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## Brief History

- Historically PSC is calculated in numbers for a federal reporting area or special management area (e.g., COBLZ)
- PSC management uses numbers at this resolution
- Management not by crab-year
- Management not by crab stock area
- 1991 - 2009 (June 30): PSC numbers from catch accounting and the blend database: numbers converted to weight using average weights (observer data)
- Extrapolated weights/Extrapolated numbers by species - average calculated for the calendar year
- Federal reporting area specific (not by stock area)- assessment authors assigned stock areas
- Document provided to CPT in 2009
- 2009 (July 1)-Current: Extrapolated weights and stock area specific estimation
- Haul-specific estimate of weight used in bycatch rate calculation- observer sampling hierarchy
- Bycatch estimation is stock area specific
- AKFIN (Dashboard or SQL)
- Minor change starting 2017 (Jan 1)- CAS process rather than AKFIN
- Observer sample stratification updated
- Still available through AKFIN
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## What is CAS?

- Catch estimates for groundfish fisheries generated by the Alaska Regional Office
- Retained groundfish catch
- At-sea discards
- Groundfish
- Prohibited Species (e.g. halibut, crab, salmon)
- Non-groundfish species (e.g. inverts, birds, etc.)
- Crab Stock Area Estimates
- Designed for timely estimates to support effective in-season management
- Enable fisheries to stay within annual catch limits set by Annual Harvest Specifications
- Support policy development, analysis, \& stock assessment
- Provided to stock assessment authors through AKFIN Answers (online "data mart") or database link
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## Coverage (2017)

- Full Coverage- vessels that are required to have at least one observer onboard at all times.
- HAL~43\%
- POT ~8\%
- NPT TRW ~ 78\%
- PTR TRW $\sim 100 \%$
- Partial Coverage- Annual Deployment Plan
- HAL: ~ 57\% (40\% of total trips on zero coverage)
- POT: ~ 92\% partial (8\% EM in 2017)
- NPT TRW: 18\%
- PTR TRW: 0\%


## Partial Coverage

- Annual Deployment Plan draft presented to Council in October, Finalized in December. NMFS makes decision with Council input
- Annual Review- annually review aspects of deployment plan (always a year lagged).
- Includes a quantitative review of the sampling design, enforcement issues, budget, and some catch information is also provided. Released in June.
- Documents found at:
- https://alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/fisheries/observer-program


## Partial Coverage

- Annual Deployment Plan (overall BSAl+GOA):
- 2018 anticipated rates:
- No Selection = 0\%;
- $\mathrm{EM}=30 \%$;
- Trawl = 20\%;
- Hook-and-line = 17\%;
- Pot = 16\%;
- Tender trawl = 17\%;
- Tender pot $=17 \%$
- 2017 realized rates:
- No Selection = 0\%;
- $E M=20.7 \%$, but not all data was available so likely higher
- Trawl = 20.7\%;
- Hook-and-line = 12\%;
- Pot = $7.2 \%$
- Tender Trawl = 18.8\%
- Tender Pot = 5.3\%


## Current estimation processes

- Rate based estimation
- Discard rates calculated from observed hauls or sets
- Rates applied to total retained groundfish and halibut
- No sex-specific or mortality estimation
- Rate calculation modified from the PSC estimation process. Main differences:
- At-sea discard rates are specific to crab stock area
- Estimates in weight not numbers
- Hierarchical: At-sea observer data used to derive the discard rates are as proximate as possible (in time and space) to the unobserved fishing "event" being estimated.


## Hierarchical Sampling

Random selection of trips
Selection determined by
Observer Program (ADP)
Random sample of hauls


Selection using
randomization tables
Random sample of the
catch of each haul
Observer determines
Sample Units
Gear
Weight
Volume

Sample Designs
Systematic
Random
Simple Random
Other Random
Opportunistic
Census
selection method
Random sample of individual fish
Observer determines selection method
Rates specified by Program with input


## Observer Annual Deployment Plan (ADP)

|  | Partial coverage category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Observer trip selection pool Observer coverage required on all randomly selected trips |  |  |  |  |  |  | EM trip selection pool EM required on randomly selected trips | Observer vessel selection pool | No sel Observer cov | tion pool age not required |
| 2018 | Trawl: 20\% | Tra Tend 17 |  |  |  | t: 16\% | Tender <br> Pot: 17\% | Fixed gear EM trip selection pool: 30\% | n/a | Vessels <40' LOA and Jig gear | EM Innovation Research |
| 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trawl: } \\ 18 \% \\ (20.7) \end{gathered}$ | Trawl Tender: $14 \%$ $(18.8)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { H\&L: } \\ 11 \% \\ (12.0) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Pot: 4\% <br> (7.7) | Pot <br> Tender: <br> $4 \%$ <br> $(5.3)$ | n/a |  |  | Voluntary EM Preimplementation ${ }^{\sim} 90$ vessels |
| 2016 | Trawl: 28\% H\&L: $15 \%$ <br> (28.0) (15.0) |  |  |  | Pot: 15\% (14.7) |  |  |  |  |  | Voluntary EM Preimplementation 60 vessels |
| 2015 | Large Vessel: 24\% <br> (23.4) <br> Trawl CVs, Small CPs, H\&L/Pot CVs $\geq 57.5^{\prime}$ |  | Small Vessel: 12\% (11.2) $\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{~L} /$ Pot CVs $>40^{\prime}$ and $<57.5^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Voluntary EM Preimplementation 12 vessels |
| 2014 | All Trawl CVs and H\&L/Pot vessels $\geq 57.5^{\prime}: 16 \%$ (15.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{~L} / \text { Pot CVs }>40^{\prime} \\ \text { and }<57.5^{\prime}: 12 \% \\ (15.6) \end{gathered}$ |  | Voluntary EM |
| 2013 | All Trawl CVs and H\&L/Pot vessels $\geq 57.5^{\prime}: 14.5 \%$ (14.8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{~L} / \text { Pot } \mathrm{CV} \mathrm{c}>40^{\prime} \\ \text { and }<57.5^{\prime}: 11 \% \\ (10.6) \end{gathered}$ | Vessels <40' | OA and Jig gear |
| Observer Program Restructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1990- \\ & 2012^{7} \end{aligned}$ | Regulatory Full $\geq 100 \%$ |  |  | Vessels self-selected coverage (i.e., choose when to take an observer) <br> - $30 \%$ of fishing days by gear/quarter and at least one trip per fishery. <br> - CVs $\geq 60^{\prime}$ and $<125^{\prime}$ LOA targeting groundfish <br> - Other CPs and processing plants when not required $100 \%$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sampling on Fixed Gear Vessels

- Longline: $94 \%$ CV, $57 \%$ CP sets sampled/trip
- CVs: 1,300-2,100 hooks/set
- CPs: 10,000-30,000 hooks/set
- Pot: $88 \%$ CV, $52 \%$ CP sets sampled/trip
- CVs: 51-87 pots/set
- CPs: 23 - 60 pots/set
- Sampling occurs on-deck
- Gear-based sample units
- Identify to species \& enumerate all catch
- 3 samples / set, Typically $>30 \%$ of the gear
- Random selection of fish
- Weight and length measurements
- Biological samples
- Hooks per longline segment
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## Sampling on Trawl Catcher Vessels

- Pelagic: 95\% hauls sampled/trip
- 70 - 125 mt hauls
- Non-Pelagic: $91 \%$ hauls sampled/trip
- 8 - 30 mt hauls
- Sampling occurs on-deck
- Weight-based sample units
- Identify to species \& weigh all catch
- Average 2-3 samples / haul
- 1\% (PTR) - 4\% (NPT) of catch weight
- Random selection of fish
- Weight and length measurements
- Biological samples
- Total catch weight estimated
- Codend volume, catch density measurements



## Sampling on Trawl Catcher Processors and Motherships

- Pelagic: $99.5 \%$ hauls sampled/trip
- 35-140 mt hauls
-Non-Pelagic: 98.5\% hauls sampled/trip
- 5-50 mt hauls
- Sampling occurs in processing plant
- Weight-based sample units
- Identify to species \& weigh all catch
- Average 3 samples / haul
- 28\% (PTR), 2\% (NPT) of catch weight
- Random selection of fish
- Weight and length measurements
- Biological samples
- Total catch weight over flowscale



## Additional Prohibited Crab Species Data Collections

Every individual prohibited species crab encountered in a species composition sample:

- Identify to species, count, weigh, determine sex, and check for the presence of eggs (females only)
- Measure using calipers
- Check for tagged crab

Crab Parts in samples:

- Identify whole crabs to species
- Report number and weight
- Identify loose crab parts if possible
- carapaces to species
- legs to family



## Current estimation processes

- Sampling Strata = Observer Sampling Strata (2018 example)
- Full, Hook and Line, Pot, Trawl, Tender Trawl, Tender Pot, EM
- "zero" coverage combined with gear-specific sampling strata (e.g., hook and line $<40=$ hook and line).
- EM (few trips in the BSAI)
- Calendar Year
- Hierarchical Post Strata
- Modification of PSC estimation process used for inseason monitoring-nightly updates based on new landings and at-sea observer information
- Estimates at a "fishery" level e.g. gear, predominant retained species, processing sector
- Species group specific (hybrids not a separate group).
- Groups: BTCR, OTCR, RKCR,GKCR,BKCR


## Current estimation processes

- Estimated crab catch weight for hauls by expanding sample data Trawl Gear: Species proportion (kgs) from samples applied to total haul weight (ratio of means)

$$
\text { crab weight }=\text { Total Catch Weight } \frac{\text { crab weight } t_{\text {samples }}}{\text { total weight } t_{\text {samples }}}
$$

Fixed Gear: Weight per gear (hooks or pots) from samples applied to total gear fished and expanded by mean weight per fish

- Estimated crab discard weight for haul by applying percent discard crab discard weight $=\%$ discard x crab weight


## Current estimation processes

Rate based estimation- 'generic form'

- Estimated crab discards for fishery by applying discard ratio (observed hauls) to landings and estimated groundfish and non-PSC halibut

Crab estimate $=$ Discard Ratio * $\sum$ Total Groundfish \& non psc Halibut

Bycatch ratio for each crab species, post-strata, sampling strata

Discard ratio $=\frac{\sum \text { Discard Crab }_{\text {observed hauls }}}{\sum(\text { Total Groundfish and non psc Halibut) observed hauls }}$

## Current estimation processes

- Hierarchical Post Strata- always specific to crab species grouping
- Catch event post-strata = available observer information post-strata



## Current estimation processes

Rate based estimation- 'generic form'
> Total Groundfish and non PSC Halibut

- Shoreside: Landing reports + CAS groundfish discard estimate = Total Groundfish \& halibut
- CPs and Motherships= Total Groundfish and halibut estimated from at-sea observer information (sum of extrapolated haul estimates).
- Partial Coverage CPs (only a few of these)- from production information


## Total Crab Catch (no mortality applied)
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## 2017/2018: Proportion weight by Gear (no mortality applied)



## 2017/2018 (Crab year post strata)

- Weight by post-strata type-2017 (all gear types)

| Species | Trip | 3 week | 3 month | Year | Year <br> (cross sampling strata) | Year <br> (cross gear \& samp. str) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BKCR | 1.00 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ |  |
| BTCR | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.18 |  |
| GKCR | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.01 | $<0.01$ | 0.76 | $<0.01$ |
| OTCR | 0.79 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.06 |  |
| RKCR | 0.94 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.05 | 0.02 |  |

Preliminary: pulled from CAS 9/4

## 2017 (Crab year post strata)

- Weight by post-strata type-2017
- Pot Gear

| Species | Trip | 3 week | 3 month | Year | Year <br> (cross sampling strata) | Year <br> Cross Gear |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BKCR | 1.00 | NA | NA | NA | $<0.01$ | NA |
| BTCR | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.30 | NA |
| GKCR | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | $<0.01$ | 0.85 | $<0.01$ |
| OTCR | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.19 | NA |
| RKCR | 0.37 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.46 | 0.17 | NA |

Preliminary: pulled from CAS 9/4

## 2017 (Crab year post strata)

- Weight by post-strata type-2017

Hook and Line

| Species | Trip | 3 week | 3 month | Year | Year <br> (cross sampling strata) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BKCR | 0.98 | 0.01 | 0.01 | NA | 0.01 |
| BTCR | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.01 | $<0.01$ | 0.01 |
| GKCR | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.32 |
| OTCR | 0.96 | 0.03 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ |
| RKCR | 1.00 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ |

Preliminary: pulled from CAS 9/4

## AKFIN BI

- Estimates provided on AKFIN Dashboard
- Discard rates applied at the fishing "event" level (e.g., landings, weekly).
- No discard mortality applied
- Summarized by crab-year/stock area and groundfish "fishery".
- Detailed .csv includes the post-strata information
- Updated every Sunday



## Past and ongoing work

CAS methods documented:
Cahalan, J., J. Gasper, and J. Mondragon. 2014. Catch sampling and estimation in the federal groundfish fisheries off Alaska, 2015 edition. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC286, 46 p. Document available: http://www.afsc.noaa.gov/Publications/AFSC-TM/NOAA-TM-AFSC286.pdf

Simulation studies:
Cahalan, J., J. Gasper, and J. Mondragon. 2015. Catch estimation in the federal trawl fisheries off Alaska: a simulation approach to compare the statistical properties of three trip-specific catch estimators. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 72: 1024-1036 (2015) dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2014-0347

Variance presentation (June 2016 SSC/Council): https://www.npfmc.org/wpcontent/PDFdocuments/conservation issues/Observer/OACVarianceMay16.pdf

Estimation changes: Yearly rates defined by crab year rather than calendar year
Variance into production DB for groundfish/PSC early 2019

## Questions?
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## OverwheImed With Crab
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## Overwhelmed With Crab

## Pot Vessels

- Collect a subset sample
- 50 randomly selected individuals
- Identify to species
- Determine sex, count, weigh
- Weigh all of the remaining crab
- Identify to group if possible


## Trawl Gear

- NEVER subset sample for crab
- End your sample
- Identify to species
- Determine sex, count, weigh all crab
- Reduce the size of your next sample


## Tagged Tanner Crab
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## Tagged King Crab



TAGGED BLUE KING CRAB
ST. MATTHEW ISLAND
ATTENTION GROUNDFISH OBSERVERS


In 2015 and 2016, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game tagged male blue king crab in the St. Matthew Island area. Information from tagged crab will be used to determine their growth rate, time between molts, and seasonal migration and distribution patterns. ADF\&G asks fishermen and observers to please assist in efforts to improve our understanding of St. Matthew blue king crab.

The following information recorded by an observer will earn the observer and finder each a tag reward hat:

- Tag number (or picture of tag number)
- Crab size (carapace length)
- Capture date and location (latitude and longitude)
- Capture depth (fathoms)

Please return crab to the water after recording tag number and size.


We would like to know about ALL tags found, even if there is little or no crab information available. Your assistance is invaluable to our efforts!


For distribution of tag rewards contact
Rachel Alinsunurin
Alaska Department of Fish \& Game 2315 Airport Beach Road Dutch Harbor, AK 99692
For questions on tagging project contact:
Vicki Vanek
Alaska Department of Fish \& Game 351 Research Ct.
Kodiak, AK 99615
(907) 486-1890
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Table 4-1. -- Number of vessels (V), total trips (N), observed trips ( n ), and percent of trips observed (\%, with observer coverage) in 2017 in each FMP area (BSAI and GOA) by strata, gear type (hook and line (HAL), nonpelagic trawl (NPT), pot, pelagic trawl (PTR), and jig), and vessel length category (based on length overall, in feet) for the full and partial coverage category.

| Area | Strata | Gear | Vessel length category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $<40^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | 40-57.4' |  |  |  | $\geq 57.5^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | V | N | n | \% | V | N | n | \% | V | N | n | \% |
| BSAI | EM Voluntary | HAL |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | EM Voluntary | POT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 42 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | FULL | HAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 326 | 326 | 100 |
|  | FULL | NPT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 618 | 618 | 100 |
|  | FULL | POT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 43 | 43 | 100 |
|  | FULL | PTR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 | 2,173 | 2,173 | 100 |
|  | HAL - No Tender | HAL |  |  |  |  | 23 | 138 | 19 | 13.8 | 31 | 99 | 10 | 10.1 |
|  | POT - No Tender | POT |  |  |  |  | 2 | 37 | 4 | 10.8 | 49 | 425 | 28 | 6.6 |
|  | POT-Tender | POT |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 19 | 1 | 5.3 |
|  | TRW - No Tender | NPT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 171 | 35 | 20.5 |
|  | TRW - Tender | NPT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | ZERO Coverage ${ }^{1}$ | HAL | 67 | 580 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ZERO Coverage | JIG |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | BSAI Subtotal |  | 67 | 580 | 0 | 0.0 | 26 | 186 | 23 | 12.4 | 242 | 3,925 | 3,234 | 82.4 |

## Eu PoDepartment of

Cnmmare 1

Table 4-4. -- Observed catch (metric tons), total catch, and percent observed (\%) of groundfish and halibut retained and discarded in the groundfish and halibut fisheries in 2017 in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands. Empty cells indicate that no catch occurred.

|  | CATCHER/PROCESSOR |  |  | MOTHERSHIP |  |  | CATCHER VESSEL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed | Total | \% | Observed | Total | \% | Observed | Total | \% |
| HOOK AND LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained | 139,183 | 139,244 | 100\% |  |  |  | 222 | 2,271 | 10\% |
| Discarded | 29,359 | 29,375 | 100\% |  |  |  | 123 | 1,282 | 10\% |
| JIG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained Discarded |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 13 | 0\% |
| NON-PELAGIC TRAWL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained | 323,323 | 323,323 | 100\% | 40,002 | 40,002 | 100\% | 16,934 | 30,685 | 55\% |
| Discarded | 20,015 | 20,015 | 100\% | 3,669 | 3,669 | 100\% | 630 | 1,284 | 49\% |
| POT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained | 5,980 | 5,980 | 100\% |  |  |  | 1,547 | 25,591 | 6\% |
| Discarded | 209 | 209 | 100\% |  |  |  | 24 | 351 | 7\% |
| PELAGIC TRAWL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained | 615,587 | 615,587 | 100\% | 119,145 | 119,145 | 100\% | 594,296 | 594,296 | 100\% |
| Discarded | 3,110 | 3,110 | 100\% | 163 | 163 | 100\% | 2,084 | 2,084 | 100\% |

Co/12 $2 / 201: 8 \mathrm{BHERLES}$

## Total Crab Catch (no mortality applied)



AKFIN: $8 / 12 / 2018$

## NOAA FISHERIES

