to abundance through catchability and for scallops is expressed as lb of meats per dredge hour. CPUE for fishing vessels is monitored through onboard observers.

F_{MSY} Control Rule is a harvest strategy based on fishing mortality (F) which would be expected to result in a long-term average catch approximating MSY.

Guideline Harvest Level (GHL) is specified by the State and represents the pre-season estimated level of harvest that will not jeopardize the sustained yield of a stock. GHL may be expressed as a range of allowable harvests for each State registration area, district, sub-district, or section.

Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) is the largest long-term average catch or yield that can be taken from a stock or stock complex under prevailing ecological and environmental conditions.

Minimum Stock Size Threshold (MSST) is the biomass below which the stock is considered to be overfished and is usually equal to one half of B_{MSY} .

Optimum yield (OY) is defined in 50 CFR 600.310(e)(3)(i)(A) "the amount of fish that will provide the greatest overall benefit to the Nation, particularly with respect to food production and recreational opportunities and taking into account the protection of marine ecosystems; that is prescribed on the basis of the MSY from the fishery, as reduced by any relevant economic, social, or ecological factor; and, in the case of an overfished fishery, that provides for rebuilding to a level consistent with producing the MSY in such fishery.

Overfishing Limit (OFL) is the catch above which overfishing is occurring and is equivalent to MSY.

Overfishing Control Rule (F_{OFL}) is defined as the level of fishing mortality above which OFL will be exceeded, meaning that it will jeopardize the capacity of the fishery to produce MSY on a continuing basis.

1. Introduction

National Standard 2 guidelines (50 CFR 600.315) require regular preparation and review of a Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation (SAFE) report, or similar document, for each federal fishery management plan (FMP). The SAFE report summarizes the current biological and economic status of the fishery as well as analytical information used in fishery management such as survey and fishery catches and OFL/ABC. This report was prepared by the Scallop Plan Team (SPT), members of which include biologists and researchers from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G), the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council). The SAFE report is presented to the Council on an annual basis and is also available to the public.

The scallop fishery in Alaska's Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ; from 3 to 200 miles offshore) is jointly managed under Federal and State of Alaska authority under the FMP. Most aspects of scallop fishery management are delegated to the State, while Federal requirements are maintained within the FMP. The FMP was developed by the Council in 1995. The Council has adopted several amendments to the FMP with the latest (Amendment 15) being approved in 2012.

Although the FMP covers all scallop stocks off the coast of Alaska, including weathervane scallop (*Patinopecten caurinus*), reddish scallop (*Chlamys rubida*), spiny scallop (*Chlamys hastata*), and rock scallop (*Crassadoma gigantea*), the weathervane scallop is the only commercially exploited stock at this time. Commercial fishing for weathervane scallops occurs in the Gulf of Alaska, Bering Sea, and waters off the Aleutian Islands. State scallop registration areas and general fishing locations are shown in Figure 1-1.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game has obtained release forms signed by vessel operators in order to display confidential catch information. Whenever possible, unless otherwise indicated as "confidential", catch records have been made available for publication by the State.

Basis for Optimum Yield

In the original FMP, optimum yield (OY) was established as a range from 0 to 1.1 million lb (~500 t) of shucked scallop adductor muscles (meats) with the upper end being based on the historic high in landings since 1993. Under Amendment 1, in 1996, the upper end for OY was increased to 1.8 million lb (816 t) to account for historic State water landings. A more conservative approach was adopted in 1999, when OY was re-defined as 0 to 1.24 million lb (562 t) with the upper end reflecting *average* rather than *maximum* catch. The reference period for defining the upper range for OY is 1990-1997 excluding 1995 (Table 1-1). Most recently, in 2012, under Amendment 13, OY was re-defined as 0 to 1.29 million lb (585 t) of shucked meats to account for estimated discards. Alaska scallop harvests have not exceeded OY in any year since it was first established.

In the absence of a stock assessment for scallops off Alaska, OFL and ABC have been set historically and recently based on the above definition of OY such that $\text{max OFL} = \text{OY}$. The maximum ABC control rule is defined as $\text{max ABC} = 90\% \text{ of OFL}$.

Table 1-1 Weathervane scallop harvest 1990-1997 including state and federal waters

Year	Unique Vessels	Total Pounds	Total Est. Earnings	Unique IUPs	Average Price / lb
1990	9	1,488,737	\$ 5,073,572	15	\$3.41
1991	6	1,136,649	\$ 4,279,200	7	\$3.76
1992	8	1,753,873	\$6,796,699	12	\$3.88
1993	15	1,511,539	\$6,981,415	22	\$4.62
1994	17	1,256,736	\$7,039,262	22	\$5.60
1995*	10	351,023	\$1,847,666	10	\$5.36
1996	9	728,424	\$4,670,515	10	\$6.41
1997	9	802,383	\$4,329,752	11	\$5.40
Mean all years	10.4	1,128,671	\$5,127,260	13.6	\$4.81
Mean excluding 1995	10.4	1,239,763	\$5,595,774	14.1	\$4.73

Adapted from Free-Sloan 2007. Catch differs from catch numbers in Figure 2-1 due to the lack of discard mortality accounting.

* From February 23, 1995, until August 1996, the EEZ was closed to fishing. 1995 federal waters harvest and earnings occurred in January and February prior to closure.




Figure 1-1 Alaska scallop fishery registration areas. General areas of effort are overlaid by blue polygons. Exploratory fisheries in waters normally closed to scallop fishing (gray shading) have been opened by ADF&G Commissioner's Permit in the Kodiak Southwest District and Alaska Peninsula Area during past seasons.

2. Weathervane Scallop Stock Assessment

A functional stock assessment model for weathervane scallops in Alaska does not exist, although efforts to develop an age-based assessment are ongoing. In the absence of a formal stock assessment, State harvest limits (i.e., GHLS) are established using data gathered through the scallop fishery observer program as well as a number of ADF&G-operated scallop dredge surveys.

Fishery Observer Program

The data gathered through the observer program comprise the primary information source for the State in setting harvest limits. These data include time series of scallop harvest and fishery CPUE, fishing location, size and age composition of the catch, scallop discards, and crab bycatch. ADF&G and the SPT recognize inherent weaknesses in using fishery-dependent data for management purposes. Industry CPUE may be an unreliable index of scallop abundance due to factors such as the general incentive to seek out areas with the highest CPUE, but also market conditions, weather, tides, gear efficiency, bycatch avoidance, captain and crew performance, etc. Industry participants have noted that the time of year when fishing occurs can affect CPUE considerably due to summer and winter differences in weather and sea state. Additionally, fishery-dependent size composition data may not be representative of the true size composition of a given scallop bed, since fishing location within the bed is non-random and gear does not select all shell sizes.

Fishery Independent Survey

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) initiated a statewide weathervane scallop (*Patinopecten caurinus*) dredge survey in 2016 to collect fishery-independent data for use in managing weathervane scallops in Alaska. Prior to 2016, fishery-independent weathervane scallop (scallop) dredge surveys had been restricted to the Cook Inlet and Prince William Sound registration areas (Figure 2-1). Initial surveys were conducted for Kamishak Bay and Kayak Island in 1984 and 1996, respectively (Hammarstrom and Merritt 1985, Bechtol et al. 2003), and were conducted biennially since 1996 (Gustafson and Goldman 2012). These surveys enabled ADF&G to (1) delineate the primary scallop beds; (2) estimate scallop abundance and biomass within these beds; (3) define bed composition through age and shell height data; and (4) estimate bycatch rates of non-target species, particularly Tanner crab (*Chionoecetes bairdi*). All other management areas in the state were reliant on fishery-dependent data gathered from the statewide scallop observer program to inform management decisions (NPFMC 2017). The statewide survey supersedes the previous survey, although follows a similar survey design (Gustafson and Goldman 2012, Smith et al. 2016) in order to provide fishery-independent information for the sustainable management of scallop stocks in Alaska waters.

The spring 2017 survey was scheduled to include the Yakutat and Kodiak Areas. These areas were prioritized because, on average, 84% of the statewide harvest over the past 10 fishing seasons has been taken in them. Further, there is limited fishery-independent data for these areas to assist managers in their GHL determinations. In this report we examine the methods and results of the 2017 scallop dredge survey including (1) changes in methods from Smith et al. (2016), (2) examinations of the coefficient of variation of catch rates and abundance estimates at the bed level and, (3) the abundance estimates from surveyed beds.

Study Areas

Under the current Operational Plan (Smith et al. 2016) the statewide scallop survey targets the main scallop beds from Cape Fairweather south of Yakutat to the Southwest District of the Kodiak Management Area (Figure 2-1). The areas chosen to be surveyed in a given year are dependent on a combination of management, research, and stock assessment considerations, as well as survey logistics and the availability of financial, personnel and material resources. The 2017 survey included a total of ten scallop beds in the Kodiak Shelikof, Kodiak Northeast, and Yakutat Districts.




Figure 2-1 Location of scallop beds in ADF&G statewide scallop dredge survey areas. Dark outlines indicate beds surveyed in 2017.

Kodiak Shelikof District

The Kodiak Shelikof District (KSH) survey area is located in the northwest portion of Shelikof Strait between Kodiak Island and the Alaska Peninsula (Figure 2-2). Depth contours run from southwest to northeast, approximately parallel to the Alaska Peninsula shoreline. Bottom depths in the scallop beds slope from approximately 30 fathoms (55 m) in the northwest to over 80 fathoms (146 m) in the southeast portions of bed KSH1. Beds KSH1 and KSH2 were surveyed in 2017.




Figure 2-2 Locations of Kodiak Shelikof District 2017 weathervane scallop surveyed beds KSH1 and KSH2. Red lines indicate successful dredge tow tracks in sampled stations.

Kodiak Northeast District

The Kodiak Northeast District (KNE) survey area is located off the east coast of Kodiak Island (Figures 2-3 and 2-4). Bottom depths in the scallop beds vary between 30–80 fathoms (50–146 m) throughout the area where commercial fishing occurs. Beds KNE2, KNE3 and KNE6 were surveyed in 2017.

Intentionally Left Blank




Figure 2-3 The northern portion of the Kodiak Northeast District 2017 weathervane scallop survey area showing the location of partially surveyed bed KNE 2. Red lines indicate successful dredge tow tracks in sampled stations.




Figure 2-4 The southern portion of the Kodiak Northeast District 2017 weathervane scallop survey area showing the location of surveyed beds KNE3 and KNE6. Note that bed KNE6 straddles the Kodiak Southeast District boundary. Red lines indicate successful dredge tow tracks in sampled stations.

Yakutat District

The Yakutat District (YAK) survey area is a long narrow swath from the northwest to the southeast along the coast of Alaska on either side of Yakutat Bay (Figures 2-5 and 2-6). The scallop beds depths vary from 10–80 fathoms (18–146 m). Five distinct beds were surveyed in 2017, three at the extreme northwest end of the area and two to the southeast of Yakutat Bay.




Figure 2-5 Location of Yakutat District 2017 weathervane scallop surveyed beds YAKB, YAK1 and YAK2. Red lines indicate successful survey dredge tow tracks in selected stations. Note that there are two survey dredge tracks outside of selected stations in the northwest portion of bed YAK2.

Intentionally Left Blank




Figure 2-6 Location of the Yakutat District 2017 weathervane scallop surveyed beds YAK4 and YAK5. Red lines indicate successful survey dredge tracks in selected stations.

Methods

Dredge Survey

Survey stations within defined scallop beds (Smith et al. 2016) were fished using a New Bedford style scallop dredge. Scallop beds were delineated into a grid of 1 nm x 1 nm survey stations. Survey stations were selected for sampling using systematic random sampling independently for each bed. The target number of survey stations to be sampled in a given bed was chosen with the goal of keeping the coefficient of variation (CV) of catch rates and abundance estimates $\leq 20\%$ for large-size scallops. The 2.43 m (8 ft) dredge, weighing approximately 816 kg (1,800 lb), was equipped with a ring bag composed of rings with an inside diameter of 101.6 mm (4.0 in). Additionally, a 38.1 mm (1.5 in) mesh liner was used to facilitate the retention of smaller scallops. A single 15-min tow approximately 1.0 nm in length was made in each selected survey grid. Dredge performance was monitored, and stations were re-towed if performance was judged unsatisfactory. Actual tow lengths, needed for area-swept calculations, were determined by comparing the linear distance between tow start and end points with the distance recorded by the vessel's navigational system, the latter was used if the discrepancy between the two distances exceeded 10%.

Dredge haul contents were processed, and all data were recorded consistent with the protocols detailed in the statewide scallop survey Operational Plan (Smith et al. 2016). Scallops were sorted by size class (shell height < 100 mm; shell height ≥ 100 mm, small and large, respectively), counted and collectively weighed. The two size classes were subsampled for collection of individual biological information including shell height, sex, gonad condition, meat condition, prevalence of mud blisters and shell boring worms; and for the larger size class only, round weight and meat weight, i.e., weight of the shucked

adductor muscle. Shells from a secondary subsample of the large scallops were retained for aging (Siddon et al. 2017).

Abundance and Biomass

Area-swept estimates of abundance and round-weight biomass were estimated for both small and large scallops for each bed surveyed. Letting A denote total bed area in nm^2 and n the number of survey stations with successful tows, the area-swept estimate of scallop abundance by bed is:

$$\hat{N} = A \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{N_i}{Q \cdot a_i}, \quad (1)$$

where N_i is the number of scallops caught during tow i , a_i is the corresponding area swept, and Q is the efficiency, or catchability, of the dredge. Dredge efficiency Q was assumed equal to 0.83 based on Gustafson and Goldman (2012). The area-swept estimate of scallop round weight biomass \hat{B}_R was estimated by substituting round weight W_i in place of N_i . Confidence intervals for these estimators were calculated using bootstrapping and the percentile method (Efron and Tibshirani 1993).

Scallop meat-weight biomass was estimated for each bed using a two-stage estimator. Survey protocols entail measuring individual scallop meat weight for a subsample of captured large scallops in each tow (Smith et al. 2016). Accordingly, bed meat weight biomass is estimated using the two-stage estimator

$$\hat{B}_M = A \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{N_i}{n_i} \cdot \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} w_{i,j}}{Q a_i}, \quad (2)$$

where n_i is the number of subsampled large scallops associated with tow i , $w_{i,j}$ the meat weight of subsampled scallop j from tow i .

Approximate confidence intervals were estimated through bootstrapping of the two-stage design. Note that this method of estimating meat weight biomass differs from that used in the reported results of the 2016 statewide scallop dredge survey (Williams et al. 2017).

Shell Height Distributions

Shell heights were recorded for up to 40 scallops for both small and large scallops from each tow (Smith et al. 2016). Scallop shell height distributions were weighted by bed, to account for both subsampling of measured scallops within the two size classes and between-tow variation in the area swept by the dredge. Specifically, measured scallop j captured in tow i was assigned weight

$$\lambda_{i,j} = \frac{\left(\frac{N_i}{n_i}\right)}{a_i}.$$

Here N_i denotes the number of large or small scallops captured in tow i , and n_i the number of those that were measured in subsampling. For display, histograms were constructed so that bar heights reflect the sum of the weights rather than the simple count of scallops within each bin.

Summaries of other biological data collected (e.g., presence of weak meats and clappers) during the survey were used as additional indicators of scallop stock status on surveyed beds.

Results

Survey Performance

A total of 226 successful ~1.0 nm survey tows were completed during the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey between April 16 and June 4, 2017 (Table 2-1). Two stations, that were not among the original randomly selected grids, were replacement tows as the selected station was un-towable due to substrate or depth. These un-towable stations were subsequently removed from selection for future surveys. Survey vessels performed an additional 30 tows that were unsuccessful or of an experimental or exploratory nature. The ADF&G research vessel R/V Pandalus surveyed the Kodiak Shelikof District between April 16th and 20th. The commercial vessel F/V Provider surveyed the Yakutat District between May 6th and 17th and the Kodiak Northeast District between June 1st and 4th. This effort covered all planned survey areas with exception of the northern component of bed KNE2 in the Kodiak Northeast District, which was omitted due to uncooperative weather (Figure 2-3).

Successful tows were completed at 63 randomly selected stations during the 2017 Kodiak Shelikof District scallop dredge survey: 57 in bed KSH1 and 6 in bed KSH2 (Table 2-1; Figure 2-2). Total scallop catch was 4,788 animals with a combined weight of 795 lb. Average small scallop density was 49,768 scallops nm² with a standard deviation of 112,327 nm². Large scallop densities ranged from 0 to 67,114 scallops nm² with an average of 14,385 nm² (Figure 2-7). Associated CVs were within the 20% target value for large scallops in Bed1 but exceeded the target in Bed2 and for small scallops in both beds (Table 2-1).




Figure 2-7 Catch distributions of small and large weathervane scallops by bed from successful tows completed during the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey. Note: two hauls in bed KNE 3 had > 2,000 small scallops, they were removed from the figure for display purposes. Each bed is labeled with the number of tows.

Table 2-1 Number of stations and tows for surveyed bed in the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey with total scallop catches, average scallop densities, and corresponding CVs by scallop size class.

Bed	Area	Stations surveyed	Size class	Number Caught	Density nmi ⁻²	CV %
KSH1	181.63	57	small	3,598	52,961.85	30
			large	1,008	14,936.41	15
			all	4,606	67,898.26	24
KSH2	21.15	6	small	125	19,427.14	45
			large	57	9,150.498	50
			all	182	28,577.64	45
KNE2	45.61	14	small	184	7,413.836	35
			large	163	6,437.697	48
			all	347	13,851.53	31
KNE3	116.73	34	small	6,541	108,253.2	55
			large	52	851.1061	27
			all	6,593	109,104.3	55
KNE6	27.48	8	small	571	40,649.49	38
			large	253	18,209.44	32
			all	824	58,858.93	34
YAKB	33.36	11	small	6	306.9468	31
			large	173	8,790.321	17
			all	179	9,097.268	17
YAK1	52.31	17	small	830	28,946.28	36
			large	2,330	82,069.53	22
			all	3,160	111,015.8	25
YAK2	78.58	27	small	2,523	56,054.4	27
			large	3,828	84,636.06	18
			all	6,351	140,690.5	15
YAK4	127.51	38	small	1,527	23,391.23	28
			large	2,415	36,525.15	16
			all	3,942	59,916.39	15
YAK5	54.86	14	small	505	20,339.29	49
			large	1,304	53,307.19	29
			all	1,809	73,646.48	26

A total of 56 successful tows were completed in the Kodiak Northeast District scallop dredge survey: 14 in bed KNE2, 34 in bed KNE3 and 8 in bed KNE6 (Figures 2-3 and 2-4; Table 2-1). Total catch was

7,764 scallops with a combined weight of 368 lb. Average density of small and large scallops were, 73,386 and 4,728 scallops nm², respectively. Small scallop densities had a standard deviation of 270,234 scallops nm² (Figure 2-7). Associated CVs were as high as 55% and none were below the 20% target value (Table 2-1).

Note that due to poor weather only the 42–station 45.61 nmi² southern component of bed KNE2 (Figure 2-3) could be surveyed. Consequently, results reported here for bed KNE2 pertain to the 14 stations sampled rather than to the larger 121.24 nmi² area comprised by the full complement of 112 survey stations.

Survey vessels made 107 successful tows in the Yakutat area during the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey: 11 in YAK B, 17 in YAK 1, 27 in YAK 2, 38 in YAK 4 and 14 in bed YAK 5 (Figures 2-5 and 2-6; Table 2-1). Total catch was 15,441 scallops with a combined weight of 4,176 lb. Small scallops had an average density of 29,743 scallops nm², with a standard deviation of 52,593 scallops nm². Large scallops had an average density of 55246 scallops nm² with a standard deviation of 61,426 scallops nm². Survey efficiency, as measured by catch rate CVs, was somewhat better in this area than in the previous district. CVs of larger scallops were typically near the desired 20% target, though smaller scallops had higher CVs than desired (Table 2-1).

Abundance and Biomass

Survey estimates of scallop abundance were highest for beds KSH1, KNE3 and YAK2 at around 15 million scallops for all three (Table 2-2; Figure 2-8). However, most of the scallops captured in bed KSH 1 and nearly all of those captured in bed KNE 3 were small scallops, whereas survey catch in bed YAK 2 consisted mostly of large scallops. However, most of the scallops captured in bed KSH1 and nearly all of those captured in bed KNE3 were small scallops, whereas survey catch in bed YAK2 consisted mostly of large scallops. Overall, catch of large scallops was generally highest in beds of the Yakutat District, which had four of the five highest estimates of round-weight biomass (Table 2-3; Figure 2-9). The highest was 3.6 million pounds in bed YAK2.

Bed KSH1, the largest of the surveyed beds at 2.3 times the size of bed YAK2, had the second largest estimated round-weight biomass of about 2.5 million pounds. Beds with the highest round-weight biomass also had the highest estimated meat-weight biomass (Table 2-3, Figure 2-10).




Figure 2-8 Estimates of scallop bed abundance based on 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey data. Error bars represent approximate 95% confidence intervals. Large scallops are those with shell height ≥ 100 mm

Table 2-2 Bed estimates of scallop abundance with 95 % confidence intervals based upon the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey catch. Large scallops are those with a shell height measurement ≥ 100 mm.

Bed	Size-class	Abundance	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI
KSH1	small	11,589,712	9,291,354	19,052,193
	large	3,268,554	2,944,922	4,287,462
KSH2	small	495,041	369,512	920,599
	large	233,172	170,631	443,624
KNE2	small	407,404	296,846	710,834
	large	353,763	233,303	709,560
KNE3	small	15,224,567	9,552,971	34,963,242
	large	119,698	95,397	182,144
KNE6	small	1,345,841	1,081,805	2,298,575
	large	602,886	487,861	963,050
YAKB	small	12,337	10,191	19,359
	large	353,307	315,171	467,796
YAK1	small	1,824,314	1,392,835	3,283,129
	large	5,172,358	4,484,036	7,536,837
YAK2	small	5,306,933	4,262,338	8,278,521
	large	8,012,893	7,031,972	10,760,223
YAK4	small	3,593,513	2,889,853	5,760,775
	large	5,611,232	4,997,006	7,255,776
YAK5	small	1,344,353	813,481	2,777,535
	large	3,523,413	2,777,684	5,693,802

Table 2-3 Bed estimates of scallop round-weight biomass with 95 % confidence intervals based upon the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey catch. Large scallops are those with a shell height measurement ≥ 100 mm.

Bed	Size-class	Biomass (lb)	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI
KSH1	small	568,376	472,338	834,940
	large	1,901,880	1,696,040	2,433,807
KSH2	small	48,371	30,563	100,568
	large	83,343	59,416	161,207
KNE2	small	19,393	14,586	32,950
	large	186,632	129,781	376,449
KNE3	small	223,821	143,577	494,800
	large	65,199	53,925	98,383
KNE6	small	87,305	67,112	159,137
	large	264,220	219,491	387,649
YAKB	small	1,091	848	1,914
	large	231,065	207,729	313,515
YAK1	small	101,342	82,251	170,668
	large	1,954,200	1,723,004	2,783,214
YAK2	small	416,609	302,567	763,733
	large	3,227,267	2,879,987	4,300,499
YAK4	small	359,768	301,055	525,127
	large	1,789,157	1,611,821	2,343,488
YAK5	small	93,961	77,483	142,402
	large	1,193,723	934,088	1,809,732

Table 2-4 Bed estimates of scallop meat weight biomass (pounds) with 95% confidence intervals based on the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey catch. Large scallops are those with a shell height of 100 mm or greater.

Bed	Biomass (lb)	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI
KSH1	245,330	235,352	254,773
KSH2	17,884	15,278	21,107
KNE2	44,704	42,423	46,943
KNE3	15,592	13,714	17,728
KNE6	45,617	41,641	49,548
YAKB	16,688	15,932	17,436
YAK1	186,108	177,187	195,506
YAK2	277,820	269,710	286,524
YAK4	195,578	189,898	201,421
YAK5	114,319	108,223	120,708




Figure 2-9 Estimates of scallop bed round weight biomass based on 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey data. Error bars represent approximate bootstrap 95% confidence intervals. Large scallops are those with shell height ≥ 100 mm




Figure 2-10 Comparisons of meat weight versus round weight by district for subsampled large scallops from the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey.

Shell Height Distributions

Survey biologists measured the shell height of 4,276 small and 4,498 large scallops, ranging from 9-192 mm. Those beds comprised of relatively large scallops, generally yielded the greatest biomass estimates, although this is confounded by differing bed sizes (Table 2-2; Figures 2-8 and 2-11). Scallop catches in the Yakutat beds were generally comprised of larger scallops than in other districts. Overall, bed YAKB stands out in that it appears to consist almost entirely of very large scallops with a mode at 160 mm, whereas bed KNE3 is notable in that it appears to be dominated by very small scallops with modes at 20 and 55 mm. Similar recruitment pulses are apparent in the KSH1 catch, although unlike KNE6, small catch in KSH1 is balanced by substantial and evenly distributed catch of larger scallops. The small scallop catch in KNE3 especially, and to a lesser degree KSH1, was driven by several tows with very high catches of small scallops (Figure 2-9). YAKB and KNE3 aside, scallop shell height distributions in the other eight surveyed beds are indicated more robust population structures.

Additional Biological Data

Because the percentage of “clappers” (empty, still connected valve pairs) with respect to the number of live scallops may provide a rough index of scallop natural mortality, clappers are included in haul catch sampling. The highest percentage of clappers in survey catches was 3.2% (N = 185) in bed YAKB and the lowest was 0.1 % (N = 6,559) in bed KNE3 (Table 2-5).

An indicator of scallop stock status of importance with respect to the commercial scallop fishery is the prevalence of weak meats. “Weak meat” is a diseased condition of the adductor muscle characterized by tissue of stringy texture that tears easily during shucking (Brenner et al. 2012). The presence of this condition was recorded for subsampled large scallops in surveyed beds. The highest prevalence was 8.0% (N = 50) in bed KNE3 and the lowest was 0% (N = 57) in bed KSH2 (Table 2-5).

Table 2-5 Percentage of clappers and weak meats by bed from the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey. Meat condition was assessed only for large size scallops (≥ 100 mm). N denotes the sample size.

Bed	Clappers	N	% clappers	N (large)	Weak meats
KSH1	35	4,641	0.8	878	4.2
KSH2	2	184	1.1	57	0.0
KNE2	3	350	0.9	110	4.5
KNE3	6	6,599	0.1	50	8.0
KNE6	12	836	1.4	200	0.5
YAKB	6	185	3.2	164	1.8
YAK1	15	3,175	0.5	572	2.8
YAK2	18	6,369	0.3	938	0.3
YAK4	11	3,953	0.3	1099	0.6
YAK5	19	1,828	1.0	452	2.9

As indicators of stock reproductive potential, the sex and gonad condition of subsampled large scallops were also recorded (Tables 2-6 and 2-7). Sex was determined based on the color of the gonad after it fills with gametes. Among those scallops for which sex could be determined, males and females were roughly equally represented in most beds. Almost all the rest were recorded as having gonads that were either full or filling, except for bed KNE2 where nearly half (46.2%) were recorded as having gonads in initial recovery, i.e. having just spawned.

Table 2-6 Observed sex ratios (percent of scallops ≥ 100 mm). N denotes the sample size.

Bed	Male	Female	Hermaphrodite	Unknown	N
KSH1	52.4	47.6			359
KSH2	66.7	33.3			30
KNE2	45.3	54.7			53
KNE3	38.0	58.0		4	50
KNE6	28.3	71.7			60
YAKB	40.0	60.0			95
YAK1	48.5	51.5			169
YAK2	50.2	49.8			249
YAK4	50.4	49.3	0.3		335
YAK5	43.6	56.4			140

Table 2-7 Observed gonad status by bed. Values are percent of sampled large size scallops (≥ 100 mm), N denotes the sample size.

Bed	N	Initial					Full	Unknown
		Immature	Empty	Recovery	Filling			
KSH1	2,936	36.0	0.3	0	18.5	45.1	0.1	
KSH2	284	35.2	0	0	42.3	22.5	0	
KNE2	520	44.6	0.8	46.2	4.6	3.8	0	
KNE3	848	67.8	0.9	0.5	11.2	18.7	0.9	
KNE6	452	31.0	2.7	5.3	25.7	35.4	0	
YAKB	400	3.0	0	1.0	85.0	11.0	0	
YAK1	1,148	28.6	0	0	57.1	14.3	0	
YAK2	2,040	25.7	0	0.2	50.8	23.3	0	
YAK4	2,356	14.6	0	0	51.1	34.3	0	
YAK5	956	21.3	0	1.3	41.0	36.4	0	

Age data from secondary subsampling of large scallops were not available for this report.




Figure 2-11 Scallop bed shell height distributions for the 2017 statewide scallop dredge survey. Distributions were weighted by sample sizes.

Discussion

The primary objective of this survey was to estimate scallop abundance by survey area with a CV <20%. The CVs of large-size scallop abundance estimates for beds KSH1, YAKB, YAK1, YAK2, and YAK4 were near, or below, the target. Some beds had elevated CVs; these CVs are likely due to the presence of higher levels of spatial patchiness in scallop distributions. Additional sampling would be recommended to achieve a smaller CV in the survey areas for large scallops and would be needed in all areas to get reasonable abundance estimates of small-size scallops. Increased sampling should be conducted for beds with high CV values when surveyed again, sampling should be increased to 40% of the quadrats (up from 30% in 2016 & 2017) time and budget permitting.

Comparisons of 2016 and 2017 survey abundance estimates for the Kodiak Shelikof District (Figure 2-12) show a similar abundance of large scallops in bed KSH 1 and a substantial increase in small scallops. Results for KSH2 were similar between years. These surveys were completed using two sampling platforms in 2016 (Williams et al. 2017), differences between vessel capture efficiencies are currently unknown. It is also unknown whether the $Q=0.83$ used in these abundance estimates is appropriate for dredge used for sampling. Since this Q is uncertain the abundance estimates, and associated meat weight estimates are indices rather than absolute population estimates. In the past GHs in the Prince William Sound and Cook Inlet Areas were set based upon a 5% annual exploitation rate (Gustafson and Goldman 2012), though this rate it is not recommended for use at this time until the Q can be evaluated for the vessel/gear/bed combinations. Additionally, the Yakutat District had not previously been surveyed, without abundance estimates from previous years it is difficult to ascertain how the survey in this district relates to catch in the fishery. Future surveys in this area will help answer this question.




Figure 2-12 Comparisons of 2016 and 2017 survey abundance estimates for the Kodiak Shelikof District.

There has been a substantial increase in the number of small scallops caught in this survey. Since these small scallops are poorly represented in the fishery and there is only a short time series of survey information outside of the Cook Inlet and Prince William Sound Areas it is unclear whether this is the normal status of stock structure or an indication of a strong recruitment event.

Stock Status Determination

Scallop abundance is estimated for portions of two of the nine registration areas and a determination of MSST cannot be made. As such, **the status of the scallop stocks is “unknown”**; however, this is not considered to be a conservation concern since scallops are distributed in many areas that have been closed to fishing to protect crab populations and in areas not defined as commercial beds.

OFL, for Alaska scallops in 2016/17 was specified as equivalent to maximum OY. Currently, maximum OY is defined as 1.284 M lb of meats, which includes discards. Total scallop removals in 2016/17 was estimated to be 233,009 lb (117 t), therefore, **overfishing is not occurring** for scallop stocks in waters off Alaska.

Figure 2-13 shows statewide scallop catch in relation to historic OY/OFL levels. Since 1996, catches have averaged from 39% to 66% of OY (Table 2-8). Control rules for other Alaskan scallop species have not been developed as no commercial harvests occur. Catch by individual registration area is shown in Chapter 4.




Figure 2-13 Statewide scallop harvest (lb shucked scallop meats) and MSY levels from FMP.

Table 2 8 Alaska weathervane scallop harvest and OY/MSY/OFL, 1993/94 - 2017/18 seasons.

Season	Harvest (lb meat)	OY / MSY / OFL	% OY
1993/94	984,583	1,800,000	55
1994/95	1,240,775	1,800,000	69
1995/96	410,743	1,800,000	23
1996/97	732,424	1,800,000	41
1997/98	818,913	1,800,000	45
1998/99	822,096	1,240,000	66
1999/00	837,971	1,240,000	68
2000/01	750,617	1,240,000	61
2001/02	572,838	1,240,000	46
2002/03	509,455	1,240,000	41
2003/04	492,000	1,240,000	40
2004/05	425,477	1,240,000	34
2005/06	525,357	1,240,000	42
2006/07	487,473	1,240,000	39
2007/08	458,313	1,240,000	37
2008/09	342,434	1,240,000	28
2009/10	488,059	1,240,000	39
2010/11	459,759	1,240,000	37
2011/12	456,058	1,290,000	35
2012/13	417,551	1,290,000	32
2013/14	399,134	1,290,000	31
2014/15	308,888	1,290,000	24
2015/16	264,532	1,290,000	20
2016/17	233,009	1,290,000	18
2017/18 ^a	238,710	1,290,000	19

^a PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

Intentionally Left Blank

3. Weathervane Scallop Fishery and Management

The Alaska weathervane scallop fishery is managed jointly by NPFMC and ADF&G under the Federal FMP for the Scallop Fishery off Alaska. Measures that are fixed in the FMP, implemented by Federal regulation, and require an FMP amendment to change include: license limitation program, OY specification, overfishing specification, and EFH/HAPC designation. All other management measures under the FMP are delegated to the State for management under Federal oversight. ADF&G management of the weathervane scallop fishery covers both State and Federal waters off Alaska.

Vessel Participation in the Scallop Fishery

Commercial weathervane scallop fishing in Federal waters off Alaska is limited by a Federal license limitation program (LLP), while scallop fishing in State waters is open access. The Federal LLP, effective 2001 under Amendment 4, limits participation in the scallop fishery in Federal waters to nine vessels. Seven LLP vessels were permitted to fish statewide outside of Cook Inlet using up to two 10-foot dredges statewide, and two LLP vessels were permitted to fish statewide utilizing single 6-foot dredges. In August 2005, NMFS implemented Amendment 10 to the FMP, which modified the gear restriction to allow the single 6-foot dredge LLPs to be used with up to two 10-foot dredges outside of Cook Inlet. All 9 licenses allow vessel owners to fish inside Cook Inlet with a single 6-foot dredge. Vessel length for a given LLP is restricted to vessel length during the qualifying period. Unless otherwise restricted by the LLP, vessels fishing in the remainder of the state may simultaneously operate a maximum of 2 dredges that are 15 feet or less in width.

Participating in the Scallop fishery in Alaska state waters (0-3 nautical miles) had been limited by a vessel-based limited entry program until State limited entry expired in 2013 and was not renewed by the Alaska State Legislature. To date, no additional state-only vessels have participated in the open access state water fishery.

Four vessels with Federal LLP permits as well as state vessel-based limited entry permits (when required) have harvested most of the scallop catch outside Cook Inlet over the past several seasons. Only one of these vessels typically participates in the Cook Inlet Registration Area fishery.

Voluntary Scallop Cooperative

In 2000, six of the nine LLP owners formed the North Pacific Scallop Cooperative under authority of the Fishermen's Cooperative Marketing Act, 48 Stat. 1213 (1934), 15 U.S.C. Sec. 521. The cooperative is self-regulated and is neither endorsed nor managed by ADF&G or NMFS. The cooperative regulates individual vessel allocations within the GHL and crab bycatch caps under the terms of their cooperative contract. Non-coop vessels are not bound by any contract provisions. The cooperative does not receive an exclusive allocation of the scallop harvest. Some owners opted to remove their boats from the fishery and arranged for their shares to be caught by other members of the cooperative. Since formation of the cooperative, harvest rates have slowed, and fishing effort occurs over a longer time period each season.

Vessel owners within the cooperative have taken an active role in reducing crab bycatch. Vessel operators provide confidential in-season fishing information to an independent consulting company contracted by the cooperative. This firm reviews crab bycatch data, fishing locations, and scallop harvest, which allows for real time identification of high crab bycatch areas. When these areas are identified, the fleet is provided with the information and directed to avoid the area.

Alaska State Registration Areas

The State Scallop Fishery Management Plan established nine scallop registration areas in Alaska for vessels commercially fishing scallops (Figure 1-1). These include the Southeastern Alaska Registration Area (Area A); Yakutat Registration Area (Area D), which is subdivided into the Yakutat District and District 16; Prince William Sound Registration Area (Area E), which is subdivided into the East and West Kayak Island Subsections; Cook Inlet Registration Area (Area H), which is subdivided into the Northern, Central, Southern, Kamishak Bay, Barren Islands, Outer and Eastern Districts; Kodiak Registration Area (Area K), which is subdivided into the Northeast, Shelikof, Southeast, Southwest and Semidi Islands Districts; Alaska Peninsula Registration Area (Area M), which is subdivided into the West Chignik, Central and Unimak Bight Districts; Dutch Harbor Registration Area (Area O); Bering Sea Registration Area (Area Q); and Adak Registration Area (Area R). Scallop seasons have never been opened in Area A, and effort occurred in Area R during 1995 only.

Seasons

The regulatory fishing season for weathervane scallops in Alaska is July 1 through February 15 except in the Cook Inlet Registration Area (5 AAC 38.167 & 5 AAC 38.420). In the Kamishak District of Cook Inlet, the season is August 15 through October 31 (5 AAC 38.220 & 5 AAC 38.320). These seasons were developed to limit fishing during scallop spawning periods, to achieve the highest possible product quality, to limit gear conflicts with other fisheries, and to increase vessel safety. Scallop fishing in any registration area in the state may be closed by emergency order prior to the end of the regulatory season. Scallop GHs are typically announced by ADF&G one month prior to the season opening date.

Annual Catch Limits

Annual catch limits (ACLs) and accountability measures (AMs) are requirements under the MSA for all fisheries managed by federal fishery management plans. The requirements include provisions intended to prevent overfishing by requiring that: FMPs establish a mechanism for specifying ACLs in the plan; implementing regulations, or annual specifications, at a level such that overfishing does not occur in the fishery; and including measures to ensure accountability (AMs). The MSA includes a requirement for the SSC to recommend fishing levels to the Council and provides that ACLs may not exceed the fishing levels recommended by the SSC. NMFS's National Standard 1 Guidelines state that the ABC is the fishing level recommendation that is most relevant to ACLs. For scallops off Alaska, $ACL=ABC$.

Accountability measures were established in Amendment 13 such that the sum of the annual GHs for each scallop management area be established by the State of Alaska at a level sufficiently below the ACL so that the sum of the estimated discard mortality in directed scallop and groundfish fisheries as well as the directed scallop fishery removals does not exceed the ACL. Anytime an ACL is exceeded the overage will be accounted for through a downward adjustment to the GH during the fishing season following the overage.

Directed fishing only occurs on weathervane scallops and the FMP only provides an estimate of MSY/OY for weathervane scallops thus it is defined as being 'in the fishery'. The remaining species of scallops under the Alaska Scallop FMP include pink scallops, spiny scallops and rock scallops are contained in an 'Ecosystem component (EC)' of the FMP. ACLs are not required for EC species provided they are not being explicitly targeted. Ecosystem component species generally are not retained for any purpose, although *de minimis* amounts might occasionally be retained.

Catch in relation to ACLs

Total scallop catch is compared against the ACL and is applied statewide. Total catch from 2016/17 is reported in Table 4-1, and preliminary retained catch from the 2017/18 fishery is provided in Table 4-2. Note that discard estimates are not yet available for 2017/18. Final catch in relation to the ACL for 2017/18 will be provided in the 2019 Scallop SAFE report.

Guideline Harvest Ranges

ADF&G manages the fishery by registration areas and districts. Guideline harvest ranges (GHRs) are hard caps established in State of Alaska regulations for each registration area and are not to be exceeded. GHLs are pre-season targets set for each fishing area (registration area, district, or statistical area) prior to the season by ADF&G regional managers. Total harvest for each fishing area in a given season is typically near or below the GHL but may exceed it.

Regulatory GHRs for traditional scallop fishing areas were first established by the State of Alaska in 1993 under the Interim Management Plan for Commercial Scallop Fisheries in Alaska. Regulatory GHRs (pounds of shucked scallop meats) were set at 0–250,000 lb for Yakutat; 0–50,000 lb for Prince William Sound; 10,000–20,000 lb for the Kamishak District of Cook Inlet; 0–400,000 lb for Kodiak; and 0–170,000 lb for Dutch Harbor. These area GHR ceilings were determined by averaging historic catches from 1969 to 1992, excluding years when there was no fishing or a “fishing-up effect” occurred (Barnhart, 2003).

Prior to the August 1, 1996 re-opening of the weathervane scallop fishery, the State of Alaska established GHRs for non-traditional registration areas including: 0–200,000 lb for the Alaska Peninsula; 0–600,000 lb for the Bering Sea; 0–35,000 lb for District 16; and 0–75,000 lb for Adak. The combined total of the upper limits from traditional and non-traditional areas was 1.8 million lb, which was defined as MSY in Amendment 1 to the federal FMP.

In 1998, the scallop plan team recommended a more conservative definition of MSY. Based on average landings from 1990–1997 excluding 1995 when the fishery was closed for most of the year, MSY was subsequently established in Amendment 6 of the FMP at 1.24 million lb, with optimum yield defined as the range 0–1.24 million lb. To accommodate the new definition, regulatory GHR ceilings were reduced by the State of Alaska from 400,000 to 300,000 lb in Kodiak; from 170,000 to 110,000 in Dutch Harbor; and from 600,000 to 400,000 lb in the Bering Sea. Hence, the regulatory GHR ceiling written into Alaska regulatory code is also 1.24 million lb.

In Season Data Use

Observers, which are required on all vessels fishing for scallops in Alaska outside Cook Inlet, monitor the fishery during the season and transmit data to ADF&G at least three times per week. Fishing may be closed in any area before the GHL is reached if collected data raise concerns about localized depletion, trends in CPUE, or bycatch rates. In-season data are also used by the scallop industry to avoid areas of high crab bycatch.

Beginning in 2010 concern over declining harvest prompted a review of fishery performance. Westward Region implemented a minimum performance standard as part of in season management assessment. All major harvest areas now have standards developed. A minimum performance standard was also

implemented in the Yakutat area prior to the 2013/14 season. Area specific minimum performance standards are based on the lowest fishery CPUE within the observer time series (Table 3-1).

CPUE is tracked throughout the season by management area and compared to the minimum performance standard. If the in season cumulative CPUE is less than or equal to the minimum performance standard, when approximately half of the GHL is taken, the fishery may close prior to achieving the upper end of the GHL. If CPUE is higher than the minimum performance standard, the fishery may continue toward the upper end of the GHL with continued monitoring. This approach is applied to management areas, major beds within management areas and statistical reporting areas, depending upon the level of concern. This approach is used to help guard against localized depletion.

Table 3-1 CPUE minimum performance standards and basis years for major harvest areas.

Area	Minimum Performance Standard (CPUE)	Basis Year
Yakutat Area		
Yakutat	34	2011/12
Kodiak Area		
<i>Northeast District</i>		
Statistical Area 525630	45	2005/06
Statistical Area 525702	52	2002/03
Remainder of NE District	43	2005/06
<i>Shelikof District</i>		
Combined North/South Bed	47	2003/04
Bristol Bay-Bering Sea	43	2004/05 - 2009/10 ^a

^a Based on average CPUE during the 2004/05 to 2009/10 seasons

Crab Bycatch Limits

Bycatch of crabs in the scallop fishery is controlled through the use of Crab Bycatch Limits (CBLs) that are based on condition of individual crab stocks. CBLs were first instituted by the state in July 1993. Methods used to determine CBLs in 1993 and 1994 were approved by the BOF and the Council and, with few exceptions, remain unchanged. Annual CBLs are established pre-season by ADF&G for areas with current crab resource abundance information (surveys). For areas without crab abundance estimates, CBLs may be set as a fixed number of crabs that is not adjusted seasonally.

In the Kodiak, Alaska Peninsula, and Dutch Harbor Registration Areas, the CBLs are set at 0.5% or 1.0% of the total crab stock abundance estimate based on the most recent survey data. Statewide CBLs by region are shown in Table 3-2. Information specific to individual regions is

indicated in the sections below. In registration areas or districts where red king crab or Tanner crab abundance is sufficient to support a commercial crab fishery, the cap is set at 1.0% of the most recent red king crab or Tanner crab abundance estimate. In registration areas or districts where the red king crab or Tanner crab abundance is insufficient to support a commercial fishery, the CBL is set at 0.5% of the most recent red king crab or Tanner crab abundance estimate. Crab abundance estimates are not available in the Southwest District of the Kodiak Area or the Unimak Bight area of the Alaska Peninsula Area. In each of these areas, CBLs are fixed at 50 red king crabs and 12,000 Tanner crabs. Bycatch caps are expressed in numbers of crabs and include all sizes of crabs caught in the scallop fishery.

Table 3-2 Statewide crab bycatch limits in percentage of crab abundance estimates (where available) or number of crabs.

Area/District	Red King Crab	<i>C. bairdi</i>	<i>C. opilio</i>
Yakutat District 16	NE ^a	NE	NA ^b
Yakutat District	NE	NE	NA
Prince William Sound	NE	0.5%	NA
Cook Inlet Kamishak District	30 crab	0.5%	NA
Kodiak Northeast District	0.5% or 1.0%	0.5% or 1.0%	NA
Kodiak Shelikof District	0.5% or 1.0%	0.5% or 1.0%	NA
Kodiak Southwest District	50 ^c	12,000 ^c	NA
Kodiak Semidi Islands District	NE	NE	NA
Alaska Peninsula	0.5% or 1.0%	0.5% or 1.0%	NA
Alaska Peninsula Unimak Bight District	50 ^c	12,000 ^c	NA
Bering Sea	500 crab ^c	3 tier approach	3 tier approach
Dutch Harbor	0.5% or 1.0%	0.5% or 1.0%	NA
Adak ^d	50	10,000 crab	NA

^a Not established.

^b Not applicable.

^c Fixed CBL.

^d Bycatch limit established to provide scallop fleet opportunity for exploratory fishing while protecting crab resources.

In the Kamishak District of the Cook Inlet Registration Area, the Tanner crab bycatch limit is set at 0.5% of the total crab stock abundance from the most recent dredge survey and the red king crab limit was fixed at 60 crabs in earlier years and has since been reduced to 30 crabs commensurate with the reduction in red king crab catch in trawl and dredge surveys in recent years. In 2001, ADF&G set Tanner crab bycatch caps in the Prince William Sound Registration Area at 0.5% of the Tanner crab population estimate from the 2000 scallop survey. This resulted in bycatch limits of 2,700 and 8,700 for the east and west harvest areas. Starting in 2010, the department set crab bycatch limits at 0.5% of the Tanner crab abundance estimated from the scallop survey.

CBLs in the Bering Sea (registration Area Q) have evolved from fixed numbers in 1993 to a three tier approach used in the current fishery. In 1993, Bering Sea CBLs were set by ADF&G to allow the fleet adequate opportunity to explore and harvest scallop stocks while protecting the crab resource. CBLs were

established at 260,000 *Chionoecetes spp.* and 17,000 red king crabs. In Amendment 1 of the federal scallop FMP, the Council approved the CBLs established by ADF&G. The Council also recommended that king crab bycatch limits be set within a range of 500 to 3,000 annually. From the 1996/97 through 1998/99 fishing seasons the CBL for *Chionoecetes spp.* in the Bering Sea was established annually by applying the percentages established for snow and Tanner crab limits in Amendment 1 of the FMP. Beginning with the 1996/97 fishing season ADF&G took a conservative approach and set the red king crab limit in Registration Area Q at 500 red king crabs annually. In 1998, consistent with the Tanner crab rebuilding plan in the Bering Sea, crab bycatch limits were modified.

The current three tier approach was established utilizing the bycatch limits established in Amendment 1 of the FMP, 300,000 snow crabs and 260,000 Tanner crabs. The three tiers include (1) Tanner crab spawning biomass above minimum stock size threshold (MSST); bycatch limit is set at 260,000 crabs, (2) Tanner crab spawning biomass below MSST; bycatch limit is set at 130,000 crabs, and (3) Tanner crab spawning biomass is below MSST and the commercial fishing season is closed; Tanner crab limit is set at 65,000 crabs. A similar three tier approach was taken with the snow crab bycatch caps. The three tiers include (1) snow crab spawning biomass above the MSST; bycatch limit is set at 300,000 crabs, (2) snow crab spawning biomass below MSST; bycatch limit is set at 150,000 crabs, and (3) snow crab spawning biomass below MSST and the commercial fishing season is closed; the snow crab limit is set at 75,000 crabs.

Bycatch of snow crabs, Tanner crabs, and red king crabs by scallop fisheries are shown in Tables 3-3 and 3-4. Bycatch of snow, king, and Tanner crabs during the Bering Sea scallop fishery tends to be much lower than for other Bering Sea fisheries. Observer data on carapace width for samples crabs by registration area are available in Figure 3-1 for 2015/16 fisheries.

Table 3-3 Bycatch of King crabs by Area/District in the 2016/17 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery.

Area/District	King crab bycatch cap	Est number crab
Yakutat District	NE	0
Yakutat District 16	NE	0
Prince William Sound	NE	0
Cook Inlet	30	0
Kodiak Northeast District	25	0
Kodiak Shelikof District	50	1
Kodiak Southwest District	50	7
Alaska Peninsula Central District	NE	0
Alaska Peninsula Unimak Bight District	50	0
Dutch Harbor	20	2
Bering Sea	500	35
Statewide total	725	45

NE: not established

Table 3-4 Bycatch of *Chionoecetes* crabs by Area/District in the 2016/17 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery.

Area/District	<i>Chionoecetes</i> bycatch cap	Est number crab	Est weight (lb) ^a
Yakutat District	NE	933	11
Yakutat District 16	NE	0	0
Prince William Sound	1,561	180	1
Cook Inlet	3,933	306	51
Kodiak Northeast District	20,816	9,063	2,400
Kodiak Shelikof District	43,477	3,322	1,674
Kodiak Southwest District	12,000	7,868	2,597
Alaska Peninsula Central District	NE	38	15
Alaska Peninsula Unimak Bight District	12,000	3,266	549
Dutch Harbor	10,000	363	56
Bering Sea <i>C. bairdi</i>	260,000	11,862	9,015
Bering Sea <i>C. opilio</i> and hybrids	300,000	17,206	10,660
Statewide total	663,787	54,407	27,029

NE: not established

^a Weight estimation for areas outside Cook Inlet uses estimated number crab, carapace width distributions from observer sampling and CW-weight relationship parameters from NMFS Bering Sea crab research. Cook Inlet estimate is based on sampling weight of crab by ADF&G.

Scallop fishery closures due to attainment of CBLs have decreased over the years, in part due to decreased crab abundance (Barnhart and Rosenkranz, 2003) as well as a voluntary industry cooperative, which provides the fleet additional flexibility to move off of high bycatch areas. ADF&G closely monitors bycatch rates during scallop seasons and has used a rate of one crab per pound of scallop meats as a benchmark since 1993. Bycatch may affect harvest and CPUE in the Bering Sea scallop fishery as vessel operators move or cease fishing when bycatch rates meet or exceed this benchmark.

Intentionally Left Blank

2016/17 Scallop Fishery Size Distribution of Tanner Crab Bycatch




Figure 3-1 Tanner crab carapace width distributions by management unit from catch sampling during the 2016/17 scallop fishery.

4. Regional Fishery Performance

The 2016/17 season statewide Guideline Harvest Level (GHL) for weathervane scallops was 286,300 lb of shucked meats. Of this GHL 233,003 lb were retained with an additional 7,037 lb of estimated discard mortality for a total take of 240,040 lb of shucked meats (Table 4-1).

Table 4-1 GHLs and summary statistics from 2016/17 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery.

Area/District	GHR (lb meat)	GHL (lb meat)	Retained catch (lb meat)	CPUE (lb meat per dredge hr)	Est scallop discard mortality (lb meat) ^a
Yakutat District	0-250,000	120,000	120,140	57	4,424
Yakutat District 16	0-35,000	5,000	240	16	16
Prince William Sound	0-50,000	6,300	6,360	57	151
Cook Inlet	10,000-20,000	10,000	3,982	15	86
Kodiak Northeast District	0-300,000 for whole Kodiak	55,000	24,410	22	574
Kodiak Shelikof District	Area	25,000	25,120	30	971
Kodiak Southwest District ^b		25,000	25,110	56	455
Alaska Peninsula Central District	0-100,000 for whole Alaska	7,500	0	0	2
Alaska Peninsula Unimak Bight District ^b	Peninsula Area	15,000	15,016	44	200
Dutch Harbor	0-110,000	10,000	5,050	49	35
Bering Sea	0-300,000	7,500	7,575	28	123
Statewide total		286,300	233,003	42	7,037

^a Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality (as previously used in scallop ACL analysis) for discarded scallops and meat recovery percentages from observer experiments.

^b Exploratory fishery prosecuted under ADF&G Commissioner's Permit.

Table 4-2 GHLs and preliminary catch from the 2017/18 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery.

Area/District	GHL (lb scallop meats)	Retained catch (lb scallop meats)
Yakutat District	140,000	140,075
Yakutat District 16	5,000	5,005
Prince William Sound	6,300	6,330
Cook Inlet	10,000	0
Kodiak Northeast District	55,000	14,190
Kodiak Shelikof District	25,000	25,050
Kodiak Southwest District ^a	25,000	25,020
Alaska Peninsula Central District	7,500	0
Alaska Peninsula Unimak Bight District ^a	15,000	15,250
Dutch Harbor	10,000	285
Bering Sea	7,500	7,535
Statewide total	306,300	238,740

^a Exploratory fishery prosecuted under ADF&G Commissioner's Permit.

Southeast Region

District 16

Due to consistently poor fishery performance, the District 16 GHL was reduced 80% to a monitoring level of 5,000 lb for sucked meats prior to the 2016/17 season. The GHL was fully taken in the 2017/18 season with the highest CPUE in 4 seasons (Table 4-3, Figures 4-1, 4-2). The fleet cited poor densities and product quality as the reasons for the low harvest numbers in previous seasons. This variation in product quality between years seems to be standard in District 16. District 16 is the easternmost scallop bed in the state, and the product quality issues may be due to marginal habitat.

Table 4-3 Yakutat District 16 scallop fishery summary statistics, 2000/01–2017/18.

Season	Number vessels	GH L (lb meat)	Retained catch (lb meat)	Dredge (lb round)	Dredge hours	Meat weight CPUE ^a	Round weight CPUE ^b	Discard mortality (lb meat) ^c
2000/01	3	35,000	30,904	310,370	476	65	652	854
2001/02	2	35,000	20,398	245,319	417	49	588	815
2002/03	2	35,000	3,685	60,928	100	37	609	211
2003/04	2	35,000	1,072	16,780	18	60	932	18
2004/05	2	35,000	24,430	326,228	419	58	779	332
2005/06	2	35,000	13,650	209,487	407	34	515	597
2006/07	2	21,000	13,445	184,106	309	44	595	415
2007/08	1	21,000	180	8,888	6	30	635	34
2008/09	2	21,000	20,986	207,251	423	50	490	1,259
2009/10	2	25,000	11,791	210,006	439	27	437	1,745
2010/11	1	25,000	2,655	31,266	83	32	370	468
2011/12	1	25,000	1,777	21,978	57	31	361	51
2012/13	1	25,000	25,255	335,178	684	37	452	1,019
2013/14	2	25,000	25,510	313,000	634	40	494	708
2014/15	2	25,000	9,140	108,803	423	22	257	256
2015/16	1	25,000	870	10,512	41	21	255	34
2016/17	1	5,000	240	2,331	16	15	308	16
2017/18 ^d	1	5,000	5,005	NA	126	40	NA	NA

^a lb scallop meat / dredge hour

^b lb scallop round / dredge hour

^c Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality for discarded scallops and meat recovery of 8.3% from observer experiments.

^d PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

Overall CPUE in District 16 has been declining since the 2000/01 season (Figure 4-1); it is difficult to parse out an explanation. Inter-annual variation analysis is difficult because effort is highly variable in the area. There are years with practically no harvest and relatively low CPUE immediately followed by a season of high harvest and relatively high CPUE. However, due to the large and sudden decrease in CPUE and reports of poor fishery performance from the fleet, beginning in the 2014/15 season, a decrease in harvest pressure appeared necessary. Harvest had averaged 11,200 lb of shucked meats over the past 10 seasons prior to 2016/17. In order to produce a substantial and effective reduction in harvest, a 5,000 lb GH was

introduced prior to the 2016/17 season. This amount allows for exploratory effort by the fleet in order to monitor the fishery performance while reducing harvest on a stock of concern.

No crab bycatch was observed in the 2016/17 season (Table 3-4).




Figure 4-1 Yakutat District 16 scallop harvest and CPUE, 1997/98 - 2017/18 seasons

Table 4-4 District 16 catch summary for the 2009/10-2016/17 seasons for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.

Season	Raw CPUE			Standardized
	Median	Mean	SD	CPUE
2009/10	423.8	449.6	258.1	379.0
2010/11	352.2	385.5	196.5	333.6
2011/12	356.2	374.8	205.9	335.9
2012/13	431.6	454.3	193.7	389.4
2013/14	550.3	502.3	242.8	385.7
2014/15	265.0	264.1	104.7	244.0
2015/16	131.7	311.6	139.5	238.4
2016/17	178.2	163.6	122.4	126.3




Figure 4-2 Yakutat District 16 scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96 - 2016/17 seasons.

Intentionally Left Blank




Figure 4-3 Yakutat District 16 retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Yakutat

The 2016/17 season was the first season at an increased GHL in Yakutat. This GHL increase was possible as the previous 5 years at a reduced GHL appeared to have been effective as CPUE increased from the mid-30s to the high 40s and 50s (Figures 4-4, 4-5). Based on preliminary harvest and effort from the 2017/18 season, CPUE is up 50% from the 2011/12 low to the second highest level since the 1999/00 season.

Table 4-5 Yakutat Area D scallop fishery summary statistics, 2000/01–2017/18.

Season	Number vessels	GHL (lb meat)	Retained catch (lb meat)	(lb round)	Dredge hours ^a	Meat weight CPUE ^a	Round weight CPUE ^b	Discard mortality (lb meat) ^c
2000/01	3	250,000	195,699	2,734,559	4,241	46	645	10,401
2001/02	2	200,000	103,800	1,521,537	2,406	43	632	4,809
2002/03	2	200,000	122,718	1,541,867	2,439	50	632	6,326
2003/04	2	200,000	160,918	1,939,004	3,360	48	577	6,940
2004/05	2	200,000	86,950	1,262,499	2,132	41	592	3,869
2005/06	2	200,000	199,351	2,662,031	5,089	39	523	6,988
2006/07	2	150,000	150,041	1,771,229	2,817	53	629	6,715
2007/08	2	150,000	125,960	1,593,223	2,601	48	613	9,184
2008/09	3	150,000	150,289	2,053,912	3,286	46	625	7,361
2009/10	2	160,000	158,225	2,317,273	3,946	40	589	10,985
2010/11	3	160,000	156,575	2,087,228	3,495	45	610	10,216
2011/12	3	160,000	156,463	2,386,748	4,598	34	513	10,303
2012/13	3	120,000	118,140	1,708,044	3,354	35	501	8,706
2013/14	3	120,000	122,290	1,540,114	2,391	51	644	3,770
2014/15	3	120,000	120,353	1,446,693	2,736	44	529	2,861
2015/16	2	120,000	119,820	1,684,050	2,530	47	666	3,169
2016/17	2	120,000	120,140	1,633,663	2,083	57	784	4,424
2017/18 ^d	2	140,000	140,075	NA	2,728	51	NA	NA

^a lb scallop meat / dredge hour

^b lb scallop round / dredge hour

^c Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality for discarded scallops and meat recovery of 8.3% from observer experiments.

^d PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

In the 2016/17 Yakutat fishery, 120,140 lb of scallop meats were retained and an estimated 22,120 lb, or approximately 18.4%, were discarded, Discards increased from the 2015/16 season, but were still well below the 10-year mean of 20.2%. Using a 20% discard mortality, estimated 4,424lb of scallop meat weight was lost to discard mortality in the 2016/17 season (Table 4-5).

Estimated shell height distributions in Area D show a slight decrease in the range of scallop sizes in the 2016/17 season, with an apparent prerecruit pulse in the 80-mm range no longer discernable. The bulk of the retained scallops remain in the 115–140 mm shell height (SH) range (Figure 4-6).

Beginning in 2013 a minimum performance standard was implemented for Yakutat as part of in season management assessment, as had been developed in the Westward region in 2010. The minimum

performance standard is based on the lowest fishery CPUE within the observer time series. In the case of Yakutat this is 34 lb shucked meats / dredge hour based on the 2011/12 season (Table 3-1).




Figure 4-4 Yakutat Area D scallop harvest and CPUE, 1997/98–2017/18 seasons.

Crab bycatch estimates calculated from 2016/17 Yakutat observer samples were 933 Tanner crabs (Table 3-4), and 31 Dungeness crabs. The estimated Yakutat Tanner crab bycatch decreased 51% from the 2015/16 season. Carapace width (CW) of Tanner crabs sampled by observers ranged from about 10mm to 60mm, with the vast majority in the 20-30mm range (Figure 3-1).

Table 4-6 Yakutat District catch summary for the 2009/10–2016/17 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.

Season	Raw CPUE			Standardized CPUE
	Median	Mean	SD	
2009/10	584.8	598.6	255.5	554.3
2010/11	580.6	619.0	247.7	535.9
2011/12	508.3	521.6	200.5	448.8
2012/13	466.8	498.5	226.6	414.5
2013/14	645.3	645.6	258.8	573.2
2014/15	509.5	519.3	200.9	506.7
2015/16	643.5	673.5	242.1	614.2
2016/17	703.0	774.1	347.9	826.4




Figure 4-5 Yakutat District scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96–2016/17 seasons.

Intentionally Left Blank



Figure 4-6 Yakutat District retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Central Region

Kayak Island

In 2017/18 the Kayak Island weathervane scallop fishery in the Prince William Sound Area (PWS, Area E) opened for the second consecutive season after being closed since 2011. The Kayak Island scallop fishery has a guideline harvest range (GHR) of zero to 50,000 lb of shucked scallop meats, season dates of July 1 through February 15, and is open in the Eastern Section of the Outside District of PWS. The guideline harvest level (GHL) is set based on the Kayak Island ADF&G dredge survey estimates of abundance and biomass. For the PWS scallop fishery, the Eastern Section is divided into the West Kayak (WKS, West bed) and East Kayak (EKS, East bed) subsections marked by Cape St. Elias. The season was closed in the WKS for the 2010/11 season after historically low levels of abundance and biomass were observed in the survey and remained closed through the 2015/16 season. The season was closed in the EKS for the 2012/13 season following a sharp decline in survey estimates of abundance and biomass and has remained closed through the 2017/18 season. The most recent survey was completed in April 2016 and results showed increased abundance and biomass in the WKS, a continued trend since 2014. The survey also showed a continued decline of abundance and biomass in the EKS with historic low levels. The 2017/18 season opened July 1 in the WKS with a GHL of 6,300 lb and closed at 6 p.m. August 6 when the GHL was achieved. The season remained closed in the EKS. One vessel participated in the 2017/18 fishery and harvested 6,330 lb for a CPUE of 62 lb/hr, slightly above the CPUE of 57 lb/hr for the 2016/17 season.

During the 2016/17 season in the WKS, the GHL was set at 6,300 lb, the same level as the 2017/18 season, and fishery performance was also similar with one vessel participating and achieving the GHL. The observer sampled 11 of 55 tows during the 2016/17 season. Using observer information, scallop catch estimates were 102,506 lb round weight (whole scallops) retained and 8,868 lb round weight discarded, for a discard rate of 8.7%, higher than the 2011/12 season discard rate in the EKS of 7.6%. The EKS was last open from July 31 through August 5 of the 2011/12 season when one vessel harvested 8,460 lb of scallop meats (Table 4-7). The CPUE was 53 lb/hr, slightly higher than the previous 2010/11 season CPUE in the EKS, and lower than the CPUE during the recent two seasons in the WKS. During the past six seasons CPUE has remained well below the average historical CPUE for all years of 90 lb/hr.

Shell height distributions provided by the statewide observer program indicate that scallops retained during the 2016/17 season in the WKS ranged from 105 to 143 mm with an average shell height of 121 mm, n=240 sampled (Figure 4-8). Scallops harvested during the 2011/12 season in the EKS were larger and ranged between 118 and 158 mm in shell height, with an average of 139 mm (n=420 sampled). Similarly, discarded scallops averaged 86 mm during the 2016/17 season and 124 mm during the 2011/12 season.

During the 2016/17 season in the WKS, zero king crab and 180 Tanner crab were caught as bycatch (Tables 3-3 and 3-4); Tanner crab were very small and total crab weight was estimated at 1 lb. There were no king or Tanner crab caught in the EKS during the 2011/12 season; although this was unusual, the onboard observer was highly experienced and scallop observer program staff has no reason to question these results. No Dungeness crab or halibut were encountered during sampled dredges for either season.




Figure 4-7 Prince William Sound scallop harvest and CPUE, 1996/97–2016/17 seasons.




Figure 4-8 Prince William Sound retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Table 4-7 Commercial harvest of weathervane scallops from Kayak Island beds, 1995/96–2017/18.

Season	Number Vessels	East Bed				West Bed				Total Both Beds			
		GHL ^a (lb meat)	Catch (lb meat)	Dredge hours	CPUE (lb per dredge)	GHL ^a (lb meat)	Catch (lb meat)	Dredge hours	CPUE (lb per dredge hr)	GHL ^a (lb meat)	Catch (lb meat)	Dredge hours	CPUE (lb per dredge hr)
1995/96	3									50,000	108,000	NA	NA
1996/97		Closed				Closed				Closed			
1997/98	1									17,200	18,000	171	105
1998/99	2	6,000	6,300	85	74	14,000	13,350	94	142	20,000	19,650	179	110
1999/00	2	6,000	6,065	74	82	14,000	13,345	76	190	20,000	20,410	149	137
2000/01	3	9,000	8,998	92	98	21,000	21,268	129	164	30,000	30,266	221	137
2001/02	1	9,000	9,060	140	65	21,000	21,030	124	170	30,000	30,090	263	114
2002/03	2	6,000	1,680	43	39	14,000	13,961	79	177	20,000	15,641	122	128
2003/04	1	6,000	5,910	123	48	14,000	14,070	93	152	20,000	19,980	216	93
2004/05	2	26,000	25,350	430	59	24,000	23,970	185	130	50,000	49,320	615	80
2005/06	3	26,000	24,435	219	112	24,000	24,781	272	91	50,000	49,216	491	100
2006/07	2	20,000	20,010	188	106	17,000	17,005	147	116	37,000	37,015	335	110
2007/08	2	20,000	20,015	203	99	17,000	17,090	225	76	37,000	37,105	428	87
2008/09	1	15,000	15,030	197	76	5,000	5,010	134	37	20,000	20,040	331	61
2009/10	2	15,000	15,035	335	45	5,000	4,980	84	59	20,000	20,015	419	48
2010/11	1	8,400	8,445	161	52	Closed				8,400	8,445	161	52
2011/12	1	8,400	8,460	160	53	Closed				8,400	8,460	160	53
2012/13		Closed				Closed				Closed			
2013/14		Closed				Closed				Closed			
2014/15		Closed				Closed				Closed			
2015/16		Closed				Closed				Closed			
2016/17	1	Closed				6,300	6,360	112	57	6,300	6,360	112	57
2017/18	1	Closed				6,300	6,330	102	62	6,300	6,330	102	62

^a Separate GHLs were established for the east and west beds in 1998

^b PRELIMINARY data subject to change

Kamishak Bay

In 2017, the Kamishak District weathervane scallop fishery in the Cook Inlet (CI) Area was open for the third consecutive year, following a two-year closure, and the GHL was set at the lower bound of the GHR or 10,000 lb, the same as in the 2016 season. The GHR is 10,000 to 20,000 lb of shucked scallop meats for the Kamishak District in CI, specified by state regulation, with season dates of August 15 through October 31. The GHL is set based on the Kamishak Bay ADF&G dredge survey estimates of abundance and biomass. The survey was last conducted in May 2015 when only the north bed was surveyed. The 2015 survey produced a higher total scallop estimate than the 2013 survey, however, there was a concentration of small scallops found in the southern part of the north bed. In order to conserve scallops in the southern portion of the north bed and the south bed, waters of the Kamishak District south of 59° 18.50' N. lat. remained closed for the 2015, 2016, and 2017 seasons. The south bed has been closed 11 out of the last 13 seasons, and when it was open for the 2005 and 2006 seasons, there was no effort. In 2017, there was no harvest or effort in the Kamishak District fishery.

One vessel participated in the 2016 fishery and harvested 3,982 lb of scallops (including 86 lb of dead loss), less than half of the 10,000 lb GHL. Effort was 271 dredge hours for a CPUE of 15 lb/hour, the second lowest CPUE in the history of the fishery (Table 4-8, Figure 4-9). The CPUE decreased as the 2016 fishery progressed beginning with 17 lb/hr on the first trip and dropping to 13 lb/hr on the third and final trip. The lowest CPUE for the fishery was in 2006 when the fishery was closed inseason with a CPUE of 5 lb/hr.

During the 2015 season, the GHL was set at 10,000 lb, the same level as 2016; in 2015, one vessel participated and harvested 95% of the GHL with an average fishery CPUE of 21 lb/hr (Table 4-8). Similar to 2016, the CPUE decreased during the course of the 2015 fishery starting with an average of 26 lb/hr during the first trip down to 17 lb/hr on the fourth and final trip.

In 2016, retained scallops from observed tows ranged from 129 to 190 mm, with an average shell height of 162 mm, an increase from 160 mm in 2015 and 155 mm in 2012. Discarded live (small) scallops in 2016 averaged 107 mm in shell height compared to 119 mm in 2015 and 101 mm in 2012. Age data for 2016 was unavailable for this report. In 2015, ages ranged from 4 to 20 years for harvested scallops with an average age of 11 years; in 2012, ages ranged from 4 to 23 years with an average age of 10 years.

Vessels participating in the Kamishak District scallop fishery are not required to have a statewide observer onboard, although department staff observers must be accommodated upon request. Typically, at least half of trips are observed. ADF&G placed an observer on two of the three fishery trips in 2016 to collect data on scallop catch, discards, crab bycatch, and catch composition; the observer sampled 47 of 237 total tows. This information was used to calculate dead loss, a discard rate (by weight) of 2.1%, and an average meat recovery of 8.8%. The occurrence of weak meats was also observed during the fishery. In 2016, 220 scallops were sampled for meat quality during observed trips and 9 scallops or 4.0% had weak meats, which was less than the 5.1% observed in 2015 and higher than the 2.7% observed in 2012.

Crab bycatch levels in 2017 were set at 3,933 Tanner crab and 30 king crab, however, there was no participation in the fishery and therefore zero crab were caught. In 2016, crab bycatch as reported on skipper logbooks was 0 king crab and 306 Tanner crab (Table 3-3 and 3-4), and the largest catch in a single tow was 17 crab. Crab bycatch in 2015 was 1 king crab and 331 Tanner crab, with a maximum of 107 Tanner crab caught during a single tow, which was reported during the unobserved fourth trip that year. Observed crab bycatch was corroborated by skipper logbooks.




Figure 4-9 Cook Inlet scallop harvest and CPUE, 1993–2017 seasons.




Figure 4-10 Cook Inlet retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2010–2012 and 2015 seasons. Values are unadjusted to size of catch.

Table 4-8 Cook Inlet, Kamishak District scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993–2017.

Season	Number Vessels ^a	North Bed				South Bed				Total Both Beds			
		GHL (lb)	Catch (lb)	Dredge hours	CPUE (lb per dredge)	GHL (lb)	Catch (lb)	Dredge hours	CPUE (lb per dredge)	GHL (lb)	Catch (lb)	Dredge hours	CPUE (lb per dredge)
1994	4	20,000	20,431	458	45					20,000	20,431	458	45
1995		Closed											
1996	5	28,000	28,228	534	53					28,000	28,228	534	53
1997	3	20,000	20,336	395	52					20,000	20,336	395	52
1998	1	20,000	17,246	390	44					20,000	17,246	390	44
1999	3	20,000	20,315	325	63					20,000	20,315	325	63
2000	3	20,000	20,516	275	75					20,000	20,516	275	75
2001	2	20,000	20,097	325	62					20,000	20,097	325	62
2002	3	20,000	6,045	235	26		2,546	76	34	20,000	8,591	311	28
2003	2	Closed				20,000	15,843	896	18	20,000	15,843	896	18
2004	3	6,500	4,519	198	23	13,500	1,598	166	10	20,000	6,117	364	17
2005	2	7,000	7,378	372	20	Closed				7,000	7,378	372	20
2006	1	7,000	50	10	5	Closed				7,000	50	10	5
2007	0	7,000	0			5,000	0			12,000	0		
2008	0	7,000	0			5,000	0			12,000	0		
2009	0	14,000	0			Closed				14,000	0		
2010	1	14,000	9,460	365	26	Closed				14,000	9,460	365	26
2011	1	12,500	9,975	324	31	Closed				12,500	9,975	324	31
2012	1	12,500	11,739	392	30	Closed				12,500	11,739	392	30
2013		Closed				Closed				Closed			
2014		Closed				Closed				Closed			
2015	1	10,000	9,485	459	21	Closed				10,000	9,485	459	21
2016	1	10,000	3,982	271	15	Closed				10,000	3,982	271	15
2017	0	10,000	0			Closed				10,000	0		

Confidential data voluntarily released by vessel operators

Westward Region

Kodiak Registration Area

Kodiak Northeast

The 2017/18 season was the 5th season with the current 55,000 lb GHL in the Northeast District. Based on preliminary harvest and effort from the 2017/18 season, 14,190 lb of meats were retained from an effort of 337 dredge hours, with a CPUE of 42 pounds of meats/dredge hour (Table 4-9; Figure 4-11). This is the lowest harvest and effort in the Northeast District since the initial exploration of the area. While the 2017/18 CPUE is above the 2016/17 CPUE, it is the second lowest CPUE observed.

Table 4-9 Kodiak Northeast District scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993/94–2017/18.

Season	Number vessels	GHL (lb meat)	Retained catch		Dredge hours	Meat weight CPUE ^a	Round weight CPUE ^b	Discard mortality (lb meat) ^c
			(lb meat)	(lb round)				
2000/01	4	80,000	79,965	681,198	1,101	73	619	2,382
2001/02	3	80,000	80,470	822,110	1,142	70	720	2,286
2002/03	2	80,000	80,000	871,918	1,350	59	646	3,497
2003/04	2	80,000	79,965	747,517	1,248	64	599	2,384
2004/05	2	80,000	80,105	848,527	1,227	65	692	5,522
2005/06	3	80,000	79,990	831,378	1,759	46	473	4,408
2006/07	2	90,000	75,150	703,388	1,168	64	602	2,842
2007/08	2	90,000	75,105	822,697	1,170	63	703	4,264
2008/09	3	90,000	74,863	808,277	1,363	55	596	2,328
2009/10	1	75,000	69,360	831,709	1,222	57	681	2,541
2010/11	3	65,000	64,475	671,928	1,015	64	663	1,804
2011/12	4	70,000	61,209	663,927	986	62	678	2,014
2012/13	4	60,000	62,496	748,055	1,322	47	568	2,086
2013/14	4	55,000	54,926	524,124	935	59	563	1,457
2014/15	3	55,000	55,659	667,123	752	74	888	1,327
2015/16	3	55,000	55,577	568,543	1,228	45	463	1,981
2016/17	2	55,000	24,410	196,939	1,095	22	180	574
2017/18 ^d	1	55,000	14,190	NA	337	42	NA	NA

^a lb scallop meat / dredge hour

^b lb scallop round / dredge hour

^c Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality for discarded scallops and meat recovery of 10.5% from observer experiments.

^d PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

In the Northeast District, CPUE has been in decline or low for the past three seasons. During the 2015/16 season, CPUE decreased 39.2% from the 2014/15 season and in 2016/17 CPUE further decreased 51.1% from the 2015/16 season (Table 4-9; Figure 4-11).

In the 2016/17 Northeast District fishery, 24,410 lb of scallop meats were retained and an estimated live scallop equivalent of 2,870 lb of meats were discarded, for an estimated discard rate of 10.5% of the total meat weight caught, a 4.6% decrease from the 2015/16 season. Using a 20% discard mortality estimate,

574 lb of scallop meat weight was lost to discard mortality in the 2016/17 season (Table 4-9). Average estimated Northeast District scallop meat discard for the last 10 seasons was 10,188 lb or 14.0% of total catch.




Figure 4-11 Kodiak Northeast District harvest and CPUE, 1998/99–2017/18 seasons.

Estimated shell height distributions in Northeast District for 2016/17 showed a broad range of scallop sizes, similar to those observed in the 2015/16 season. Whether these changes are due to growth rates, fleet behavior, or an increase in prerecruit scallops is not known. The bulk of the retained scallops remain in the 125–175 mm shell height (SH) range (Figure 4-13).

Beginning with the 2010/11 season, abundance in some Northeast District scallop beds showed signs of decline and minimum performance standards (MPS) were established for ADF&G statistical areas associated with those beds. In 2013/14, management shifted from bed-level to district-level however, bed level MPSs remained in place. In response to steep declines in CPUE in 2015/16 and 2016/17, a districtwide MPS of 46 was established for the Northeast District for the 2017/18 season based upon the lowest CPUE observed for the district prior to the 2015/16 season. Managers may consider closing the season if the Northeast District CPUE is below the MPS after 25,000 pounds have been harvested. In 2017/18, districtwide CPUE was below the MPS but the participating vessel stopped fishing before 25,000 pounds were harvested primarily due to low fishery performance (Table 4-9).

Crab bycatch estimates calculated from 2016/17 Northeast District fishery observer samples were 9,063 Tanner crab (Table 3-4). Estimated Northeast District Tanner crab bycatch decreased 65.9% from the 2015/16 season. Carapace width of Tanner crabs sampled by observers ranged from approximately 10mm to 155mm, with the majority in the 40–90mm range (Figure 3-1).

Table 4-10 Kodiak Northeast District catch summary for the 2009/10–2016/17 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.

Season	Raw CPUE			Standardized CPUE
	Median	Mean	SD	
2009/10	597.4	674.0	348.8	543.2
2010/11	609.3	647.3	364.0	502.8
2011/12	579.1	676.1	406.3	506.8
2012/13	542.6	574.6	273.8	388.0
2013/14	457.3	582.6	443.3	408.6
2014/15	885.3	871.5	382.3	485.8
2015/16	497.4	509.3	231.5	315.0
2016/17	274.9	297.6	157.6	160.8




Figure 4-12 Kodiak Northeast District scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96–2016/17 seasons.




Figure 4-13 Kodiak Northeast District retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Shelikof

The 2017/18 season was the 2nd consecutive season with the reduced GHL of 25,000 pounds for the Shelikof District; the 2016/17 GHL was set at 25,000 pounds, down 66.7% from the 2015/16 GHL (75,000 pounds) and down 76.2% from the 2014/15 season GHL (105,000 pounds). Based on preliminary harvest and effort from the 2017/18 season, 25,050 lb of meats were retained with a CPUE of 46 pounds of meats/dredge hour (Table 4-11; Figure 4-14).

Table 4-11 Kodiak Shelikof District scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993/94–2017/18.

Season	Number vessels	GHL (lb meat)	Retained catch (lb meat)	(lb round)	Dredge hours	Meat weight CPUE ^a	Round weight CPUE ^b	Discard mortality (lb meat) ^c
2000/01	5	180,000	180,087	1,768,376	2,907	62	609	2,621
2001/02	4	180,000	177,112	1,830,265	3,398	52	539	4,880
2002/03	3	180,000	180,580	1,857,466	3,799	48	489	10,120
2003/04	2	180,000	180,011	1,724,498	3,258	55	529	8,209
2004/05	2	180,000	174,622	1,641,608	3,467	50	474	8,883
2005/06	2	160,000	159,941	1,453,656	2,280	70	638	4,767
2006/07	3	160,000	162,537	1,404,134	2,183	74	644	4,789
2007/08	3	170,000	169,968	1,695,563	2,937	58	577	7,685
2008/09	2	170,000	13,761	161,065	263	52	615	658
2009/10	3	170,000	169,877	1,667,958	3,496	49	477	7,132
2010/11	4	170,000	171,076	1,887,354	3,508	49	539	8,623
2011/12	4	135,000	136,491	1,432,441	2,437	56	590	2,618
2012/13	4	105,000	106,051	990,580	2,001	53	497	2,575
2013/14	4	105,000	106,099	910,919	2,469	43	369	1,162
2014/15	3	105,000 ¹	66,138	648,361	1,628	41	400	962
2015/16	3	75,000 ²	40,290	447,037	1,322	30	338	1,100
2016/17	2	25,000	25,120	287,750	829	30	347	971
2017/18	1	25,000	25,050	NA	547	46	NA	NA

^a lb scallop meat / dredge hour

^b lb scallop round / dredge hour

^c Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality for discarded scallops and meat recovery of 10.2% from observer experiments.

^d PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

¹ Inseason Closure at 65,000 lb

² Inseason Closure July 30, 2015

In the 2016/17 Shelikof District fishery, 25,120 lb of scallop meats were retained with a CPUE of 30 pounds of meats/dredge hour (Figure 4-14). Catch per unit effort remained the same as the 2015/16 season. In addition to the retained catch an estimated live scallop equivalent of 4,855 lb of meats were discarded, for an estimated discard rate of 16.2% of the total meat weight caught, a 4.2% increase from the 2015/16 season. Using a 20% discard mortality estimate, 971 lb of scallop meat weights was lost to discard mortality in the 2016/17 season (Table 4-11). Average estimated Shelikof District scallop meat discard for the last 10 seasons was 16,743 lb or 13.5% of total catch.




Figure 4-14 Kodiak Shelikof District harvest and CPUE, 1998/99–2017/18 seasons.

Estimated shell height distributions in Shelikof District show a similar range of scallop sizes in the 2016/17 season, relative to prior seasons, with some signs of recruitment in the smaller size classes. The bulk of the retained scallops remain in the 125–175 mm shell height (SH) range (Figure 4-16).

Beginning with the 2013/14 season, abundance in some Shelikof District scallop beds showed signs of decline. In response, managers aggressively reduced the GHL and began making inseason closures prior to achieving the GHL when fishery performance failed to maintain CPUEs above the established MPS of 47 pounds of meats/dredge hour (Table 3-1).

Crab bycatch estimates calculated from 2016/17 Shelikof District fishery observer samples were 3,322 *Chionoectes bairdi* Tanner crab (Table 3-4). Estimated Shelikof District Tanner crab bycatch increased 21.9% from the 2015/16 season. Carapace width of Tanner crabs sampled by observers ranged from approximately 20mm to 165mm, with the size frequency of sampled crab being well distributed across the range (Figure 3-1).

Table 4-12 Kodiak Shelikof District catch summary for the 2009/10–2016/17 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.

Season	Raw CPUE			Standardized CPUE
	Median	Mean	SD	
2009/10	478.6	474.9	155.5	533.0
2010/11	536.7	546.1	208.6	598.6
2011/12	577.6	597.1	255.5	659.3
2012/13	470.4	496.7	160.7	546.8
2013/14	372.6	375.3	131.9	427.2
2014/15	379.3	404.8	139.7	447.3
2015/16	350.9	363.6	130.7 </td <td>409.2</td>	409.2
2016/17	378.3	397.8	178.7	411.0




Figure 4-15 Kodiak Shelikof District scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96–2016/17 seasons.




Figure 4-16 Kodiak Shelikof District retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Kodiak Southwest

The 2017/18 season was the 9th consecutive season at the current 25,000-pound GHL in the Southwest District. Based on preliminary harvest and effort data from the 2017/18 season, 25,020 lb of meats were retained with a CPUE of 68 pounds of meats/dredge hour. This CPUE is the highest since the Southwest District opened to exploratory fishing in 2009/10 (Table 4-13; Figure 4-17).

Table 4-13 Kodiak Southwest District scallop fishery summary statistics, 2009/10–2017/18.

Season	Number vessels	GHL (lb meat)	Retained catch (lb meat) (lb round)		Dredge hours	Meat weight CPUE ^a	Round weight CPUE ^b	Discard mortality (lb meat) ^c
2009/10	1	25,000	3,480	62,241	159	22	392	76
2010/11	0	25,000	0					
2011/12	1	25,000	25,110	348,142	455	55	766	364
2012/13	2	25,000	25,014	261,318	670	37	389	312
2013/14	2	25,000	20,340	230,033	526	39	437	301
2014/15	2	25,000	24,993	310,921	559	45	561	193
2015/16	1	25,000 ¹	10,950	149,947	281	39	533	143
2016/17	1	25,000	25,110	441,088	448	56	985	455
2017/18 ^d	1	25,000	25,020	NA	369	68	NA	NA

^a lb scallop meat / dredge hour

^b lb scallop round / dredge hour

^c Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality for discarded scallops and meat recovery of 10.2% from observer experiments.

^d PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

¹Inseason closure due to Tanner crab bycatch

In the 2016/17 Southwest District fishery, 25,110 lb of scallop meats were retained with a CPUE of 56 pounds of meats/dredge hour (Table 4-13; Figure 4-17). Catch per unit effort increased 35.7% from the 2015/16 season. In addition to the retained catch an estimated live scallop equivalent of 2,275 lb of meats were discarded, for an estimated discard rate of 8.3% of the total meat weight caught, a 2.2% increase from the 2015/16 season. Using a 20% discard mortality estimate, 455 lb of scallop meat weight was lost to discard mortality in the 2016/17 season (Table 4-13). Average estimated Southwest District scallop meat discard for the last 5 seasons was 1,404 lb or 6.2% of total catch.

Estimated shell height distributions in Southwest District during the 2016/17 season were similar to previous seasons. The bulk of the retained scallops remain in the 125–175 mm shell height (SH) range (Figure 4-19).

The 2015/16 fishery closed, prior to harvesting the full GHL, when the Tanner crab bycatch cap of 12,000 crab was exceeded. There is no MPS established for the Southwest District.

Crab bycatch estimates calculated from 2016/17 Southwest District fishery observer samples were 7,868 Tanner crab (Table 3-4). Estimated Southwest District Tanner crab bycatch decreased 50.5% from the 2015/16 season. Carapace width of Tanner crabs sampled by observers ranged from approximately 10mm to 145mm, with the majority in the 15–60mm range (Figure 3-1).




Figure 4-17 Kodiak Southwest District harvest and CPUE, 2009/10 and 2011/12–2017/18 seasons.

Table 4-14 Kodiak Southwest District catch summary for the 2009/10–2016/17 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.

Season	Raw CPUE			Standardized CPUE
	Median	Mean	SD	
2009/10	390.7	373.3	204.5	255.4
2010/11	NA	NA	NA	NA
2011/12	787.3	782.5	293.8	434.6
2012/13	360.1	390.1	185.4	222.5
2013/14	348.1	419.5	241.8	316.5
2014/15	567.7	551.6	221.3	279.2
2015/16	537.4	558.0	257.5	268.5
2016/17	839.5	975.6	568.1	409.5




Figure 4-18 Kodiak Southwest District scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Intentionally Left Blank




Figure 4-19 Kodiak Southwest District retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Alaska Peninsula Registration Area

The 2017/18 season was the 6th consecutive season with a 15,000-pound GHL in Unimak Bight District of the Alaska Peninsula Area. The Unimak Bight District has been fished annually since 2012/13 under the provisions of a Commissioner Permit issued by ADF&G. Based on preliminary harvest and effort data from the 2017/18 season, 15,250 lb of meat was retained with a CPUE of 46 pounds of meats/dredge hour. This is the second lowest CPUE since 2012/13 (Table 4-15; Figure 4-20).

Table 4-15 Alaska Peninsula Area scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993/94–2017/18

Season	Number vessels	GH L (lb meat)	Retained catch (lb meat)		Dredge hours	Meat weight CPUE ^a	Round weight CPUE ^b	Discard mortality (lb meat) ^c
2000/01	3	33,000	7,660		320	24		83
2001/02		closed						
2002/03		closed						
2003/04		closed						
2004/05		closed						
2005/06	0	20,000	0		0			
2006/07	2	25,000	155		64	2		15
2007/08	0	10,000	0		0			
2008/09		10,000	2,460		151	16		75
2009/10		closed						
2010/11		closed						
2011/12		closed						
2012/13	1	15,000 ¹	15,040	217,607	255	59	853	541
2013/14	1	15,000 ¹	15,155	193,106	247	61	781	325
2014/15	2	15,000 ¹	15,000	227,369	288	52	789	325
2015/16	1	15,000 ¹	15,000	207,991	302	50	630	172
2016/17	1	15,000 ¹	15,016	202,805	340	44	596	200
2017/18 ^d	1	15,000 ¹	15,250	NA	331	46	NA	NA

^a lb scallop meat / dredge hour

^b lb scallop round / dredge hour

^c Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality for discarded scallops and meat recovery of 9.2% from observer experiments.

^d PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

¹ Exploratory fishery opened by Commissioner's Permit

In the 2016/17 Unimak Bight District fishery, 15,016 lb of scallop meats were retained with a CPUE of 44 pounds of meats/dredge hour (Figure 4-20). Catch per unit effort decreased 12% from the 2015/16 season. In addition to the retained catch an estimated live scallop equivalent of 1000 lb of meats were discarded, for an estimated discard rate of 6.2% of the total meat weight caught, a 0.8% decrease from the 2015/16 season. Using a 20% discard mortality estimate, 200 lb of scallop meat weight was lost to discard mortality in the 2016/17 season (Table 4-15). Average estimated Unimak Bight District scallop meat discard for the last 4 seasons was 1,563 lb or 9.3% of total catch.

Estimated shell height distributions in Unimak Bight District show a continued decrease in the range of scallop sizes in the 2016/17 season which is consistent with trends in age structure seen in other beds that

have been reopened after prolonged closures. The bulk of the retained scallops remain in the 125–175 mm shell height range (Figure 4-22).

There is no MPS established for Unimak Bight District but there is a bycatch crab cap of 12,000.

Crab bycatch estimates calculated from 2016/17 Unimak Bight District fishery observer samples were 3,266 Tanner crab (Table 3-4). Estimated Unimak Bight District Tanner crab bycatch decreased 45.5% from the 2015/16 season. Carapace width of Tanner crabs sampled by observers ranged from approximately 10 mm to 110 mm with the size frequency of sampled crab being well distributed across the range (Figure 3-1).




Figure 4-20 Alaska Peninsula Area harvest and CPUE, 1993/94–2017/2018 seasons.

Table 4-16 Alaska Peninsula Area catch summary for the 2012/13–2017/18 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.

Season	Raw CPUE			Standardized CPUE
	Median	Mean	SD	
2012/13	810.1	903.8	600.5	1149.0
2013/14	718.6	859.9	516.2	1342.4
2014/15	819.3	851.6	405.7	873.5
2015/16	696.1	719.7	209.7	906.8
2016/17	621.3	612.7	263.4	525.2




Figure 4-21 Alaska Peninsula Area scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96–2016/17 seasons.

Intentionally Left Blank




Figure 4-22 Alaska Peninsula Area retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2012/13–2016/17 seasons.

Bering Sea Registration Area

The 2017/18 season was the third season with a reduced GHL in the Bering Sea Registration Area (BSRA). Based on preliminary harvest and effort from the 2017/18 season the CPUE of 24 lb of shucked meats per dredge hour is down slightly with respect to 2016/17 and rivaled the historical low observed in 2014/15 (Table 4-17).

Table 4-17 Bering Sea Area scallop fishery summary statistics, 2000/01–2017/18.

Season	Number vessels	GH L (lb meat)	Retained catch (lb meat)	(lb round)	Dredge hours	Meat weight CPUE ^a	Round weight CPUE ^b	Discard mortality (lb meat) ^c
2000/01	3	200,000	205,520	2,376,601	3,355	61	710	1,789
2001/02	3	200,000	140,871	1,700,500	3,072	46	559	1,393
2002/03	2	105,000	92,240	951,938	2,038	45	468	1,008
2003/04	2	105,000	42,590	537,552	1,020	42	527	627
2004/05	1	105,000	10,050	128,128	275	37	475	103
2005/06	1	50,000	23,220	231,700	602	39	386	318
2006/07	1	50,000	48,246	529,590	1,138	42	466	995
2007/08	2	50,000	49,995	697,288	1,084	46	647	901
2008/09	1	50,000	49,995	502,450	962	52	525	1,067
2009/10	1	50,000	48,855	595,602	1,275	38	467	1,059
2010/11	2	50,000	50,100	547,302	971	52	563	1,336
2011/12	2	50,000	50,275	529,235	984	51	538	563
2012/13	1	50,000	50,045	564,275	943	53	598	716
2013/14	2	50,000	49,989	561,033	1,086	46	517	400
2014/15	2	50,000	12,445	227,196	525	24	432	144
2015/16	1	7,500	7,500	104,715	307	25	341	85
2016/17	1	7,500	7,575	108,191	275	28	393	123
2017/18 ^d	1	7,500	7,535	NA	320	24	NA	NA

^a lb scallop meat / dredge hour

^b lb scallop round / dredge hour

^c Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality for discarded scallops and meat recovery of 9.1% from observer experiments.

^d PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

In the 2016/17 BSRA fishery, 7,575 lb of scallop meats were retained with a CPUE of 28 lb of shucked meats per dredge hour. Meat weight CPUE increased 12% from the 2015/16 season (Figure 4-23) but is only 50% of the long term fishery average (200/01-2015/16) of 48 lb of shucked meats per dredge hour. In addition to the retained catch an estimated live scallop equivalent of 615 lb of meats were discarded, for an estimated discard rate of 7.5% of the total meat weight caught, a 3% increase from the 2015/16 season. Using a 20% discard mortality estimate, 123 lb of scallop meat weight was lost to discard mortality in the 2016/17 season (Table 4-17). Average estimated BSRA scallop meats discarded for the last 10 seasons was 3,197 lb.




Figure 4-23 Bering Sea Area scallop harvest and CPUE, 1998/99–2017/18 seasons.

Estimated shell height distributions in BSRA show a decreased range of scallop sizes in the 2014/15, 2015/16, and 2016/17 seasons. Whether these changes are due to growth rates, disease, fleet behavior, or a decrease in prerecruit scallops is not known. The bulk of the retained scallops remain in the 150–200 mm shell height range although this may be trending toward smaller sized scallops (Figure 4-25).

Since the 2010/11 season the BSRA fishery has been managed using an inseason minimum performance standard of 43 lb of shucked scallop meats per dredge hour. This MPS is based on the average CPUE during the 2004/05 to 2009/10 seasons, a period chosen because the GHF was static at 50,000 pounds and it encapsulated a broad range of fishery CPUE values (37 to 52 lb of shucked scallop meats per dredge hour). The 2016/17 season CPUE was 28 lb of shucked scallop meats per dredge hour, well below the MPS (Table 3-1). During the 2015/16, 2016/17, and 2017/18 seasons the fishery was allowed to continue despite low CPUEs to gather data following a disease event first observed in 2014/15.

Bycatch caps for Tanner crab were reduced from 260,000 to 65,000 crab for the 2016/17 scallop season due to closure of the Bering Sea Tanner crab fisheries. Crab Bycatch estimates calculated from 2016/17 BSRA fishery observer samples were 11,862 Tanner crab and 17,206 snow and hybrid crab (Note: Observed crab bycatch from one haul were exceptionally large and not representative of overall bycatch in the fishery, therefore, estimates from that haul were not used to extrapolate overall bycatch estimates; Table 3-4). Estimated BSRA Tanner crab bycatch decreased 45% from the 2015/16 season. Carapace width of Tanner crabs sampled by observers ranged from 39 mm to 171 mm, with the majority in the 70-

130 mm range. Estimated BSRA snow crab bycatch increased 107% from the 2015/16 season. Snow crab CW sampled by observers ranged from 59 mm to 157 mm, with the majority in the 70-100 mm range (Figure 3-1).

Table 4-18 Bering Sea Area catch summary for the 2009/10–2016/17 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.

Season	Raw CPUE			Standardized CPUE
	Median	Mean	SD	
2009/10	459.9	473.3	148.7	497.8
2010/11	572.4	575.7	189.2	504.9
2011/12	529.1	545.2	133.5	514.9
2012/13	606.3	616.4	149.5	530.9
2013/14	521.2	518.4	127.1	483.1
2014/15	434.1	432.8	95.4	466.2
2015/16	378.0	349.0	81.6	365.3
2016/17	387.6	394.4	119.8	389.2




Figure 4-24 Bering Sea Area raw and standardized (when available) meat weight CPUE, 1995/16–2016/17 seasons.




Figure 4-25 Bering Sea Area retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Dutch Harbor Registration Area

The 2017/18 season was the third season at an increased GHL in the Dutch Harbor Registration Area (DHRA). This increase reflects the reopening of the Pacific Ocean side of the DHRA. Based on preliminary harvest and effort from the 2017/18 season CPUE is down 63% from the 2015/16 low (Table 4-19, Figure 4-26). All harvest occurred in the Bering Sea subarea of the DHRA. Limited fishing effort occurred in the Pacific Ocean subarea of the DHRA however no scallops were retained.

Table 4-19 Dutch Harbor Area scallop fishery summary statistics, 1993/94–2017/18.

Season	Number vessels	GHL (lb meat)	Retained catch (lb meat) (lb round)		Dredge hours	Meat weight CPUE ^a	Round weight CPUE ^b	Discard mortality (lb meat) ^c
2000/01		closed						
2001/02		closed						
2002/03	1	10,000	6,000	59,066	184	33	333	94
2003/04		closed						
2004/05		closed						
2005/06		closed						
2006/07		closed						
2007/08		closed						
2008/09	1	10,000	10,040	93,077	225	45	488	706
2009/10	1	10,000	6,080	54,882	104	59	528	45
2010/11	1	10,000	5,640	41,700	83	68	510	70
2011/12	1	10,000	5,570	45,513	77	73	593	56
2012/13	1	5,000	5,100	37,730	64	79	588	59
2013/14	1	5,000	5,225	44,572	56	94	798	96
2014/15	1	5,000	5,160	41,323	74	71	563	85
2015/16	1	10,000	5,040	43,628	157	32	278	74
2016/17	1	10,000	5,050	39,181	104	49	376	35
2017/18 ^d	1	10,000	285	NA	24	12	NA	NA

^a lb scallop meat / dredge hour

^b lb scallop round / dredge hour

^c Calculated from round weight discard estimates assuming 20% mortality for discarded scallops and meat recovery of 10.8% from observer experiments.

^d PRELIMINARY data subject to change.

In the 2016/17 DHRA fishery, 5,050 lb of scallop meats were retained with a CPUE of 49 lb of shucked meat per dredge hour. Catch per unit effort increased 53% from the 2015/16 season and is 14% lower than the long-term (2008/09–2015/16) fishery average CPUE of 57 (Figure 4-26). In addition to the retained catch an estimated whole weight of 175 lb were discarded, for an estimated discard rate of 3.3% of the total meat weight caught, a 53% decrease from the 2015/16 season. Using a 20% discard mortality estimate 35 lb of scallop meat weight was lost to discard mortality in the 2016/17 season (Table 4-19). Average estimated DHRA scallop meats discard for the last 8 seasons was 325 lb and does not include the high proportion of discards in the 2008/09 season.




Figure 4-26 Dutch Harbor Area scallop harvest and CPUE, 2008/09–2017/18 seasons.

Table 4-20 Dutch Harbor Area catch summary for the 2009/10–2016/17 season for raw and standardized round weight CPUE.

Season	Raw CPUE			Standardized
	Median	Mean	SD	CPUE
2009/10	363.3	474.5	362.4	150.8
2010/11	507.0	500.9	347.4	115.3
2011/12	530.3	566.1	344.0	148.0
2012/13	622.2	593.8	367.2	97.2
2013/14	799.0	797.2	183.7	169.4
2014/15	541.6	557.6	164.7	91.1
2015/16	296.8	258.9	130.7	32.0
2016/17	388.3	332.7	217.4	50.9

Shell height distributions in the DHRA show a decreased range of scallop sizes with respect to the 2014/15 season but are similar in distribution to the 2015/16 season. Whether these changes are due to growth rates, fleet behavior, or a decrease in prerecruit scallops is not known. The bulk of the retained scallops remain in the 150–180 mm shell height range (Figure 4-28).

Crab bycatch estimates calculated from 2016/17 DHRA fishery observer samples were 363 Tanner crab (Table 3-4), an 11% increase from the 2015/16 season. Carapace width of Tanner crab sampled by observers ranged from 30 mm to 89 mm, with crab fairly distributed throughout the size range (Figure 3-1).




Figure 4-27 Dutch Harbor Area scallop raw and standardized (when available) meat CPUE, 1995/96–2016/17 seasons.

Intentionally Left Blank




Figure 4-28 Dutch Harbor Area retained and discarded shell heights by density and count for the 2009/10–2016/17 seasons.

Adak Registration Area

Scallops were first harvested from the Adak Registration Area in 1979 with subsequent fishing periods in 1992 and 1995. Bathymetry of the Aleutian Islands, along with a narrow continental shelf edge, provides limited scallop habitat; however, a scallop bed was known to occur on Petrel Bank, an area of important red king crab habitat. To protect red king crab habitat on Petrel Bank, and reduce red king crab bycatch mortality, the waters were closed to commercial scallop fishing in 1991.

Intentionally Left Blank

5. Economics

An overview of Alaska weathervane scallop harvest and wholesale revenue is presented in Table 5-1. The underlying data used to calculate fishery economic value is from annual scallop harvest information contained in Chapter 4. Vessel participation in this fishery has declined since the late 1990s due to the Federal LLP and formation of a voluntary marketing association. The Federal LLP limits the participation to 9 permit holders. In the early 2000s as many as 8 vessels have participated; however, since 2014 no more than 4 vessels have participated. In each of the past two years two vessels have participated, as the harvest levels have fallen to historically low levels. A further discussion of participation, ownership, markets, and economic conditions in this fishery is provided in Appendix 2.

Table 5-1 provides estimated statewide commercial Weathervane scallop landings and value from 1993/94 to present. Total real gross first wholesale revenue is calculated by multiplying landed pounds of meats by the adjusted price. Adjusted price converts the landed prices by year to year 2017 values to allow for comparisons in current dollar values, after accounting for inflation. The statewide scallop price used here is calculated by the Alaska Department of Revenue (ADOR), Division of Taxation, and is an average of all the reported State fish tax revenue collected from all participants in the scallop fishery through 2016. Note that the 2017/18 price is the quantity weighted average prices of all Alaska Weathervane scallop landings reported on 2017 Commercial Operators Annual Report submissions provided by the Alaska Scallop Cooperative.

The majority of the scallop meats that are landed have been processed (shucked) and frozen at sea and their value represents gross revenue at the first wholesale level. However, in some years some shucked meats are delivered fresh to dockside processors who then freeze and market the scallops at the first wholesale level (pers. comm, Bill Harrington, February 2013). There have also been some anecdotal reports of scallop meats landed and sold in a roadside stand outside of Homer in the distant past. Thus, although landed price is often referred to as an ex-vessel price, it is actually primarily a first wholesale price in that the landed product is a primary processed product. As a result, gross revenue is identified as first wholesale gross revenue here.

Nominal Alaska scallop prices have shown considerable variability over time and have increased dramatically since the mid-2000s. After trending downward to \$5.25 per pound in the early to mid-2000s, nominal scallop prices increased to \$7.86 by the 2006/07 season. However, in the 2007/08 season the nominal scallop price declined significantly to \$5.94 per pound of shucked meats. Since the 2007/08 season, nominal Alaska Weathervane scallop price has trended upward and reached \$12.53 per pound of shucked meats in 2017 but has fallen to \$11.54 in 2018 due to market forces in the U.S. East coast sea scallop market.

The historical variability in Alaska scallop prices are likely due to market factors that are driven by the much larger U.S. east coast sea scallop fishery, as well as by import markets. However, in recent years, the Alaska Scallop Association has made considerable progress in its marketing efforts and has been able to maintain an upward trend in the prices it receives for the scallops landed by the three vessels that are associated with the cooperative. However, the present strength in Alaska scallop prices may face some market pressure in the coming years as indicated by declines in U.S. commercial sea scallop average price per pound from \$12.52 per pound in 2014 to \$12.00 per pound in 2016. Similarly, the average price per

pound of imported scallop products declined from \$7.11 to \$6.40 between 2015 and 2017. Please see Appendix 2 for further discussion of competing scallop markets.

Table 5- 1 Statewide Commercial Weathervane Scallop Real Wholesale Value, 1993/94–2017/18.

Year	Vessels	Catch (lb shucked meats) ^a	Nominal Average Price/lb	Inflation Factor ^b	Real Average Price/lb	Real Wholesale Value
1993/94	15	984,583	\$5.15	1.52	\$7.81	\$7,690,580
1994/95	15	1,240,775	\$5.79	1.50	\$8.70	\$10,800,614
1995/96	10	410,743	\$6.05	1.45	\$8.77	\$3,603,243
1996/97	9	732,424	\$6.30	1.34	\$8.44	\$6,183,123
1997/98	9	818,913	\$6.50	1.27	\$8.26	\$6,760,127
1998/99	8	822,096	\$6.40	1.09	\$6.98	\$5,734,942
1999/00	10	837,971	\$6.25	0.97	\$6.06	\$5,080,199
2000/01	8	750,617	\$5.50	1.11	\$6.11	\$4,582,517
2001/02	6	572,838	\$5.25	1.12	\$5.88	\$3,368,287
2002/03	6	509,455	\$5.25	1.10	\$5.78	\$2,942,103
2003/04	4	492,000	\$5.25	1.01	\$5.30	\$2,608,830
2004/05	5	425,477	\$5.50	1.10	\$6.05	\$2,574,136
2005/06	5	525,357	\$7.58	1.34	\$10.16	\$5,336,156
2006/07	4	487,473	\$7.86	1.24	\$9.75	\$4,751,107
2007/08	4	458,313	\$5.94	1.24	\$7.37	\$3,375,750
2008/09	4	342,434	\$6.34	1.33	\$8.43	\$2,887,472
2009/10	3	488,059	\$6.48	1.16	\$7.52	\$3,668,642
2010/11	3	459,759	\$8.35	1.05	\$8.77	\$4,030,937
2011/12	4	456,058	\$10.39	1.16	\$12.05	\$5,496,593
2012/13	4	417,551	\$10.63	0.97	\$10.31	\$4,305,410
2013/14	4	399,134	\$12.25	0.98	\$12.01	\$4,791,604
2014/15	4	308,888	\$12.39	1.02	\$12.64	\$3,903,665
2015/16	3	264,316	\$12.22	0.94	\$11.49	\$3,036,145
2016/17	2	233,003	\$12.53	1.00	\$12.53	\$2,919,528
2017/18 ^c	2	238,710	\$11.54	1.00	\$11.54	\$2,754,713
10 year av.	3	360,791	\$10.31		\$10.73	\$3,779,471

^a lb of shucked scallop meats are reported by the State Observer Program.

^b uses the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Industry Index through 2017.

^c preliminary

First wholesale revenue in this fishery has varied considerably over the period as both price and landings have varied. The peak value in the fishery, occurred in 1994/95 season when inflation adjusted \$10.8 million was earned. Since that time, real total first wholesale revenue in the fishery has fluctuated with prices, and the reduction in landed pounds. Overall, the total value has trended downward as landings have fallen from more than 1.2 million lb down to a low in 2016/17 of 233,003 lb. The total real first wholesale revenue of a little more than \$2.9 million in the 2016/17 season was among the lowest revenue total

historically; however, the slight improvement in harvest in 2017/18 was more than offset by a price reduction of nearly \$1 per pound resulting in historically low real wholesale revenue of approximately \$2.75 million. If market forces continue to exert downward pressure on prices with harvest held relatively constant, as has occurred in 2017 and into 2018, the total value of the fishery will continue to decline in the near future.

Intentionally Left Blank

6. Ecosystem Components

The Ecosystem Considerations section was added to the SAFE in 2006, and the SPT hopes to continue improving the section. A wealth of information on climate effects on ecosystems and ecosystem trends contained in the GOA Groundfish Plan Team Ecosystems Considerations document is equally relevant to the scallop fishery and may be accessed at:

<https://www.afsc.noaa.gov/REFM/Docs/2017/ecosysGOA.pdf>.

Commercial concentrations of weathervane scallops occur along the Alaska coast in elongated beds oriented in the same direction as prevailing currents. Image data from ADF&G CamSled tows show that benthic habitats where scallop fishing occurs in the Bering Sea, eastern GOA, and Shelikof Strait, consist predominately of fine sediments (silt, mud, and sand), with heavy sediment clouds regularly suspended by tidal currents. Areas of harder bottom and larger sediments are found inshore where scallop fishing occurs.

Ecosystem Component

In Amendment 13 to the Scallop FMP, a new category was created within the FMP for the ‘Ecosystem Component’ (EC). The non-target scallop stocks (pink, rock, and spiny scallops) were moved into this EC under the FMP. Stocks contained under this category of the FMP are stocks which are not the subject of a directed fishery. For these stocks ACLs are not required to be annually specified.

While these stocks are currently not targeted commercially, moving them to the ecosystem component discourages uncontrolled fishing on these species without applicable management measures in place should they become economically viable in the future. There are currently low-level personal use/subsistence fisheries for some of these species.

The following factors were considered, per the National Standard 1 Guidelines, in classifying these non-target species as an EC species:

- These scallop species are not the target of commercial exploitation or retention by commercial fisheries;
- None of the non-target scallop species are generally retained for sale or personal use;
- The best available scientific information indicates that none of the non-target scallop species are overfished or subject to overfishing; and
- The best available scientific information indicates that none of the non-target stocks are likely to become subject to overfishing or overfished in the absence of conservation and management measures.

Limited data exists currently to assess the spatial extent or biomass of these non-target scallop stocks. No commercial harvests have been documented for scallop species other than weathervane scallops in waters off Alaska since at least 1992 (C. Russ, ADF&G, Homer, pers. Comm.). Major fishery development is not anticipated for non-weathervane scallops, but market potential does exist for both “pink and rock” scallops. The spatial distribution of non-weathervane scallop species is not well defined, although these species currently compose a relatively minor component of catches in both NMFS and ADF&G surveys. In conjunction with the EA for amendment 12, data on capture of non-target scallop species was derived from ADF&G and NMFS trawl surveys for the years 1998–2008 (M. Stichert, ADF&G, Kodiak; M. Spahn, ADF&G, Homer; and R. Foy, NMFS, Kodiak, all pers. comm.). Trawl surveys are conducted in Region 1

only by NMFS and in Regions 2 and 4 by both ADF&G and NMFS. Among all ADF&G surveys, all non-target scallops were recorded as *Chlamys* sp. Although data extrapolated to area-swept estimates were not available for the ADF&G surveys, and these trawl surveys are not designed to assess non-target scallop species, surveys catches of non-target scallops were relatively small (Table 6-1). Data on non-target species was summarized according to whole weight (lb). In Region 1, catches of non-target scallops by the NMFS survey in odd-numbered years from 1999 to 2007 averaged 1 lb annually. For Region 2, ADF&G catches among either annual trawl surveys averaged 22 lb (whole weight; CV = 84%) annually, ranging from <1 to 53 lb, whereas NMFS surveys caught an average of 4 lb annually. For Region 4, annual catch of *Chlamys* among ADF&G trawl surveys ranged from 3 to 109 lb, averaging 35 lb (CV = 97%), whereas NMFS survey catches averaged 70 lb (CV = 50%) annually.

Table 6-1 Annual biomass (whole pounds) of non-target scallops captured in ADF&G and NMFS surveys within ADF&G management region during 1998–2008.

Year	Region 1		Region 2			Region 4			Region Total
	NMFS Trawl	Region Total	ADF&G Dredge	ADF&G Trawl	NMFS Trawl	Region Total	ADF&G Trawl	NMFS Trawl	
Non-target scallop species									
Survey Catch (whole pounds)									
1998			NA	46		46	75		75
1999	1	1		6	10	15	68	36	105
2000				33		33	109		109
2001	0	0		53	2	55	23	32	55
2002				15		15	19		19
2003	2	2		12	2	13	33	96	129
2004				38		38	11		11
2005	3	3		10	3	14	3	111	114
2006				18		18	20		20
2007	0	0		7	2	9	15	77	92
2008				<1		<1	8		8
Total	5	5		238	18	257	384	352	736
Mean	1.0	1.0		21.7	3.7	23.3	34.9	70.3	66.9
CV (%)	55.1	55.1		24.9	43.0	22.2	29.3	22.4	20.8

Additional information will be included in the SAFE report on these non-target stocks as it becomes available. Any recorded catch of these species will be recorded in order to best evaluate retention of these species in conjunction with their vulnerability and potential for directed targeting. Should a target fishery become desirable for any of these species, either as a whole complex or by individual stock grouping, an FMP amendment would need to be initiated by the Council to move the stock ‘into the fishery’ under the FMP and ACLs annually specified.

Ecosystem Effects on the Stock

Little is known about how changes in marine ecosystems affect the Alaska scallop stock. The fishery began in the 1960s, but data from the period before inception of the observer program in 1993 are scarce. Hence, there is no basis for comparison of stock dynamics in response to, for example, the 1977 regime shift.

Fishery Effects on Ecosystem

The Alaska weathervane scallop fishery occurs in continental shelf waters at depths 40–150 m in three main areas: the eastern Gulf of Alaska between Prince William Sound and Cape Spencer; around Kodiak Island; and in the eastern Bering Sea (Figure 1-1). Because the fishery footprint is confined to these areas and because many areas of similar habitat are closed to scallop dredging, we expect the effects of the scallop fishery on the GOA and Bering Sea ecosystems to be minor.

Predators: Little is known about scallop predators. Plankton feeders probably eat a large number of floating larvae. Small weathervane scallops have been found in the stomachs of flounders, crabs, and sea stars. Twenty-arm sea stars and giant pacific octopus are known predators of weathervane scallops.

Bycatch: Scallop fishery bycatch is closely monitored by the onboard observer program. Bycatch in the scallop fishery includes prohibited species such as red king crab, Tanner crab, snow crab, and Pacific halibut, other commercially important species of fish and invertebrates, miscellaneous non-commercial species, and natural and man-made debris. Crab bycatch in the scallop fishery is highest in the Bering Sea, although this accounts for a small proportion of total Bering Sea crab bycatch.

Although a variety of marine vertebrates, invertebrates, and debris are caught incidentally in scallop dredges, weathervane scallops predominate catches. For example, during the 2000/01–2007/08 seasons, the most frequently caught species or items in the statewide scallop fishery by weight were weathervane scallops and scallop shells (84%), twenty arm sea stars *Pycnopodia helianthoides* (4%), natural debris (kelp, wood, etc., 3%), and several species of skates (2%). A summary of results of select species encountered during scallop observer haul composition sampling (% by weight) during the 2016/17 season is shown in Table 6-2. Gorgonian (hard) corals are infrequently encountered by scallop observers. Since 1996, corals have been observed in only 11 of the 15,836 tows sampled for catch composition and bycatch. Summaries of haul composition sampling by area are presented in observer reports prepared by ADF&G (e.g., Rosenkranz and Burt, 2009).

Table 6-2 Summary of results from scallop observer haul composition sampling (% by weight) during the 2016/17 season.

Area/District	weathervane scallops	shells/debris	basket/brittle stars	<i>Pycnopodia</i> seastar	All other seastars	Skates ^b	Flatfish	<i>Chionoecetes</i> crabs ^c
Yakutat District	85.6	6.4	2.5	0.5	0.3	2.4	1.1	0
Yakutat District 16	93.7	2.8	0	0	0.7	2.1	0.7	0
Prince William Sound	91.1	4.8	0.1	0	0	1.9	1.5	0
Cook Inlet	82.4	8.7	0	0	2.5	2.3	0.5	0.1
Kodiak Northeast	61.5	4.4	3.2	16.6	0.4	5	6.6	0.4
Kodiak Shelikof	67.4	11.7	0	7.1	0	6.8	3.4	0.4
Kodiak Southwest ^a	55.9	6.8	20.5	0.1	0.6	4.4	3	0.3
Alaska Peninsula	77.1	10.8	1.1	0	0.1	3.1	2.7	0.1
Dutch Harbor Area	71.4	4.3	0.3	2	1.3	5	12.9	0.2
Bering Sea Area	71.6	2	4.8	0	0.1	4.2	4.6	8.3

^a Exploratory fishery prosecuted under ADF&G Commissioner's Permit.

^b Includes all species skates plus all skate egg cases.

^c Includes snow crab, Tanner crab, and snow crab × Tanner crab hybrids.

7. Literature Cited

- Abramoff, M.D., P.J. Magalhaes, and S. J. Ram. 2004. Image Processing with ImageJ. *Biophotonics International*, volume 11, issue 7, pp. 36-42.
- Alaska Department of Fish and Game and University of Alaska Fairbanks. 2000. A workshop examining potential fishing effects on population dynamics and benthic community structure of scallops with emphasis on the weathervane scallop *Patinopecten caurinus* in Alaskan waters. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries. Spec. Pub., 14 (2000) Juneau.
- Barnhart, J.P. 2003. Weathervane scallop fishery in Alaska with a focus on the Westward Region, 1967-2002. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 4K03-5, Kodiak.
- Barnhart, J. P., and G. E. Rosenkranz. 2003. Summary and Analysis of Onboard Observer-Collected Data from the 1999/2000 through 2001/2002 Statewide Commercial Weathervane Scallop Fishery. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Information Report #4K03-9, 115 pp.
- Bechtol, W. R., R. L. Gustafson and T. R. Kerns. 2009. A survey of weathervane scallops in Kamishak Bay, 2003. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 09-24, Anchorage.
- Bechtol, W.R., Gustafson, R.L., and Cope, J.L. 2003. A survey of weathervane scallops in Kamishak Bay, Alaska, 2001. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 2A03-31, Anchorage.
- Brenner, K., Oliveira, A.C.M., Rozenkranz, G., Burt, R., Spaford, M., Bechtel, P., Crapo, C.A., and Ralonde, R. 2012. Quality of Weathervane scallops (*Patinopecten caurinus*) in Eastern and Western Gulf of Alaska. *Journal of Shellfish Research*. 31: 1123-1132.
- Caddy, J.F. 1968. Underwater observations on scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) behaviour and drag efficiency. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can.*, 25 (1968), pp. 2123–2141.
- Caddy, J.F. 1989. A perspective on the population dynamics and assessment of scallop fisheries, with special reference to the sea scallop, *Placopecten magellanicus* Gmelin. J.F. Caddy (Ed.), *Marine Invertebrate Fisheries: Their Assessment and Management*, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1989), pp. 559–589.
- Efron, B., and Tibshirani, R.J. 1993. *An introduction to the bootstrap*. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- Free-Sloan, N. 2007. A brief overview of the Alaska weathervane scallop fishery and the vessel permit limited entry program. Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, Report 07-2N.
- Gustafson, R. J., and K. J. Goldman. 2012. Assessment of weathervane scallops in Kamishak Bay and at Kayak Island, 2004 through 2010. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No.12-62, Anchorage
- Hammarstrom, L., and Merritt, M. 1985. A survey of Pacific weathervane scallops (*Pecten caurinus*) in Kamishak Bay, Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Informational Leaflet No. 252, Juneau.
- Howland, J., S. Gallager, H. Singh, A. Girard, L. Abrams, and C. Griner. 2006. Development of a towed survey system for deployment by the fishing industry. *IEEE Oceans* (2006), p. 06.
- Kruse, G.H. 1994. Draft fishery management plan for commercial scallop fisheries in Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Draft Special Publication 5, Juneau. 56 pp.

- Kruse, G. H., Barnhart, J.P., and G.E. Rosenkranz. 2005. Management of the data-limited weathervane scallop fishery in Alaska. Pages 51-68 In G.H. Kruse, V.F. Galucci, D.E. Hay, R.I. Perry, R.M. Peterman, T.C. Shirley, P.D. Spencer, B. Wilson, and D. Woodby (eds.). Fisheries Assessment and Management in Data-limited Situations. Alaska Sea Grant College Program, University of Alaska Fairbanks. 958 pp.
- MacDonald, B. A., and N. F. Bourne. 1987. Growth, reproductive output, and energy partitioning in weathervane scallops, *Patinoyecten caurinus*, from British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 44: 152- 160.
- North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC). 2014. Fishery Management Plan for the Scallop Fishery off Alaska.
- Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC). 2007. 45th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (45th SAW): 45th SAW assessment report. NEFSC Ref Doc. 07-16.
- Smith, Q., B. Williams, and R. Burt. 2016. Statewide weathervane scallop survey operational plan, 2016 through 2018. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Operational Plan ROP.CF.1J.2016.07, Juneau.
- Quinn, T.J., and R.B. Deriso. 1999. Quantitative Fish Dynamics. Oxford University Press, New York (1999).
- Restrepo, V. R, G. G. Thompson, P. M. Mace, W. L. Gabriel, L. L. Low, A. D. MacCall, R. D. Methot, J. E. Powers, B. L. Taylor, P. R. Wade, and J. F. Witzig. 1998. Technical Guidance on the Use of Precautionary Approaches to Implementing National Standard 1 of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-31. 54 p.
- Rosenkranz, G.E., S.M. Gallager, R.W Shepard, and M. Blakeslee 2008. Development of a high-speed, megapixel benthic imaging system for coastal fisheries research in Alaska. Fisheries Research 92:340–344.
- Rosenkranz, G., and R. Burt. 2009. Summary of observer data collected during the 2006/07 Alaska weathervane scallop fishery. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 09-49, Anchorage.
- Siddon, C., Smith, Q., McNeel, K., Oxman, D., and Goldman, K. 2017. Protocol for estimating age of weathervane scallops *Patinoyecten caurinus* in Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 17-07, Anchorage.
- Spencer, P., M. Canino, J. DiCosimo, M. Dorn, A.J. Gharrett, D. Hanselman, K. Palof, and M. Sigler. 2010. Guidelines for determination of spatial management units for exploited populations in Alaskan fishery groundfish management plans. Paper prepared for the September 2010 NPFMC Plan Team meeting.
- Williams, B., Q. Smith, K. Palof, and J. Mumm. 2017. 2016 Statewide Weathervane Scallop Dredge Survey Report. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 17-09 Anchorage

8. Appendices

Appendix 1: Response to Comments from SSC

2017 SSC comments:

Comment 1: The SSC strongly supports the 2016 survey sampling and continued efforts to implement a statewide scallop survey. This will provide for fishery-independent GHs that do not rely on standardization of fishery CPUE and may support a refinement of the OFL/ABC approach based only on historical landings and discard mortality. This will also require further consideration of dredge efficiency, and aggregate survey catchability.

Response: The statewide survey continued in 2017 surveying the Shelikof, Yakutat, and Kodiak Northeast districts. Areas planned to be surveyed in 2018 include Shelikof, Yakutat, Kamishak, and portions of Kayak Island.

Comment 2: Progress on assessment modelling remains a priority for this species. With fishery-independent survey abundance estimates and associated age information available for some beds, this path appears promising. Efforts should first rely on bed-specific modelling but could be extended to incorporate meta-population considerations (and possibly genetic information) in the future. The SSC is encouraged that ADF&G is in the process of hiring a Biometrician II to tackle this modelling in the near future.

Response: The SPT agrees on the importance of assessment modeling.

Comment 3: The SSC reiterates the need to compare and evaluate survey-based scallop abundance estimates and fishery CPUE. This can be approached both through time-series, as well as calibrations for which fishery-independent information is only recently available. Fishery CPUE standardization efforts should be continued, including an effort to provide standardized values on a similar scale as those observed in the raw data (back-transformed).

Response: Further work on CPUE standardization and comparisons between abundance estimates and fishery will be performed as funding and staffing levels allow.

Comment 4: The ageing protocol represents an important framework for future aging efforts. The SSC recommends using this protocol but emphasizes that validation of some sort (perhaps O_{18} -based methods) is still required to determine the relationship between age estimates and true age. Specifically, the methods in the ageing protocol should not be confused with actual bias or precision. There are existing methods (e.g., Punt, A.E.; Smith, D.C.; KrusicGolub, K.; Robertson, S. 2008. Quantifying age-reading error for use in fisheries stock assessments, with application to species in Australia's southern and eastern scalefish and shark fishery. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 65:1991-2005) available to deal with precision correctly – naïve estimates of reader agreement disregard the joint probability that matching age estimates are both incorrect, and therefore tend to overstate precision. The SSC reiterates its concern that a 'plus group' may be required for older ages at which reader agreement and/or relative bias may be unacceptable. The current protocol recommends that if ages cannot be resolved, the samples should be excluded (p.11, #3). However, this would bias the age distribution; it is preferable to aggregate these ages, rather than exclude them.

Response: Ageing protocols will be updated, and a validation study will be performed as funding and staffing levels allow.

Comment 5: The SSC recommends continuing to consider collecting data (survey and fishery) and managing in numbers rather than shucked or round weight – both of which appear seasonally variable.

Response: The SPT agrees.

Comment 6: The SSC continues to look forward to improved estimates of discard mortality rates, based on information provided in previous analyses.

Response: Further studies on discard mortality in the fishery will be performed as funding and staffing levels allow.

2016 SSC comments:

Comment 1: The SSC appreciates the reasoning for a moratorium on aging during the 2016/17 season while aging protocols are being worked out. However, **the SSC recommends collecting specimens for aging in 2016/17** for subsequent aging once the protocols are developed.

Response: Specimens for aging are being collected during the moratorium from survey-caught scallops as well as fishery dependent sampling.

Comment 2: Development of an aging protocol should be a high priority. Ideally, this work should include an age validation study confirming that rings are formed annually and a study of precision of age estimates among readers. An outcome could be that an age determination is precise up to some age beyond which age estimates become imprecise. If so, **the aging protocol might specify to stop counting once some maximum count is attained after which a plus group is formed.** Such a stopping point for age determinations could speed up, and reduce the costs of, processing of specimens, yet still provide valuable data for development of age-structured assessment models.

Response: The aging protocol is currently being finalized. Methods for characterizing precision among readers are part of that protocol. Age validation, using O18 and a benthic temperature model, has been added to the Scallop Plan Team's suggested research priorities.

Comment 3: The SSC had been looking forward to development of an age-structured stock assessment for Kamishak Bay scallops for many years and was disappointed to hear that ADF&G staffing issues have prevented progress. In addition to direct application to fishery management, experience with age-structured scallop assessments will become even more important as the statewide scallop assessment program becomes operational. Given the lack of progress and ongoing agency staffing issues, a graduate student research project may be a practical approach to develop and implement such a model.

Response: The ADF&G is in the process of hiring a Biometrician II. Once on board, the new hire will be responsible for advancing the age-structured assessment model for scallops. Quite a bit of work needs to be done on the model, which will have to be robust to highly variable M, including major die-off events, as well as highly variable size-at-age.

Comment 4: With regard to the SSC's 2015 Comment 1, the SSC appreciates plans to collect new observer data on meat weight, shell height, and discards. However, the other part of the SSC's comment was for the Scallop Plan Team to consider the potential merits of estimating CPUE based on numbers of retained scallops in the catch rather than based on meat weight. Also, along these lines, during the Scallop Plan Team meeting, Jim Stone asked about the potential to **manage scallops based on number of animals harvested rather than pounds of shucked meats.** The SSC encourages the Scallop Plan Team

to explore this possibility in the future. The SSC looks forward to more complete responses to some of the SSC's other previous comments after results from the data-limited symposium become published.

Response: At the 2017 SPT meeting, Ben Williams presented on sampling round weight vs. meat weight and numbers. The relationship between meat weight and round weight varies within and across months, however, round weight is directly convertible to N. Additionally, measuring round weight is far more practical than counting each scallop that comes aboard, especially on a commercial vessel. Catch per unit effort is now presented in both round-weight and meat-weight, as well as in both standardized and unstandardized forms in the 2017 SAFE. Alaska Department of Fish and Game staff intends to continue to collect whole weight vs meat weight data in both the preseason survey and observer program in order to build a robust data set for examining the best way to back calculate from meat weight to whole weight. As to the data-limited workshop, the event - a joint meeting of the crab and scallop plan teams - never took place, as it was awaiting the publication of the Lowell-Wakefield Proceedings.

Comment 5: The SSC appreciates short summaries of recent and ongoing research reported in the Appendices. These Appendices should be cited in the body of the SAFE document so that the reader is aware of them. The Appendices included an exploratory analysis of relationships between fishery CPUE and scallop abundance estimates from dredge surveys. In many cases, fishery CPUE tracked trends in survey abundances fairly well with some exceptions (e.g., negative correlation for Kayak Island east). **The SSC looks forward to more thorough analyses of these relationships including data from planned survey expansions in the future. Understanding such relationships could improve the use of survey and fishery data in fishery management. The SSC also looks forward to further development of the discard mortality rates introduced in the Appendices.**

Response: The SPT discussed the issue of plotting survey and fishery CPUE together for consideration of correspondence between the two. This can be done for the Central District, for which there are uninterrupted time series. A pilot study was conducted by ADF&G on discard mortality. The SPT will look into the results and prepare a summary. The existing assumption discard mortality is 20% and informal reports from the pilot study suggest much lower mortality.

Comment 6: The SSC appreciates revisions to **research recommendations** undertaken by the Scallop Plan Team. The SSC offers a few additions. First, development of a statewide survey program elevates the need to estimate survey catchability, which may vary among areas with bottom type and other factors. Second, as scallop fisheries in many areas suffer from declining CPUE, the SSC feels that research into metapopulation structure should be a priority to understand the degree of connectedness among scallop beds. Next generation genetic tools should be brought to bear on this question.

Response: These research priorities were added to the Council's research priorities during their June 2016 review. As a result of SPT discussion at their 2017 meeting, the first suggestion was retained, but the second and third suggestion were observed to overlap with an existing research priority (see below).

Comment 7: Future SAFE documents would be improved with the addition of the following: (1) a section that highlights new information since the last SAFE report, (2) expansion of the Executive Summary to include OFL and ABC recommendations, (3) a list of tables and figures in the SAFE, and (4) historical catches that show the derivation of MSY estimates.

Response: These recommendations have been incorporated into the current SAFE.

Comment 8: The SSC requests some clarifications in next year's SAFE. On the top of p. 4, please clarify that no vessels have fished to date in the state waters open access fishery. On p. 16, please indicate the catchability coefficient that is used to calculate abundance from dredge surveys. On the bottom of p. 39, weights are given in round weights. Please equate these to meat weights for comparison. In particular, how does a round weight of 205,950 lb relate to the 15,000 lb GHLL (meat weight)?

Response: These recommendations have been incorporated into the current SAFE.

Comment 9: There are a number of typos in the SAFE. For example, Figure 1-1 appears twice on p. 5 and there are two versions of Figure 1-7 on p. 17 and p. 20. The paragraph in the middle of p. 5 begins with an incomplete sentence, the last sentence on p. 16 is incomplete, the figure caption on p. 17 is missing, and Table 2-2 and 1-1 cited in the middle of p. 51 should be Table 1-2 and 1-3. There are other typos.

Response: These recommendations have been incorporated into the current SAFE.

Appendix 2: Economic Factors in the Scallop Fishery off Alaska

**Economic Factors in the
Scallop Fishery off
Alaska**

**Scott A. Miller
Industry Economist
National Marine Fisheries Service
Juneau, Alaska
March 2018**

Introduction

This appendix to the 2018 Scallop SAFE document provides an update of available economic information in an attempt to identify factors that have contributed to major changes in the Alaska scallop fishery over time. This discussion was last presented as an appendix to the 2006 Scallop SAFE and is being provided following an expression of interest by the Council. Note that historical information provided in 2006 is largely retained and has been updated as appropriate, as have tables of economic and participation information. While it may appear that much of this data is dated, it is important to recognize that there is no economic data collection mechanism for the Alaska scallop fishery. Thus, the analyst is limited to landings, price, value, ownership, and basic marketing data and does not have access to current vessel operational costs, crew shares, or other economic information. Nonetheless, every effort has been made to utilize data submissions from industry for past analyses to highlight likely current conditions in the fishery.

The following overview of the history of the fishery is largely excerpted from information presented in Appendix A of the current Scallop Fishery Management Plan (NPFMC, 2009) and incorporates that discussion and information sources identified in that discussion here by reference. Additional historical information can also be found in Appendix 4 of this document. Landings data and harvest limits are discussed in Chapter 3 of this document.

The Early Years

The Atlantic sea scallop fishery is the predominant source of U.S. domestic sea scallop supply. A cyclical decrease in stocks, possibly due to overfishing, began to occur on the Atlantic's Georges Bank in the late 1960's. In response to these stock conditions, management measures, focused on protecting stocks, were adopted. The result was a steady decline in sea scallop landings from the Georges Bank area. As a direct result of these changes, interest in developing a weathervane scallop fishery off Alaska materialized in the late 1960's. Weathervane scallop stocks off Alaska had been evaluated for commercial potential in the 1950's but the first effort recorded in the fishery occurred in 1967. In that year, two vessels made six landings of scallops totaling less than 1,000 pounds of shucked meats.

As shown in Table 1, an additional 17 vessels entered the fishery in 1968 and the 19 vessels that participated made 125 landings totaling 1,677,268 pounds of shucked meats. In 1969, 19 vessels continued harvesting scallops and made 157 landings totaling 1,849,947 pounds of shucked meats. The 1969 fishery had the largest number of landings and the largest pound total in the history of the fishery. The inflation adjusted first wholesale value of the 1969 catch was just over \$1.5 million (inflation adjusted value would exceed \$6.6 million¹). However, this level of harvest and effort was not to be sustained.

¹ Note that the 2006 version of this document provided inflation adjusted number; however, since that time at the urging of the SSC the inflation adjustment that has been provided in the economic section of the Scallop SAFE utilizes the Frozen and Processed Seafood Producer Price Index and that index is presently re-based to the year 1996, and not available for the historic time series of harvests shown here. The intent here is to show the changing scale of harvest and participation in this fishery and inflation adjusted wholesale value from 1993/94 to present is available in table 5-1 of the main body of the 2018 Scallop SAFE.

Table 1: Historic Statewide Commercial Weathervane Scallop Statistics, 1967–2017/18.

Year	Vessels	Landings ^a	Catch (lb meats) ^b	Average Price/lb	Wholesale Value
1967	2	6	778 ^c	\$0.70	\$545
1968	19	125	1,677,268	\$0.85	\$1,425,678
1969	19	157	1,849,947	\$0.85	\$1,572,455
1970	7	137	1,440,338	\$1.00	\$1,440,338
1971	5	60	931,151	\$1.05	\$977,709
1972	5	65	1,167,034	\$1.15	\$1,342,089
1973	5	45	1,109,405	\$1.20	\$1,331,286
1974	3	29	504,438	\$1.30	\$655,769
1975	4	56	435,672	\$1.40	\$609,941
1976	7	21	264,788	\$1.59	\$421,013
1977-79			No Fishery		
1980	8	56	616,717 ^c	\$3.60	\$2,220,181
1981	18	101	924,441	\$4.00	\$3,697,764
1982	13	120	913,996	\$3.25	\$2,970,487
1983	5	30	192,310	\$5.00	\$961,550
1984	6	52	383,512	\$4.00	\$1,534,048
1985	7	47	615,564	\$4.00	\$2,462,256
1986	8	74	667,258	\$4.25	\$2,835,847
1987	4	54	599,947 ^d	\$3.45	\$2,069,817
1988	4	47	341,070	\$3.68	\$1,255,138
1989	7	55	534,763	\$3.87	\$2,069,533
1990	9	144	1,481,136	\$3.43	\$5,080,296
1991	6	136	1,136,649	\$3.82	\$4,341,999
1992	8	136	1,785,673	\$3.96	\$7,071,265
1993 ^e	7	51	568,077	\$5.15	\$2,925,597
1993/94	15	111	984,583	\$5.15	\$5,070,602
1994/95	15	104	1,240,775	\$5.79	\$7,184,087
1995/96	10	29	410,743 ^d	\$6.05	\$2,484,995
1996/97	9	30	732,424	\$6.30	\$4,614,271
1997/98	9	31	818,913	\$6.50	\$5,322,935
1998/99	8	35	822,096	\$6.40	\$5,261,414
1999/00	10	22	837,971	\$6.25	\$5,237,319
2000/01	8	20	750,617	\$5.50	\$4,128,394
2001/02	6	26	572,838	\$5.25	\$3,007,400
2002/03	6	28	509,455	\$5.25	\$2,674,639
2003/04	4	32	500,379	\$5.25	\$2,626,990
2004/05	5	22	431,594	\$5.50	\$2,373,767
2005/06	3	35	532,741	\$8.02 ^g	\$4,272,583
2006/07	3	21	486,564	\$7.78 ^g	\$3,785,468
2007/08	4	21	458,313	\$5.94	\$2,722,379

Year	Vessels	Landings ^a	Catch (lb meats) ^b	Average Price/lb	Wholesale Value
2008/09	4	20	342,434	\$6.34	\$2,171,032
2009/10	3	31	488,059	\$6.48	\$3,162,622
2010/11	3	37	459,759	\$8.35	\$3,838,988
2011/12	4	26	456,058	\$10.39	\$4,738,443
2012/13	4	24	417,551	\$10.63	\$4,438,567
2013/14	4	20	399,134	\$12.25	\$4,889,392
2014/15	4	24	308,888	\$12.39	\$3,827,122
2015/16	3	20	264,316	\$12.22	\$3,229,942
2016/17	2	17	233,003	\$12.53	\$2,919,528
2017/18 ^f	2	n/a	238,710	\$11.54	\$2,754,713

Sources: ADF&G fish ticket data, and Alaska Department of Revenue annual fish prices.

^a Prior to and including 1995, number of landings equals number of fish tickets. After 1995, the number of landings equals number of deliveries (off-loads). A delivery typically includes multiple tickets, normally one per week.

^b Pounds of shucked scallop meats.

^c Unshucked scallop deliveries were converted to shucked meats using a 10% conversion factor.

^d Includes illegal harvest.

^e January 1 through June 30

^f preliminary

^g estimated by fresh product ex-vessel price and limited first wholesale product value data.

Data from 1970 suggest that there may have been relatively few vessels landing most of the scallops during 1968 and 1969. This appears so because only 7 vessels remained in the fishery in 1970 despite an 18% increase in the average nominal price per pound. These 7 vessels made 137 landings totaling 1,440,338 pounds of shucked meats, which was 78% of the harvest taken by 19 vessels the previous year. The first wholesale value of the 1970 catch was about \$1.4 million, or an average of more than \$205,000 per vessel. While this revenue picture appears rosy, there is no data available on operating costs or effort levels in the early days of this fishery, and the trend during the rest of the 1970's suggests that the fishery was not as lucrative as the 1970 revenue numbers suggest.

In 1971, effort fell to 5 vessels and remained at 5 vessels for several years before falling to 3 vessels in 1974. During those years, landings fell from 137 in 1970 to 29 in 1974. However, shucked meat totals stayed near or above 1 million pounds through 1973 before falling by more than 50% to approximately a half million pounds in 1974. Prices continued to rise over this time frame, however, the declining catch forced revenue to decline to just over \$421,000 in 1976 when 264,788 pounds, just 14% of the 1969 peak harvest, of shucked meats were caught. In 1977 and 1978, no effort was expended in the weathervane scallop fishery off Alaska.

The period of 1967 to 1976 demonstrates what can happen in an emerging fishery with passive management. There were no effort controls, limits, or guideline harvest levels in place. The fishery expanded rapidly as scallop beds were located and exploited, experienced substantial effort consolidation as marginal vessels departed, and eventually overexploited the known beds to the point that the fishery was not economically viable by 1977 and 1978. This could have been

the end of the weathervane scallop fishery off Alaska, except for the fact that scallops are somewhat resilient, and discoveries of new beds had yet to be made.

In 1979, following two years with no harvest, a single vessel made 4 landings totaling less than 25,000 pounds of shucked meats. Three years of zero or minimal effort had likely allowed the scallop resource to regenerate somewhat. That likelihood, combined with a price increase to \$3.80 per pound contributed to 8 vessels making 56 landings totaling about 617,000 pounds in 1980.

Given fishing success in 1980 and significant price increases to \$3.60 per pound, it is not surprising to see that 1981 participation increased to 18 vessels that made 101 landings totaling 924,441 pounds of shucked meats. The 1980 first wholesale value was approximately \$2.2 million and rose to nearly \$3.7 million in 1981. However, data for the next several years show a similar cycle as occurred between 1969 and 1974. By 1983, five vessels made 30 landings totaling less than 200,000 pounds of shucked meats. However, 1983 was the year of record high nominal prices of \$5 per pound so first wholesale value was nearly \$1 million.

Over the next several years, participation increased slightly as did landings and catch but repeated the cyclical pattern by trending back downwards before another cyclic increase in landings and catch began in 1989. Beginning in 1990, an influx of East Coast scallop vessels began to occur; once again this was because of unfavorable economic conditions in East Coast scallop fisheries. The upward trend continued into 1992, when the second highest historic catch of 1,785,673 pounds was taken by 8 vessels making 136 landings. The first wholesale value of over \$7 million recorded in 1992 is the second highest nominal first wholesale value ever recorded in the fishery and if inflation adjusted is the historic high value in the history of this fishery.

This period of this fishery has been characterized as a “gold rush atmosphere” (Barnhart, 2006). It is also important to note that by this time, scallop beds had been located in several areas around Kodiak Island, in Shelikof Strait, near Yakutat, in the Northern Gulf of Alaska near Kayak Island, in Cook Inlet, as well as in the Aleutians and Bering Sea.

In the early 1990’s, the State of Alaska determined that the fishery was expanding rapidly without active management. Thus, the State moved to declare this fishery a high impact emerging fishery in May of 1993. This action required fishery closure and implementation of an interim management plan. Table 1 shows that, prior to closure in May of 1993, the fishery had participation by 7 vessels with 51 landings totaling 568,077 pounds. Following implementation of the interim management plan, the fishery reopened on June 17, 1993. The interim management plan required 100% observer coverage and set crab bycatch limits. From this point on, data is presented by season years. Thus, the remained of 1993 catch is listed for the 1993-94 season. The seasons established in the management plan extend into the first three months of the following year.

Catch statistics shown in table 1 for the 1993-94 season indicate participation by 15 vessels making 111 landings of a total of 984,583 pounds of shucked meats. Total first wholesale value was just over \$5 million in 1993-94. The 1994-95 season also had participation by 15 vessels

making 104 landings totaling 1,240,775 pounds. Total first wholesale value in 1994-95 was nearly \$7.2 million, the highest nominal value in history.

In the 1995/96 season the captain of a single vessel turned in his State scallop registration card but proceeded to fish scallops in the Federal waters of the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) without State observer coverage and with total disregard for harvest limits. In response, Federal regulators closed the EEZ to scallop harvest by emergency rule on February 23rd of 1995 and then enacted a Fisheries Management Plan for the scallop fisheries off Alaska (FMP) and an amendment to that plan that closed the fishery in the EEZ until August of 1996, nearly 18 months later. (NPFMC, 2005) The actions of this one individual, and the resulting closures likely had a devastating economic impact on remaining participants. Nonetheless, the period from 1994/95 to 2000, with the exception of the 1995/96 season, had fairly constant participation and landed pounds trended upwards.

In 1997, the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council (Council) sought to restrict effort in the scallop fishery off Alaska by adopting a vessel moratorium, under which 18 vessels qualified to fish in Federal waters. Following that action, the Council undertook analysis of further capacity reductions and adopted a License Limitation Program, including 9 vessels, which took effect in 2000. (NPFMC, 2005) These changes ushered in a new era in the scallop fishery off Alaska. The successes of the early exploratory years had now necessitated stock and effort management measures and capacity reduction.

Scallop Fishery Transition and Fleet Consolidation

A review of fish ticket data suggest that, in the early days of this fishery, much of the harvest was made by catcher vessels (CVs) making single day trips and delivering to shoreside processors. The shoreside processors then processed the meats (e.g. trim, freezing, and packaging) and moved the product to market, whether in fresh or frozen form. That method appears to have continued into the mid 1990's. At that time, single day trips had begun to be replaced by multiday trips and freezing at sea by catcher processors (CPs). This change was likely the result of some vessels earning marginal returns due to the cost of daily transit to and from port as well as the 10-day maximum that shucked meats can be held on ice by a CV (Kandianis 2006) The further vessels operated from port the more severe this inefficiency became. As new beds were found in distant areas some vessels likely found their participation was not economically sustainable. This fact was likely exacerbated by the fact that harvesters had little or no market power.

Under these conditions, vessel operators are constrained by the inefficiency of the day trip and external market forces dictating the value of their catch. Thus, operators would look to reduce inefficiencies, reduce operating costs, and attempt to capture processing value added that was being captured by the shoreside processing sector. Operators might even attempt to improve value by increasing quality. It can be argued that fresh frozen (at sea) product may be superior to product that is iced for a period of time before being consumed and/or frozen. The result of these forces appears to be the entrance of catcher processors (CPs) into the scallop fishery. That this began to happen should be no surprise. It was around this time that the CP fleet began to expand in several of the Bering Sea fisheries for many of the same reasons.

This practice expanded over the next several seasons. By the time the vessel moratorium was imposed in 1997 there were 18 vessels included under the moratorium. Further consolidation of the fleet was deemed necessary by the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council.

In 1999, the Council adopted Amendment 4 to the Scallop FMP, which established the Federal License Limitation Program (LLP). The LLP recognized 9 participants and granted them statewide access with maximum vessel length overall (MLOA) limits (equal to the length of the vessel they were using during the qualifying period) and with gear restrictions for two vessels that primarily fished inside the Cook Inlet registration area. All of the remaining 7 participants in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area were using vessels categorized as CPs. Thus, at the time of the LLP, virtually all effort in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area was from CPs. Thus, the transition away from the inefficiency of day trips, the capture of shoreside processing value added by offshore processing, and any potential improvement in quality brought about by at-sea freezing appeared to be complete by the time of LLP implementation in 2000. However, further fleet consolidation was predictable, and had already begun.

The Regulatory Impact Review (RIR) analysis supporting the action to create the LLP (NPFMC 1999) develops a breakeven analysis for the scallop fishery in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area. This analysis estimates the number of vessels that could breakeven in the fishery under a series of price and landings scenarios. The analysis is based on operating cost and revenue data provided voluntarily by fishery participants. Table 2 presents the analysis.

Table 2: Number of Vessels that Could Breakeven Under Various Price and Landings Scenarios (recreated from Regulatory Impact Review for Amendment 4 to the North Pacific Scallop FMP)

Price	Landing (pounds)			
	600,000	800,000	1,000,000	1,200,000
\$5.00	3.6	4.9	6.1	7.3
\$5.50	4.0	5.3	6.7	8.0
\$6.00	4.4	5.8	7.3	8.7
\$6.50	4.7	6.3	7.9	9.5
\$7.00	5.1	6.8	8.5	10.2
\$7.50	5.5	7.3	9.1	10.9
\$8.00	5.8	7.8	9.7	11.6

In the 1999/00 season, 10 vessels, including two inside the Cook Inlet registration area, landed 837,971 pounds of scallops with an average price of \$6.25. The analysis recreated in Table 2 indicates that approximately 6 vessels could breakeven fishing in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area under this price and landings scenario. Thus, participation in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area exceeded the breakeven number of vessel by two.

In 2000/01 8 vessels, including two operating inside the Cook Inlet registration area, landed 750,617 pounds of scallops with an average price of \$5.50 per pound. The breakeven analysis

suggests that this price and landings combination could probably support 5 vessels in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area; however, 6 were fishing in that season.

In 2001/02, six vessels, likely four in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area, landed 572,838 pounds of scallops with an average price of \$5.25 per pound. The breakeven analysis suggests that this landings and price scenario could support fewer than four vessels at breakeven levels and this appears to be the case in 2002/03 as well.

In 2000, a group of six of the LLP holders, who traditionally have fished in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area, formed a voluntary marketing cooperative (NPFMC 2005). The cooperative members agreed to reduce harvesting capacity and entered into revenue sharing agreements with members who agreed to not use their vessel(s). That the cooperative chose to do this is not surprising given the effect of declining landings and price on breakeven numbers in this fishery between 2000/01 and 2002/03.

In 2001, the cooperative reduced vessel participation by 50%, however, one vessel continued to operate independently in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area. Two vessels continued to fish independent of the cooperative inside the Cook Inlet registration area. Thus, capacity reduction efforts made by the cooperative had reduced overall capacity but not to the level suggested by the breakeven analysis presented above.

A point worth considering is that several of the LLP holders who had joined the cooperative had, at one time, been involved in the East Coast Atlantic sea scallop fishery. This was true of the LLP associated with the vessels Carolina Girl and Carolina Boy and the vessel Pursuit. The Pursuit was operating out of Kodiak when the LLP was implemented, and the Carolina Boy and Carolina Girl were operating out of Seward (Barnhart, 2006). Each of these operations, however, was East Coast based and likely had to bear costs of travel to and from the east coast, or vessel caretaking costs during the off-season, and idle vessel time. These factors likely contributed to these three vessels not fishing under the cooperative.

Instead of fishing, the owners of the LLP that originally used these vessels received some form of revenue and/or ownership sharing while the other cooperative members continued to fish. Evidence of this was presented in Appendix A to the Environmental Assessment conducted for Amendment 10 to the FMP (NPFMC 2005). Provider Inc. and Ocean Fisheries LLC provided operating cost data for their scallop fishing enterprise in 2003. This data shows that these two operators paid \$244,516 in “scallop leases” in 2003.

The lease fees paid by Ocean Hunter and Provider Inc. could only be afforded if the operations gained considerably more revenue and/or if they are able to decrease operating costs under the cooperative. The revenue earned by these two vessels is confidential.

However, the breakeven analysis presented in the RIR for Amendment 4 (LLP) to the FMP determined that the average fixed and variable non-labor costs of the fleet at the time (pre LLP, pre coop) was approximately 59% (NPFMC 2005, Appendix B).

The data provided by Provider Inc. and Ocean Hunter/ Ocean Fisheries LLC in 2003 indicate a non-labor cost ratios of 59% and 57% for Provider and Ocean Hunter respectively. However, these non-labor cost ratios include lease fees of \$157,493 paid by Provider Inc. and \$87,097 in

lease fees paid by Ocean Hunter. Thus, these two cooperative vessels were able to maintain the same, or slightly lower, cost ratio inclusive of leases paid to other cooperative members totaling \$244,516. While revenue cannot be discussed directly, it is likely that overall revenue for these vessels increased with fewer vessels fishing. It is likely that payments to labor, including owner shares, increased with greater overall revenue and similar non-labor cost ratios.

While the cooperative initially limited effort by using revenue sharing to compensate owners of unused vessels, a more permanent effort reduction began to take place in 2002. It is important to understand that Federal Alaska Scallop LLP permits are not directly associated with a specific vessel. The only vessel requirement on the LLP permit is that it cannot be used on any vessel larger than the MLOA assigned to the LLP. Further restrictions are that no more than two LLPs may be held by one “individual” and that LLPs may not be leased.

In contrast, the Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission (CFEC) Limited Entry Scallop permit, which was allowed to sunset in 2014 and no longer exists, was specifically attached to a vessel. Thus, through 2013, to fish in both Federal and State waters, one had to have a Federal LLP and would need to use the actual vessel assigned the CFEC Limited Entry permit if also fishing in State waters. However, if one wanted to fish only in Federal waters, without harvest restriction, they could use any vessel so long as it was under the MLOA of that LLP and was not an American Fisheries Act (AFA) vessel (sideboarded by State statute). Alternatively, if an individual or entity were to purchase a Federal LLP, they would not be required to actually fish the LLP, nor would they then have need of a CFEC Limited Entry licensed vessel.

Starting in 2002, the members of the cooperative wishing to remain in the fishery formed several Alaska corporations with shared ownership and purchased the interest of those who no longer wished to remain in the fishery and consolidated operations on three vessels. There was one additional original cooperative member; Forum Star Inc. The vessel Forum Star is an AFA eligible vessel and has been permitted as such since 2000. Under Amendment 8 to the FMP authority was delegated to the State of Alaska to set an AFA sideboard in the scallop fishery. The State set a limit of approximately 35,000 pounds (Barnhart, 2006) at present stock levels, on that vessel.

In 2005, Forum Star Inc. and its Scallop LLP were purchased by American Seafoods LLC, also an AFA entity. If the LLP held by American Seafoods LLC remains in the control of an AFA entity, it will continue to be restricted by the AFA sideboard. It is, however, important to note that the LLP itself is not AFA endorsed. This means that it could presumably be sold to a non-AFA entity. As long as a vessel no longer than 97’ (the MLOA allowed under Federal Scallop LLP #002) with no AFA endorsement is used with LLP #002, the AFA sideboard restriction would not apply. Thus, an existing scallop operation could buy this LLP and use it on a 97-foot non-AFA vessel under current federal regulations (50 CFR 679.4, 50 CFR 679.7). Alternatively, an existing entity would not have to use it at all as just holding the second permit means more scallop harvest for the remaining vessels.

Table 3 provides a summary of LLP holdings and changes in those holdings over time separately for independent operators and for cooperative members. The three LLPs not associated with cooperative members have also gone through several permit transfers and organizational changes. LLP #003, and the vessel Kilkenny that has most recently been used to fish that LLP,

are now owned by Atlantic Cape Fisheries Inc. of New Jersey. That LLP has not been fished in the past two seasons. LLP #004 is presently registered to its original holder, Max G. Hulse. There are indications that Mr. Hulse may be deceased; however, no application for permit transfer has been received. The vessels historically utilized by Mr. Hulse have been lengthened and re-purposed and would no longer be eligible to fish the LLP. A vessel matching the LOA limit of the LLP could be used to fish LLP #004; however, that has not occurred in many years. Finally, LLP #006 was most recently transferred to EWT LLC, which is an Alaska LLC with ownership by U.S. East coast scallop interests. However, EWT LLC was involuntarily dissolved by the State of Alaska either due to non-filing of renewal and/or nonpayment of fees. The vessel historically used to fish this LLP has been sold by the original LLP holder and is not owned by EWT LLC interests.

Also shown in Table 3 are the present owners of LLPs associated with the Alaska Scallop Cooperative. The information provided includes corporate and individual ownership percentages which will be discussed further below. At present, there are effectively two cooperative associated vessels fishing in the statewide fishery outside the Cook Inlet registration area: Ocean Hunter, and Provider. However, Arctic Hunter LLC recently replaced the Arctic Hunter with the Polar Sea, thus, the cooperative has three vessels prepared to fish scallops. Given that the Killeen could begin to fish under LLP #003 there are effectively four known scallop fishing platforms presently available.

Table 3 provides the ownership percentages of Alaska Weathervane Scallop LLPs, by Alaska Corporation. Alaska corporate records available online include the ownership percentages of each identified owner and they are presented in table 4 as well. Several of the identified owners of LLPs that are associated with the Alaska Scallop Cooperative are Washington based corporate entities. Table 5 provides available information from Washington corporate records online regarding the individuals who own these Washington corporations. Unfortunately, Washington State does not publicly identify ownership percentages. For this analysis, it is assumed that a single identified governor of a Washington corporation holds 100% ownership, and when two governors are identified it is assumed they each hold equal 50% shares. Table 4 identifies these individuals and the assumptions regarding their ownership shares.

Utilizing the Alaska corporate LLP ownership percentages and the ownership percentages of individual owners of the Washington corporations identified in Alaska corporate records it is possible to assign ownership shares of each LLP to the individual owners and to tabulate cumulative ownership shares of Alaska Weathervane scallop LLPs attributable to Alaska Scallop Cooperative members. This ownership attribution is provided in Table 5 for each cooperative member, individually, and shows that the highest level of cumulative ownership shares is 110%, or the equivalent of 1.1 LLP. LLP ownership limitations enacted when the LLP was established allow up to two LLP to be owned by one person.

Table 3: Federal Scallop LLP Holder History and Current Activity.

LLP	Original Holder	MLOA	Current Holder	Restrictions	Alaska Corporate Ownership	Vessel Historically Used	Fished in 2015-2018
Independent Operators							
003	Hogan, Thomas C.	75	Atlantic Capes Fisheries LLC	2 dredges with 20' max. combined width	Atlantic Capes Fisheries Inc: Daniel Cohen (100%) in good standing	Kilkenny: Owned by Atlantic Cape Fisheries Inc, New Jersey	no
004	Hulse, Max G. et al.	79	Hulse, Max G. (Possibly Deceased)	2 dredges with 20' max. combined width	Alaska Dream Ventures LLC: Robert Hulse (100%) in good standing (transfer not yet applied for)	La Brisa / Wayward Wind: Vessels rebuilt (lengthened) and re-purposed	no
006	Oceanic Research Services	70	EWT LLC	none	EWT LLC: Eric Orman (66.67%) Warren Alexander (33.33%) Involuntarily Dissolved	Arctic Storm: sold	no
Alaska Scallop Association Members							
002	Forum Star Inc.	97	American Seafoods Co., LLC	State Imposed AFA Sideboard	American Seafoods Group, LLC (100%), in turn owned by ASG Parent LLC (100%) home state Delaware	Forum Star (owned by Forum Star LLC, which is 100% owned by American Seafoods Company LLC)	no
005	Ocean Fisheries LLC	102	Arctic Hunter LLC	none	Egil Mikkelsen, Glenn Mikkelsen, James Stone, John Lemar, Stein Nyhammer (20% each)	Arctic Hunter, Replaced by Polar Sea (owned by Arctic Hunter LLC)	yes
007	Pursuit, Inc.	101	Ocean Fisheries LLC	none	Festus Fisheries Inc (WA). (20%) Mikkelsen Fisheries Inc (WA). (40%) Stein Enterprises Inc. (WA) (20%), Stone Maritime Inc (WA). (20%)	Pursuit (no longer documented)	no
008	Provider, Inc.	124	Provider Fisheries LLC	none	Egil Mikkelsen (20%), Glenn Mikkelsen (20%), James Stone (25%), John Lemar (25%), Tom Minio (10%)	Provider (owned by Provider Fisheries LLC)	yes
009	Carolina Boy, Inc.	95	Ocean Fisheries, LLC	none	Festus Fisheries Inc(WA). (20%) Mikkelsen Fisheries Inc(WA). (40%) Stein Enterprises inc. (WA) (20%), Stone Maritime Inc(WA) (20%)	Ocean Hunter (owned by Ocean Fisheries LLC)	yes
010	Carolina Girl, Inc.	96	Alaska Scallop Fisheries , LLC	none	Egil Mikkelsen (20%), Glenn Mikkelsen (20%), James Stone (25%), John Lemar (25%), Tom Minio (10% each)	Carolina Girl (no longer documented)	no

Source: Public records at <https://alaskafisheries.noaa.gov> and <https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/cbp/main/search/entities>

Table 4: Ownership Interest of Washington Corporations

Washington Corporation	Governors	Ownership
Festus Fisheries, Inc.	John Lemar, Curtis Lemar	Assumed equal 50% shares
Mikkelsen Fisheries Inc.	Egil Mikkelsen, Glenn Mikkelsen	Assumed equal 50% shares
Stein Enterprises	Stein Nyhammer	100%
Stone Maritime	James Stone	100%

Source: Washington Corporate Records Search: <https://www.sos.wa.gov/corps/>

Table 5: Cooperative Member LLP Ownership Attribution

Owner	LLP Number						Cumulative Ownership
	002	005	007	008	009	010	
American Seafoods	100%						100%
John Lemar	20%	10%	25%	10%	25%		90%
Curtis Lemar		10%			10%		20%
Egil Mikkelsen	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%		100%
Glenn Mikkelsen	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%		100%
Tom Minio			10%			10%	20%
Stein Nyhammer	20%	20%			20%		60%
James Stone	20%	20%	25%	20%	25%		110%

Effects of Fleet Consolidation

The story of fleet consolidation in the Alaska Weathervane scallop fishery is not unlike that of any other fishery that has had overexploitation under open access, inefficiency caused by the race for fish, and marginally profitable operations due to overcapacity. Fleet consolidation likely results in access to a greater proportion of available harvest for each remaining participant, and reductions in cost are likely due to reduced crowding on available grounds and elimination of the inefficiencies of the race for fish that occurs in an overcapitalized fishery. However, consolidation has also likely occurred as the harvest levels have trended downwards to historically low levels in the most recent years.

Fleet consolidation undoubtedly has a direct effect on the number of crew and operator positions in the fishery. At the time of the vessel moratorium, 18 vessels qualified and likely employed at least 216 crew members (12, including operator, cooks, mechanics, etc. per vessel). However, crew earnings and data linking crew members to vessels do not exist. It is impossible to say, using presently available data, exactly how many crew were employed or the amount of their crew shares. Similarly, it is impossible to determine how many crew were locally (Alaska Residents) acquired or available. In any event, the Federal LLP effectively reduced the number

of crew positions, including operators etc., to 108. The fleet consolidation that has occurred under the cooperative, and due to declining guideline harvest levels, has likely further reduced crew positions to fewer than 40. It is possible; however, that the crew shares earned by these crew members are higher than what was earned in the past.

The formation of the scallop cooperative, and its further development into what is now the Alaska Scallop Association, along with declining CPUE in several areas, reduced harvest levels, and high participation costs have had some impacts on crew positions. Some participants have reported that they will vary the number of crew they carry depending on their expectations of fishing conditions. Essentially, if they feel that the pace of fishing will slow, on any given trip, they may carry anywhere between 8 and 12 crew. The one non-cooperative vessel in the fleet, the Kilkenny, most recently fished the Kamishak Bay beds, when open, and areas near Kodiak Island. They delivered fresh-shucked meats to buyers in Homer and Kodiak and indicate that, since they are not freezing their product at sea, they can fish with as few as 3 crew but usually take 4 or more (pers. comm, Bill Harrington, February 2013). Thus, the current Alaska scallop fishery is likely using fewer crew due to the efficiency gains they have created through the cooperative and through the ability of the Kilkenny to sell fresh product.

Crew wages in the present fishery are undoubtedly less, in the aggregate, than they would have been as a share of total revenue in the past. What is not clear; however, is whether individual crew shares have increased for those who continue to work in the scallop fishery. Improved efficiency and reduced numbers of crew on a vessel create the opportunity to have increased crew shares; however, there is no economic data collection program in the scallop fishery that could be used to confirm this possibility.

As has been discussed above, the Alaska Scallop Association has entered into a revenue sharing system that resulted in payments to members who agreed to not use their vessels so that the vessels that do fish can remain economically viable. At present, all three active vessels associated with the Alaska Scallop Association members are homeported in Kodiak (personal communication, Jim Stone, February 2018) as is the one identified non-cooperative vessel that has recently fished.

Fleet consolidation has also affected deliveries to several Alaska ports. Information on scallop deliveries to ports from 1990-2017 (ADF&G 2018) show that, since formation of the cooperative and associated fleet consolidation, scallop landings have occurred in several ports and the location of landings has varied over the years. Cordova, Dutch Harbor, Homer, Kodiak, Sitka, and Yakutat have all had landings in the past five years, while occasional past landings in Alaska ports of Juneau, Ketchikan, Pelican, Petersburg, Sand Point, Seldovia, Seward and Whittier are not presently occurring. Also, of note is that past landings made outside of Alaska to ports in Bellingham, and Seattle have not occurred since 2008 and not by any of the present members of the Alaska Scallop Association. All of the vessels that participate in this fishery, at present, are homeported in Alaska ports and pay both Alaska Business taxes and Resource Landings taxes and any applicable local taxes in landing ports and their home port (e.g. sales tax). While all of the effects mentioned above have negative consequences for some fishery participants and fishing communities, it is likely that the overall effect of fleet reduction is improved profitability for the remaining participants, whether they belong to the cooperative or not.

It is possible to decompose the breakeven analysis from the Amendment 4 Regulatory Impact Review and re-specify those breakeven levels using present harvest and price ranges. Doing so imposes the same fixed cost ratios as were used in the Amendment 4 and data from vessels that, with the exception of the Provider, do not currently participate in the fishery. With that limitation duly noted, application of present price of \$11.50 and just over 200,000 pounds of harvest roughly 2.8 vessels would breakeven under present fishery and market conditions assuming cost ratios are similar to the past. However, Appendix B to the analysis of Amendment 10 to the Scallop FMP (NPFMC 2005) contains cost and breakeven data from 2003 for the Provider and Ocean Hunter, both of which are presently active in the fishery. That data, though limited to an average of two vessels shows (Table 6, below) that breakeven levels of income from 2003, inflation adjusted to 2017 values using the U.S. Gross Domestic Product Implicit Price Deflator, suggests that fewer than two vessels would breakeven under current price and landings values.

Table 6: Number of Vessels that Could Breakeven Under 2017 Price and Landings Scenarios (recreated from Regulatory Impact Review for Amendment 4-10 to the North Pacific Scallop FMP)

Price	Landing (pounds)			
	200,000	400,000	600,000	800,000
\$10.00	1.1	2.1	3.2	4.3
\$10.50	1.1	2.2	3.4	4.5
\$11.00	1.2	2.3	3.5	4.7
\$11.50	1.2	2.4	3.7	4.9
\$12.00	1.3	2.6	3.8	5.1
\$12.50	1.3	2.7	4.0	5.3
\$13.00	1.4	2.8	4.2	5.5

Purchase of LLPs from other cooperative members has likely reduced revenue sharing obligations for active participants, albeit with the potential cost of debt finance for these transactions. Overall, it is likely that fleet consolidation has resulted in a more efficient fleet with lower operating costs, potentially greater average crew wages, and improved returns to owned capital. However, the historically low harvest levels in the Alaska Weathervane scallop fishery, even with historically high prices are limiting the economic performance of the fishery and likely also preventing new entrants to the State waters fishery.

Markets

In the domestic U.S. market, Alaska weathervane scallops are similar to Atlantic sea scallops; however, they tend to be smaller and sweeter to the palate. Table 7 compares total landings and value of Alaska weathervane scallops with Atlantic sea scallops from 1990 through 2016 and with imports of all scallop products from 1990 through December of 2017. These data show that Atlantic sea scallop harvest is consistently orders of magnitude larger than weathervane scallop harvests off Alaska.

There are some intuitive conclusions that can be made from the data presented in Table 7 and from the price trends displayed in Figure 1. First, domestic markets are dominated by Atlantic sea scallop production and scallop imports. For example, in 2016, 40.5 million pounds of

Atlantic Sea Scallops were landed in the United States, and 51 million pounds of scallop products were imported into the United States. This compares to just over 200,000 pounds of Alaska Weathervane scallop landings in 2016. Even in the highest production year of 1994, the 1.2 million pounds of Alaska Weathervane scallop landings made in that year compare to 16.8 million pounds of Atlantic Sea scallop landings and 56.8 million pounds of imported scallop products.

Second, prices of weathervane scallops track closely to those of Atlantic sea scallops. Thus, it is highly likely that domestic market price is dominated by the relationship between quantity supplied in the Atlantic sea scallop fishery and domestic market demand as well as by substitution of imported scallop products. Figure 1 provides a very clear picture of the relationship between Sea scallop prices and Alaska Weathervane scallop prices. These data appear to show that Alaska Weathervane scallop price declines tend to lag U.S. Sea scallop price declines and, at least since formation of the Alaska Scallop Association, have tended to slightly lead market price increase




Figure 1: Scallop Price Comparisons, 1990-2017

One might argue that the appearance may be driven by data collection differences. Sea Scallop prices are tabulated somewhat continuously through the season and landings and value are available on a monthly basis. In contrast, Alaska Weathervane scallops are primarily processed at sea and a value is not established at the time of landing but rather via the annual tax filings of harvesting entities with the Alaska Department of Revenue. The Alaska Weathervane scallop price determination for the previous year is usually published May or June of the following year. However, for this analysis, average prices are tabulated for each year and, thus, are from a comparable time frame leading one to wonder as to the price dynamics at work behind the apparent time lag in declines and slight lead in increases that Alaska Weathervane scallops seem to exhibit.

Table 7: US Scallop Landings and Value versus Scallop Imports and Value, 1990-2017

Year	U.S. Sea Scallops			Alaska Weathervane Scallops*			Scallop Imports, All Product Forms Combined		
	Millions of Pounds	Value (\$ millions)	Av. \$/lb	Millions of Pounds	Value (\$ millions)	Av. \$/lb	Millions of Pounds	Value (\$ millions)	Av. \$/lb
1990	38.6	\$149.1	\$3.87	1.1	\$4.3	\$3.82	40.0	\$131.6	\$3.29
1991	37.9	\$153.7	\$4.05	1.8	\$7.1	\$3.96	29.7	\$111.4	\$3.76
1992	31.3	\$153.4	\$4.90	0.6	\$2.9	\$5.15	38.8	\$160.2	\$4.13
1993	16.1	\$97.1	\$6.04	1.0	\$5.1	\$5.15	52.1	\$219.2	\$4.21
1994	16.8	\$84.1	\$5.01	1.2	\$7.2	\$5.79	56.8	\$216.9	\$3.82
1995	17.4	\$89.8	\$5.16	0.4	\$2.5	\$6.05	48.4	\$174.8	\$3.61
1996	17.5	\$98.8	\$5.64	0.7	\$4.6	\$6.30	58.8	\$198.8	\$3.38
1997	13.6	\$89.5	\$6.56	0.8	\$5.3	\$6.50	60.3	\$238.1	\$3.95
1998	12.1	\$75.1	\$6.19	0.8	\$5.3	\$6.40	53.2	\$221.1	\$4.16
1999	22.0	\$121.0	\$5.49	0.8	\$5.2	\$6.25	44.6	\$194.7	\$4.37
2000	32.2	\$160.9	\$5.00	0.8	\$4.1	\$5.50	54.1	\$214.8	\$3.97
2001	46.4	\$172.6	\$3.72	0.6	\$3.0	\$5.25	40.0	\$130.0	\$3.25
2002	52.7	\$202.1	\$3.84	0.5	\$2.7	\$5.25	49.0	\$146.7	\$3.00
2003	56.0	\$229.1	\$4.09	0.5	\$2.6	\$5.25	52.9	\$161.9	\$3.06
2004	64.1	\$320.0	\$4.99	0.4	\$2.3	\$5.50	45.3	\$149.4	\$3.29
2005	56.6	\$432.5	\$7.64	0.5	\$4.0	\$7.58	51.4	\$229.8	\$4.47
2006	60.1	\$386.3	\$6.43	0.5	\$3.8	\$7.86	60.8	\$243.3	\$4.00
2007	58.5	\$386.0	\$6.60	0.5	\$2.7	\$5.94	56.6	\$236.8	\$4.18
2008	53.4	\$370.1	\$6.93	0.3	\$2.2	\$6.34	57.8	\$244.8	\$4.24
2009	57.9	\$375.6	\$6.48	0.5	\$3.2	\$6.48	56.3	\$233.0	\$4.14
2010	57.5	\$455.7	\$7.92	0.5	\$3.8	\$8.35	51.9	\$238.5	\$4.60
2011	59.2	\$585.1	\$9.89	0.5	\$4.7	\$10.39	56.8	\$300.4	\$5.29
2012	56.9	\$559.0	\$9.82	0.4	\$4.4	\$10.63	34.5	\$224.7	\$6.52
2013	41.0	\$466.8	\$11.39	0.4	\$4.9	\$12.25	60.9	\$371.9	\$6.11
2014	33.8	\$423.7	\$12.52	0.3	\$3.8	\$12.39	60.7	\$394.4	\$6.50
2015	35.7	\$439.7	\$12.32	0.3	\$3.2	\$12.22	49.3	\$350.2	\$7.11
2016	40.5	\$486.0	\$12.00	0.2	\$2.9	\$12.53	51.0	\$328.5	\$6.43
2017	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.2	\$2.7	\$11.54	41.3	\$264.5	\$6.40

Sources: NMFS Data at <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov> and ADF&G Fish Ticket data.

* Seasonal data is displayed as annual data for comparison with annual sea scallop landings

n/a= data for 2017 Atlantic US Sea scallop fishery is not yet available.

Unfortunately, while Sea Scallop landings and value data are incredibly rich, Alaska Weathervane scallop pricing data is represented by a single data point per year with occasional fish ticket values when fresh product has been landed. These imbalanced data sets largely prevent meaningful econometric analysis of the demand for each product, including the extent to which Alaska Weathervane scallop prices may be driven by the Sea Scallop market.

Another important factor in scallop market is imports of scallop products. Unfortunately, available import data commingles imports of several small scallop species (e.g. pink, calico, bay etc.) with larger scallop varieties such as sea scallops and weathervane scallops. However, as these products are substitutes for one another, although not perfectly, the imports of these other species may have an effect on domestic market prices. In any event, the imported value of scallops has been similar to, or exceeded, total domestic production in recent years. Thus, it is likely that domestic market prices are heavily influenced by imports.

The conclusion that can be drawn from the data presented in Table 9 is that the wholesale price of weathervane scallops is likely heavily influenced by other domestic supply and import supply. This suggests that North Pacific harvesters have little, if any, market power to negotiate prices, except perhaps based on quality and taste preferences, and are essentially price takers in the wholesale market.

References

- ADF&G (Alaska Department of Fish and Game). 2018. Annual Alaska Scallop Fish Ticket Data. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Douglas, Alaska.
- ADF&G (Alaska Department of Fish and Game). 2018. Annual Alaska Scallop Port Landings. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Kodiak, Alaska.
- ADOC (Alaska Department of Commerce). 2018. Alaska Corporations Business and Professional Licensing Database, available at <https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/cbp/main/search/entities>
- Anchorage Daily News, 2016. Obituary of Max G. Hulse. Published February 16, 2016, Anchorage Alaska.
- Barnhart, Jeffrey, 2006. Personal Communication with Jeffrey Barnhart, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries., Kodiak Alaska., January 2006.
- Harrington, Bill, 2013. Public testimony at the 2013 Scallop Plan Team meeting, February 24, 2013., Kodiak, Alaska.
- Kandianis, Mark, 2006. Public testimony at the 2006 Scallop Plan Team meeting, February 24, 2006., Anchorage Alaska.
- NMFS., 2006. National Marine Fisheries Service National Fishery Statistics., available at <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov>
- NPFMC (North Pacific Fishery Management Council). 1999. Environmental Assessment/Regulatory Impact Review/Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis of Amendment 4 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Scallop Fishery off Alaska. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 West 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, Alaska.
- NPFMC (North Pacific Fishery Management Council). 2009. Fishery Management Plan for the Scallop Fishery off Alaska. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 West 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, Alaska.

NPFMC (North Pacific Fishery Management Council). 2005. Environmental Assessment/Regulatory Impact Review/Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis of Amendment 10 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Scallop Fishery off Alaska. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 West 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, Alaska.

State of Washington., 2018 Corporate Records Search available at <https://www.sos.wa.gov/corps/>

Stone, Jim., 2018 Public testimony at the 2018 Scallop Plan Team meeting, February 21, 2018., Kodiak, Alaska.

Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix 3: Weathervane Scallop Stock Structure

A summary of the available data (Spencer et al, 2010) on the stock identification for weathervane scallops is shown in Table 6-1. This information is necessary to determine stock structure, stock boundaries, as well as to identify data gaps and research needs for scallops. The Scallop Plan Team intends to update these data as additional information becomes available in the annual SAFE report.

Table 6-1 Summary of available data on stock identification for Weathervane scallop.

<i>HARVEST AND TRENDS</i>	
<u>Factor and criterion</u>	<u>Available information</u>
Fishing mortality (5-year average percent of F_{max})	Cook Inlet and Kayak bed-specific information available where surveyed, unknown for other areas.
Spatial concentration of fishery relative to abundance (Fishing is focused in areas << management areas)	Fishery concentrated in areas smaller than broad distribution of scallop stocks by management region. See figures in SAFE for overall distribution. Scallops known to occur in closed waters, sometimes in dense aggregations.
Population trends (Different areas show different trend directions)	Survey biomass trends in some regions, CPUE trend data available for other regions, trends differ by area, no clear overall trend statewide, age distributions differ by region and beds, recruitment difficult to detect due to fishery-dependent data (commercial fishery catch does not necessarily indicate recruitment or biomass trends)
<i>Barriers and phenotypic characters</i>	
Generation time (e.g., >10 years)	No, areas tend to be similar, some differences in growth rates by area and maturity
Physical limitations (Clear physical inhibitors to movement)	Consideration of GOA oceanography and the ~30-day larval phase (Bourne, 1991) suggest linkages between different subpopulations of this spatially structured metapopulations but advection and settlement information unknown
Growth differences (Significantly different LAA, WAA, or LW parameters)	Yes, Kodiak scallops grow faster and are larger at given shell height than scallops from the eastern GOA; unknown if genetic or environmental but literature suggests environmental factors such as depth, water temperature, and primary production strongly affect growth. (Ignell and Haynes, 2000; Kruse et al. 2005).

Table 6-1 (cont'd) Summary of available data on stock identification for Weathervane scallop.

Age/size-structure (Significantly different size/age compositions)	Complicated by comparison of survey data with fishery data; age structure varies regionally and may be affected by fishery removals in local subpopulations.
Spawning time differences (Significantly different mean time of spawning)	Scallop spawning occurs in early summer and appears to be temperature dependent. Spawning of southern populations (Washington, BC) starts earlier (MacDonald and Bourne 1987)
Maturity-at-age/length differences (Significantly different mean maturity-at-age/ length)	Unknown, histological analyses not completed but visual inspection indicates age 3 in both Kamishak and Kayak but no data available for other regions
Morphometrics (Field identifiable characters)	Yes, shell shape, weight, height differences by region
Meristics (Minimally overlapping differences in counts)	Unknown
<i>Behavior & movement</i>	
Spawning site fidelity (Spawning individuals occur in same location consistently)	Weathervane scallops are capable of swimming, but it is thought they have spawning site fidelity.
Mark-recapture data (Tagging data may show limited movement)	N/A
Natural tags (Acquired tags may show movement smaller than management areas)	Unknown
<i>Genetics</i>	
Isolation by distance (Significant regression)	Unknown
Dispersal distance (<<Management areas)	Unknown

Table 6-1 (cont'd) Summary of available data on stock identification for Weathervane scallop.

Pairwise genetic differences (Significant differences between geographically distinct collections)	Weak evidence for difference between Bering Sea and GOA, no evidence for differences within GOA (Gaffney et al, 2010). Gaffney et al. (2010) note that “lack of genetic differentiation measured by neutral markers does not preclude the existence of locally adapted, self-sustaining populations”. Limited genetic data available may not be relevant to time scales for management.
--	---

Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix 4: Historical Overview of Scallop Fishery

Alaska weathervane scallop *Patinopecten caurinus* populations were first evaluated for commercial potential in the early 1950s by government and private sector investigators. Interest in the Alaska fishery increased in the late 1960s as catches from U.S. and Canadian sea scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* fisheries on Georges Bank declined. Commercial fishing effort first took place in Alaska during 1967 when two vessels harvested weathervane scallops from fishing grounds east of Kodiak Island. By the following year, 19 vessels including New England scallopers, converted Alaskan crab boats, salmon seiners, halibut longliners, and shrimp trawlers, entered the fishery.

From the inception of the fishery in 1967 through mid-May 1993, the scallop fishery was passively managed with minimal management measures. Closed waters and seasons were established to protect crabs and crab habitat. When catches declined in one bed, vessels moved to new areas. This management strategy may have been acceptable for a sporadic and low intensity fishery, but increased participation inevitably led to boom and bust cycles (Barnhart, 2003).

In the early 1990s, the Alaska weathervane scallop fishery expanded rapidly with an influx of boats from the East Coast of the United States. Concerns about overharvest of scallops and bycatch of other commercially important species such as crabs prompted the ADF&G Commissioner to designate the weathervane scallop fishery a high-impact emerging fishery on May 21, 1993. This action required ADF&G to close the fishery and implement an interim management plan prior to reopening. The interim management plan contained provisions for king and Tanner crab bycatch limits (CBLs) for most areas within the Westward Region. Since then, crab bycatch limits have been established for the Kamishak District of the Cook Inlet Registration Area and for the Prince William Sound Registration Area. The commissioner adopted the regulations and opened the fishery on June 17, 1993, consistent with the measures identified in the interim management plan. The interim management plan included a provision for 100% onboard observer coverage to monitor crab bycatch and to collect biological and fishery data. In March 1994, the Alaska Board of Fisheries (BOF) adopted the interim regulations identified as the Alaska Scallop Fishery Management Plan, 5 AAC 38.076.

From 1967 until early 1995, all vessels participating in the Alaska scallop fishery were registered under the laws of the State of Alaska. Scallop fishing in both state and federal waters was managed under state jurisdiction. In January 1995, the captain of a scallop fishing vessel returned his 1995 scallop interim use permit card to the State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission in Juneau and proceeded to fish scallops in the EEZ with total disregard to harvest limits, observer coverage, and other management measures and regulations. In response to this unanticipated event, federal waters in the EEZ were closed to scallop fishing by emergency rule on February 23, 1995.

The initial emergency rule was in effect through May 30, 1995 and was extended for an additional 90 days through August 28, 1995. The intent of the emergency rule was to control the unregulated scallop fishery in federal waters until an FMP could be implemented to close the fishery. Prior to August 28, NPFMC submitted a proposed FMP which closed scallop fishing in the EEZ for a maximum of one year with an expiration date of August 28, 1996. The final rule implementing Amendment 1 to the FMP was filed July 18, 1996 and published in the Federal Register on July 23, 1996. It became effective August 1, 1996, allowing the weathervane scallop fishery to reopen in the EEZ. Scallop fishing in state waters of

the Westward Region was delayed until August 1, 1996 to coincide with the opening of the EEZ. The state continued as the active manager of the fishery with inseason actions duplicated by the federal system (Barnhart, 2003).

In March 1997, NPFMC approved Amendment 2, a vessel moratorium under which 18 vessels qualified for federal moratorium permits to fish weathervane scallops in federal waters off Alaska. By February 1999, the Council recommended replacing the federal moratorium program with a Federal License Limitation Program (LLP), which became Amendment 4 to the FMP. The Council's goal was to reduce capacity to approach a sustainable fishery with maximum net benefits to the Nation, as required by the Magnuson-Stevens Act. NPFMC's preferred alternative created a total of nine licenses with no area endorsements; each vessel is permitted to fish statewide. However, vessels that fished exclusively in the Cook Inlet Registration Area where a single 6-foot dredge was the legal gear type during the qualifying period were also limited to fishing a single 6-foot dredge in federal waters outside Cook Inlet. The NPFMC later modified the gear restriction in Amendment 10 to allow these vessels to fish 2 dredges with a combined maximum width of 20 feet. Amendment 10 was approved on June 22, 2005. NMFS published final regulations on July 11, 2005, which were effective August 10, 2005. NMFS implemented Amendment 10 by reissuing the two LLP licenses with the larger gear restriction.

Amendment 6 which established over fishing levels for weathervane scallops was approved by the NPFMC in March 1999. This amendment established an overfishing level as a fishing rate ($F_{\text{overfishing}}$) in excess of the natural mortality rate $M=0.13$. It also established an Optimum Yield of 0-1.24 million pounds of shucked meats. The upper bound of which became was designated MSY and was based on average catch from 1990-1997 (excluding 1995) (Table 2-1).

In 1997, the Alaska legislature approved legislation (AS 16.43.906) establishing a scallop vessel moratorium in state waters. In 2001, the legislature authorized a 3-year extension of the moratorium set to expire July 1, 2004. During the 2002 legislative session, passage of CSHB206 resulted in significant changes to the state's limited entry statutes. The changes authorized use of a vessel-based limited entry program in the weathervane scallop and hair crab fisheries. However, the program has a sunset provision. Under AS 16.43.450-520, the vessel permit system was set to expire on December 30, 2008 unless statutory authority was extended. Introduced in the 25th Alaska Legislature in January 2007, House Bill 16 would have extended the existing vessel permit system until December 30, 2013. House Bill 16 became locked in committee. It was offered up under Senate Bill 254, where it passed through the legislative process and was signed into law on June 5, 2008. The State's vessel-based limited entry program for weathervane scallops did expire on December 30, 2013.

In January 2014, the Board of Fisheries implemented a new State-Waters Weathervane Scallop Management Plan (5 AAC 38.078) that delineates additional tools needed to manage open-access weathervane scallop fisheries in waters of Alaska. The management plan applies to the Yakutat, Prince William Sound, Kodiak, and Dutch Harbor scallop registration areas which all have scallop beds that span both state and federal waters. The new management plan is in addition to the existing Alaska Scallop Fishery Management Plan (5 AAC 38.076) that establishes registration, reporting, gear, and observer coverage requirements.

The state-waters management plan allows the department to manage scallop beds in waters of Alaska separately from beds in adjacent federal waters if effort increases in the open-access state-waters fishery. The plan defines the scallop vessel registration year (April 1–March 31) and establishes an annual preseason registration deadline of April 1. It also requires a registered scallop vessel to have onboard an activated vessel monitoring system, permits the department to establish trip limits, and allows for separate registrations for state and federal-waters fishing. The additional management measures are necessary to prevent overharvest of the weathervane scallop resource during an open-access fishery.

In 2014, eight vessels acquired state open-access permits. None of these vessels fished for scallops, however. Information provided at the 2015 Scallop Plan Team meeting indicated that these vessels may not have fished due to the cost of carrying observers and/or a lack of needed scallop harvesting gear.